ON THE COFINITENESS OF IN DIMENSION < 2 LOCAL COHOMOLOGY MODULES FOR A PAIR OF IDEALS

Ngo Thi Ngoan^a, Nguyen Van Hoang^{b*} and Nguyen Huy Hoang^c

> ^a Faculty of Mathematical Economics, Thuongmai University, Hanoi, Vietnam ^{b*,c} Faculty of Basic Sciences, University of Transport and Communications, Hanoi, Vietnam, ^{b*} E-mail: hoangnv@utc.edu.vn

Abstract

In this note, we prove the cofiniteness of local cohomology modules $H_{I,J}^i(N)$ with respect to a pair of ideals (I, J) for all i < t and the finiteness of $(0 :_{H_{I,J}^t(N)} I)$ and $\operatorname{Ext}_R^1(R/I, H_{I,J}^t(N))$ provided that $\operatorname{Ext}_R^i(R/I, N)$ is finitely generated for all $i \leq t + 1$ and $H_{I,J}^i(N)$ is in dimension < 2 for all i < t, where $t \geq 1$ is an integer (here, N is not necessarily finitely generated over R). This extends the results of Bahmanpour-Naghipour [5, Thm 2.6], Bahmanpour-Naghipour-Sedghi [4, Thm 2.8] and H-N [16, Thm 1.1].

1 Introduction

Throughout this note the ring R is commutative Noetherian. Let N be a finitely generated R-module and I an ideal of R. In [9], A. Grothendieck conjectured that if I is an ideal of R and N is a finitely generated R-module, then the R-module $(0:_{H^j_{I}(N)}I)$ is finitely generated for all $j \ge 0$. R. Hartshorne provided

Key words: cofinite module, local cohomology, local cohomology for a pair of ideals, in dimension < 2.

 $^{2020~\}mathrm{AMS}$ Mathematics Classification: $13\mathrm{D}45,\,14\mathrm{B}15,\,13\mathrm{E}05.$

a counter-example to this conjecture in [10]. He also defined an R-module K to be I-cofinite if $\operatorname{Supp}_R(K) \subseteq V(I)$ and $\operatorname{Ext}_R^j(R/I, K)$ is finitely generated for all $j \geq 0$ and he asked a question:

Question. For which rings R and ideals I are the modules $H_I^j(N)$ is I-cofinite for all $j \ge 0$ and all finitely generated modules N?

Hartshorne showed that if N is a finitely generated R-module and I a prime ideal with $\dim_R(R/I) = 1$, where R is a complete regular local ring, then $H_I^j(N)$ is I-cofinite (see [10, Coro 7.7]). K. I. Yoshida refined this result to more general situation that if N is a finitely generated module over a commutative Noetherian local ring R and I an ideal of R such that $\dim_R(R/I) = 1$, then the modules $H_I^j(N)$ are I-cofinite for all $j \ge 0$ (see [20, Thm 1.1]).

There are many mathematicians who continue to study this problem. In 2009, K. Bahmanpour-R. Naghipour have extended the result of Yoshida in [20] to the case of non-local ring; more precisely, they showed that "If $t \ge 0$ is an integer such that dim $\operatorname{Supp}_R(H_I^j(N)) \le 1$ for all j < t then $H_I^j(N)$ is I-cofinite for all j < t and $(0 :_{H_I^t(N)} I)$ is finitely generated (see [5, Thm 2.6])". In 2018, Bahmanpour-Naghipour-Sedghi (see [4, Thm 2.8]) improved this result by replacing the condition that dim $\operatorname{Supp}_R(H_I^j(N)) \le 1$ for all j < t with the condition that $H_I^j(N)$ is weakly Laskerian for all j < t (this notion is introduced by K. Divaani-Aazar and A. Mafi [8]: an R-module K is called to be weakly Laskerian if $\operatorname{Ass}_R(K/T)$ is a finite set for each submodule T of K).

There are some generalizations of the theory of local cohomology modules. The following generalization of local cohomology theory is given by R. Takahashi-Y. Yoshino-T. Yoshizawa in [17]: Let j be a non-negative integer, I, J ideals of R, and N an R-module. Then the j^{th} local cohomology functor $H_{I,J}^{j}(-)$ with respect to a pair of ideals (I, J) to be the j^{th} right derived functor of $\Gamma_{I,J}(-)$. They called $H_{I,J}^{j}(N)$ the j^{th} local cohomology module of N with respect to (I, J). These modules were studied further in many research papers such as: [17], [18], [19],.... It is clear that $H_{I,J}^{j}(N)$ is just the ordinary local cohomology module $H_{I}^{j}(N)$ when J = 0. The purpose of this paper is to investigate a similar question as above for the theory of local cohomology with respect to a pair of ideals. More precisely, the aim of this note is to extends the result of Bahmanpour-Naghipour in [5, Thm 2.6], Bahmanpour-Naghipour-Sedghi in [4, Thm 2.8], H-N in [16, Thm 1.1] as the following theorem.

Theorem 1.1. Let R be a Noetherian commutative ring, and I, J ideals of R. Let t be a positive integer, and N an R-module such that $\operatorname{Ext}_{R}^{i}(R/I, N)$ is finitely generated for all $i \leq t + 1$. Assume that $H_{I,J}^{i}(N)$ is in dimension < 2 for all i < t. Then the following statements are true:

(i) the R-module $H^i_{I,J}(N)$ is (I,J)-cofinite for all i < t, and

(ii) the R-modules $\operatorname{Hom}_R(R/I, H^t_{I,J}(N))$ and $\operatorname{Ext}^1_R(R/I, H^t_{I,J}(N))$ are finitely generated.

Here, we recall the notion of (I, J)-cofinite module which defined by A. Tehranian-A. P. E. Talemi in [18, Def 2.1] as follows: an *R*-module *K* is called (I, J)-cofinite if $\text{Supp}_R(K) \subseteq W(I, J)$ and the *R*-modules $\text{Ext}_R^j(R/I, K)$ is finitely generated for all $j \geq 0$, where

$$W(I, J) = \{p \mid p \in \operatorname{Spec} R, I^n \subseteq p + J \text{ for some integer } n \ge 0\}$$

was introduced by R. Takahashi-Y. Yoshino-T. Yoshizawa (see [17, Def 1.5]).

This note is divided into two sections. In Section 2, we first establish some auxiliary lemmas. The rest of Section 2 is devoted to prove Theorem 1.1 and its consequences.

2 Main result

We first recall the notion of in dimension < n module defined by D. Asadollahi-R. Naghipour in [2].

Remark 2.1. Let *n* be a non-negative integer and *K* be an *R*-module. An *R*-module *K* is called *in dimension* < n if there exists a finitely generated submodule *T* of *K* such that dim $\operatorname{Supp}_R(K/T) < n$ (that is, we have dim(R/p) < nfor all $p \in \operatorname{Supp}_R(K/T)$), see [2, Def 2.1]. It is clear that the class of in dimension < n modules contains of class of finitely generated modules. Moreover, the class of in dimension < n modules is a Serre subcategory, i.e, it is closed under taking submodules, quotients and extensions (cf. [14, Sect. 4] and [12, Coro 2.13]).

Before proving the main result in this section, we need the following lemma which is proved in [1, Thm 2.5] for the case $\dim_R(K) \leq 1$. We here use the hypothesis that $\dim \operatorname{Supp}_R(K) \leq 1$ instead of the condition $\dim_R(K) \leq 1$ as in [1] (because, in general the notion $\dim_R(K)$ may be regarded as $\dim_R(R/\operatorname{ann}_R(K))$ (see [11, p. 31]) which differs from the notion $\dim \operatorname{Supp}_R(K) = \sup \{\dim(R/p) \mid p \in \operatorname{Supp}_R(K)\}$). To avoid any possible misunderstanding here, we still give another proof of this result by another elementary arguments.

Lemma 2.2. Let I be an ideal of R and let K be an R-module such that $1 \ge \dim \operatorname{Supp}_R(K)$. If the R-modules $\operatorname{Hom}_R(R/I, K)$ and $\operatorname{Ext}^1_R(R/I, K)$ are finitely generated, then $\operatorname{Ext}^j_R(R/I, K)$ is finitely generated for all $j \ge 0$.

Proof. We have $\operatorname{Supp}_R(H^1_I(K)) \subseteq \operatorname{Max}(R) \cap V(I)$ (since dim $\operatorname{Supp}_R(K) \leq 1$, if dim R/p = 1 for some $p \in \operatorname{Supp}_R(H^1_I(K))$, then $p \in \min \operatorname{Supp}_R(K)$. Thus dim $\operatorname{Supp}_{R_p}(K_p) = 0$, and hence $H^1_I(K)_p = 0$ by Grothendieck's vanishing theorem, a contradiction).

The short exact sequence $0 \to \Gamma_I(K) \to K \to K/\Gamma_I(K) \to 0$ induces an exact sequence $(0:_{K/\Gamma_I(K)} I) \to \operatorname{Ext}^1_R(R/I,\Gamma_I(K)) \to \operatorname{Ext}^1_R(R/I,K)$, where

(0 :_{K/\Gamma_I(K)} I) = 0. Hence, $\operatorname{Ext}_R^1(R/I, \Gamma_I(K))$ is finitely generated by the hypothesis. Note that (0 :_{$\Gamma_I(K)$} I) = (0 :_K I) and $\operatorname{Supp}_R(\Gamma_I(K)) \subseteq V(I)$. Thus, we get by [6, Prop 2.6] that $\Gamma_I(K)$ is *I*-cofinite. Thus, by [7, Thm 2.1], we obtain that (0 :_{$H_I^1(K)$} I) is finitely generated. We now obtain by [15, Lem 2.1] that $H_I^1(K)$ is *I*-cofinite and artinian. Hence, we get by [14, Coro 3.10] that $\operatorname{Ext}_R^j(R/I, K)$ is finitely generated for all $j \geq 0$.

Remark 2.3. In [17], Takahashi-Yoshino-Yoshizawa defined the set

 $W(I, J) = \{ p \mid p \in \operatorname{Spec} R, I^n \subseteq p + J \text{ for some integer } n \ge 0 \}$

for a pair of ideals I and J. Note that if J = 0, we have $W(I, 0) = V(I) = \{p \mid p \in \text{Spec } R, I^n \subseteq p \text{ for some integer } n \geq 0\}$. Then, Tehranian-Talemi ([18, Def 2.1]) defined the notion of (I, J)-cofinite module which is a generalization of the notion I-cofinite. Note that if J = 0 then the notion (I, 0)-cofinite module coincides with the ordinary notion I-cofinite module introduced by Hartshorne in [10].

The following lemma shows a criterion for cofiniteness of a module with respect to a pair of ideals (I, J).

Lemma 2.4. Let I, J be ideals of R, and let K be an in dimension < 2R-module such that $\operatorname{Supp}_R(K) \subseteq W(I, J)$. If the R-modules $(0 :_K I)$ and $\operatorname{Ext}^1_R(R/I, K)$ are finitely generated, then K is (I, J)-cofinite.

Proof. By definition there is a finitely generated submodule T of K such that dim $\operatorname{Supp}_R(K/T) \leq 1$ and $\operatorname{Supp}_R(K/T) \subseteq W(I, J)$. The short exact sequence $0 \to T \to K \to K/T \to 0$ induces the following exact sequence

$$0 \to (0:_T I) \to (0:_K I) \to (0:_{K/T} I) \to \operatorname{Ext}^1_R(R/I, T)$$
$$\to \operatorname{Ext}^1_R(R/I, K) \to \operatorname{Ext}^1_R(R/I, K/T) \to \operatorname{Ext}^2_R(R/I, T).$$

It follows that $(0:_{K/T} I)$ and $\operatorname{Ext}_{R}^{1}(R/I, K/T)$ are finitely generated. Therefore we get by Lemma 2.2 that *R*-module $\operatorname{Ext}_{R}^{j}(R/I, K/T)$ is finitely generated for all $j \geq 0$. It yields from the first exact sequence that $\operatorname{Ext}_{R}^{j}(R/I, K)$ is finitely generated for all $j \geq 0$. Hence, the *R*-module *K* is (I, J)-cofinite. \Box

Remark 2.5. Let K be an R-module, and I, J ideals of R.

(i) We obtain by [17, Coro 1.13] that $K/\Gamma_{I,J}(K)$ is an (I, J)-torsion-freemodule, that is, $\Gamma_{I,J}(K/\Gamma_{I,J}(K)) = 0$.

(ii) Since $(0:_{K/\Gamma_{I,J}(K)} I)$ is a submodule of $\Gamma_{I,J}(K/\Gamma_{I,J}(K))$, we have by the statement (i) that $(0:_{K/\Gamma_{I,J}(K)} I) = 0$.

We are ready to prove the main result in this note.

122 On the cofiniteness of in dimension < 2 local cohomology modules for...

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Set $L = \Gamma_{I,J}(N)$ and $\overline{N} = N/\Gamma_{I,J}(N)$. We have an exact sequence

$$0 \to L \to N \to \overline{N} \to 0. \tag{1}$$

Take E to be the injective hull of R-module \overline{N} . We get by [17, Prop 1.10] that

$$\operatorname{Ass}_{R}(\Gamma_{I,J}(E)) = \operatorname{Ass}_{R}(E) \cap W(I,J),$$

$$\operatorname{Ass}_{R}(\Gamma_{I,J}(\overline{N})) = \operatorname{Ass}_{R}(\overline{N}) \cap W(I,J).$$

So $\operatorname{Ass}_R(\Gamma_{I,J}(E)) = \operatorname{Ass}_R(\Gamma_{I,J}(\overline{N})) = \emptyset$ since $\Gamma_{I,J}(\overline{N}) = 0$ and $\operatorname{Ass}_R(E) = \operatorname{Ass}_R(\overline{N})$. This implies that $H^0_{I,J}(E) = \Gamma_{I,J}(E) = 0$, and so $(0:_E I) = 0$ since $(0:_E I) \subseteq \Gamma_{I,J}(E)$. We also obtain $(0:_{\overline{N}} I) = 0$ since $(0:_{\overline{N}} I)$ is a submodule of $\Gamma_{I,J}(\overline{N})$.

We now prove the theorem by induction on $t \ge 1$. We first consider the case t = 1. From the exact sequence (1), we get the following exact sequences

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \to (0:_L I) \to (0:_N I) \to (0:_{\overline{N}} I) = 0, \\ 0 = (0:_{\overline{N}} I) \to \operatorname{Ext}^1_R(R/I, L) \to \operatorname{Ext}^1_R(R/I, N). \end{aligned}$$

Note that $(0:_N I)$ and $\operatorname{Ext}_R^1(R/I, N)$ are finitely generated by the hypothesis. Thus, we obtain by the above exact sequences that $(0:_L I)$ and $\operatorname{Ext}_R^1(R/I, L)$ are finitely generated. Moreover, since L is in dimension < 2 and $\operatorname{Supp}_R(L) \subseteq W(I, J)$ by the hypothesis, we obtain by Lemma 2.4 that the R-module L is (I, J)-cofinite, that is, $H_{I,J}^0(N)$ is (I, J)-cofinite. Therefore statement (i) is true for the case t = 1.

We obtain by [17, Coro 1.13] that $H^1_{I,J}(N) \cong H^1_{I,J}(\overline{N})$. Hence, we get isomorphisms $(0 :_{H^1_{I,J}(N)} I) \cong (0 :_{H^1_{I,J}(\overline{N})} I)$ and $\operatorname{Ext}^1_R(R/I, H^1_{I,J}(N)) \cong$ $\operatorname{Ext}^1_R(R/I, H^1_{I,J}(\overline{N}))$. We next show that the *R*-modules $(0 :_{H^1_{I,J}(\overline{N})} I)$ and $\operatorname{Ext}^1_R(R/I, H^1_{I,J}(\overline{N}))$ are finitely generated (and thus the statement (ii) is true for the case t = 1). Consider the short exact sequence

$$0 \to \overline{N} \to E \to P \to 0, \tag{2}$$

where E is the injective hull of R-module \overline{N} , and $P = E/\overline{N}$. This induces the following exact sequence

$$0 = H^0_{I,J}(E) \to H^0_{I,J}(P) \to H^1_{I,J}(\overline{N}) \to H^1_{I,J}(E) = 0.$$

It implies that $H^1_{I,J}(\overline{N}) \cong H^0_{I,J}(P)$. Hence $(0:_{H^1_{I,J}(\overline{N})} I) \cong (0:_{H^0_{I,J}(P)} I)$ and $\operatorname{Ext}^1_R(R/I, H^1_{I,J}(\overline{N})) \cong \operatorname{Ext}^1_R(R/I, H^0_{I,J}(P))$. Note that

$$(0:_{H^0_{I,J}(P)} I) = (0:_{\Gamma_{I,J}(P)} I) = (0:_P I).$$

On the other hand, by (2), we get the following exact sequence

$$0 = (0:_E I) \to (0:_P I) \to \operatorname{Ext}^1_R(R/I, \overline{N}) \to \operatorname{Ext}^1_R(R/I, E) = 0$$
$$\to \operatorname{Ext}^1_R(R/I, P) \to \operatorname{Ext}^2_R(R/I, \overline{N}) \to \operatorname{Ext}^2_R(R/I, E) = 0.$$

It implies $(0:_P I) \cong \operatorname{Ext}^1_R(R/I, \overline{N})$ and $\operatorname{Ext}^1_R(R/I, P) \cong \operatorname{Ext}^2_R(R/I, \overline{N})$. Moreover, by (1), we have the following exact sequence

$$\operatorname{Ext}^{1}_{R}(R/I,N) \to \operatorname{Ext}^{1}_{R}(R/I,\overline{N}) \to \operatorname{Ext}^{2}_{R}(R/I,L)$$
$$\to \operatorname{Ext}^{2}_{R}(R/I,N) \to \operatorname{Ext}^{2}_{R}(R/I,\overline{N}) \to \operatorname{Ext}^{3}_{R}(R/I,L)$$

in which $\operatorname{Ext}_R^1(R/I, N)$ and $\operatorname{Ext}_R^2(R/I, N)$ are finitely generated by the hypothesis of the case t = 1. Note that $\operatorname{Ext}_R^2(R/I, L)$ and $\operatorname{Ext}_R^3(R/I, L)$ are finitely generated by the (I, J)-cofiniteness of L as mentioned above. Thus, $\operatorname{Ext}_R^1(R/I, \overline{N})$ and $\operatorname{Ext}_R^2(R/I, \overline{N})$ are finitely generated, and hence the R-modules $(0:_P I)$ and $\operatorname{Ext}_R^1(R/I, P)$ are finitely generated. By the finiteness of the R-modules $(0:_P I)$, we obtain that $(0:_{H_{I,J}^1(\overline{N})} I)$ is finitely generated.

On the other hand, the short exact sequence

$$0 \to H^0_{I,J}(P) \to P \to P/H^0_{I,J}(P) \to 0$$

induces the following exact sequence

$$(0:_{P/H^0_{I,I}(P)}I) \to \operatorname{Ext}^1_R(R/I, H^0_{I,J}(P)) \to \operatorname{Ext}^1_R(R/I, P),$$

where $(0:_{P/H^0_{I,J}(P)} I) = 0$ (by Remark 2.5(ii)) and $\operatorname{Ext}^1_R(R/I, P)$ is finitely generated (by the above paragraph). Hence, $\operatorname{Ext}^1_R(R/I, H^0_{I,J}(P))$ is finitely generated, and so $\operatorname{Ext}^1_R(R/I, H^1_{I,J}(\overline{N}))$ is finitely generated. Thus, the statement (ii) of theorem is true for the case of t = 1.

We now assume that t > 1 and the theorem is true for t - 1. Hence, the *R*-modules $H^i_{I,J}(N)$ is (I, J)-cofinite for all i < t - 1, and the *R*-modules $(0:_{H^{t-1}_{I,J}(N)} I)$ and $\operatorname{Ext}^1_R(R/I, H^{t-1}_{I,J}(N))$ are finitely generated. The rest of this proof devotes to Claim that $H^{t-1}_{I,J}(N)$ is (I, J)-cofinite, and the *R*-modules $(0:_{H^t_{I,J}(N)} I)$ and $\operatorname{Ext}^1_R(R/I, H^t_{I,J}(N))$ are finitely generated.

By again the exact sequence (2), we obtain the following exact sequence

$$H^i_{I,J}(E) \to H^i_{I,J}(P) \to H^{i+1}_{I,J}(\overline{N}) \to H^{i+1}_{I,J}(E), \text{ and}$$

$$\operatorname{Ext}_{R}^{i}(R/I, E) \to \operatorname{Ext}_{R}^{i}(R/I, P) \to \operatorname{Ext}_{R}^{i+1}(R/I, \overline{N}) \\ \to \operatorname{Ext}_{R}^{i+1}(R/I, E),$$

124 On the cofiniteness of in dimension < 2 local cohomology modules for...

where $H_{I,J}^i(E) = 0$ for all $i \ge 0$. Note that $(0:_E I) = 0$ and E is injective, so $\operatorname{Ext}_R^i(R/I, E) = 0$ for all $i \ge 0$. From the above exact sequence, we get by [17, Coro 1.13] that

$$H^{i}_{I,J}(P) \cong H^{i+1}_{I,J}(\overline{N}) \cong H^{i+1}_{I,J}(N) \text{ for all } i \ge 0$$
(3)

and $\operatorname{Ext}_{R}^{i}(R/I, P) \cong \operatorname{Ext}_{R}^{i+1}(R/I, \overline{N})$ for all $i \geq 0$. Thus, the *R*-module $H_{I,J}^{i}(P)$ is in dimension < 2 for all i < t-1 by the hypothesis of the *R*-modules $H_{I,J}^{i+1}(N)$. Moreover, we get again by (1) that the following sequence

$$\operatorname{Ext}_R^{i+1}(R/I,N) \to \operatorname{Ext}_R^{i+1}(R/I,\overline{N}) \to \operatorname{Ext}_R^{i+2}(R/I,L)$$

is exact for all *i*. Note that $\operatorname{Ext}_{R}^{i+2}(R/I, L)$ is finitely generated for all *i* by the (I, J)-cofiniteness of L as mentioned; and $\operatorname{Ext}_{R}^{i+1}(R/I, N)$ is finitely generated for all $i+1 \leq t+1$ by the hypothesis. Thus, $\operatorname{Ext}_{R}^{i+1}(R/I, \overline{N})$ is finitely generated for all $i+1 \leq t+1$. Hence, $\operatorname{Ext}_{R}^{i}(R/I, P)$ is finitely generated for all $i \leq t = (t-1) + 1$.

Thus, the above arguments ensure that the *R*-module *P* satisfies all conditions of the theorem for the case t - 1. Hence, we get by the inductive assumption that $H^i_{I,J}(P)$ is (I, J)-cofinite for all i < t - 1, and the *R*-modules $(0:_{H^{t-1}_{I,J}(P)} I)$ and $\operatorname{Ext}^1_R(R/I, H^{t-1}_{I,J}(P))$ are finitely generated. Therefore, we get by the isomorphisms (3) that $H^{t-1}_{I,J}(N)$ is (I, J)-cofinite, and the *R*-modules $(0:_{H^t_{I,J}(N)} I)$ and $\operatorname{Ext}^1_R(R/I, H^t_{I,J}(N))$ are finitely generated, and the *R*-modules $(0:_{H^t_{I,J}(N)} I)$ and $\operatorname{Ext}^1_R(R/I, H^t_{I,J}(N))$ are finitely generated, and the Claim is proved. Hence the proof of the theorem is finished. \Box

Since $H_{I,0}^i(N) \cong H_I^i(N)$ and W(I,0) = V(I), if we replace J = 0 in Theorem 1.1, we then get the following result for the case of usual local cohomology modules immediately.

Corollary 2.6. [16, Thm 1.1] Let R be a Noetherian commutative ring, and I an ideal of R. Let t be a positive integer, and N an R-module such that $\operatorname{Ext}_{R}^{i}(R/I, N)$ is finitely generated for all $i \leq t + 1$. Assume that $H_{I}^{i}(N)$ is in dimension < 2 for all i < t. Then the following statements are true:

(i) the R-module $H_I^i(N)$ is I-cofinite for all i < t and

(ii) the R-modules $\operatorname{Hom}_R(R/I, H_I^t(N))$ and $\operatorname{Ext}^1_R(R/I, H_I^t(N))$ are finitely generated.

Corollary 2.7. (covers [16, Coro 2.3]) Let t be a positive integer, and N an Rmodule such that $\operatorname{Ext}_{R}^{i}(R/I, N)$ is finitely generated for all $i \leq t+1$. If $H_{I,J}^{i}(N)$ is in dimension < 2 for all i < t, then the R-modules $\operatorname{Hom}_{R}(R/I, H_{I,J}^{t}(N)/K)$ and $\operatorname{Ext}_{R}^{1}(R/I, H_{I,J}^{t}(N)/K)$ are finitely generated, where K is any in dimension < 1 submodule of $H_{I,J}^{t}(N)$. *Proof.* Let K be an in dimension < 1 submodule of $H_{I,J}^t(N)$. We first show that K is (I, J)-cofinite. Note that $(0 :_{H_{I,J}^t(N)} I)$ and $\operatorname{Ext}_R^1(R/I, H_{I,J}^t(N))$ are finitely generated by Theorem 1.1 (*). Hence, since K is a submodule of $H_{I,J}^t(N)$, we obtain that $(0 :_K I)$ also is a submodule of $(0 :_{H_{I,J}^t(N)} I)$. Hence, the R-module $(0 :_K I)$ is finitely generated.

By the hypothesis of K, there exists a finitely generated submodule T of K such that dim $\operatorname{Supp}_R(K/T) \leq 0$. The short exact sequence

$$0 \to T \to K \to K/T \to 0 \tag{4}$$

induces the exact sequence $(0:_K I) \to (0:_{K/T} I) \to \operatorname{Ext}_R^1(R/I, T)$. Thus, the *R*-module $(0:_{K/T} I)$ is finitely generated. On the other hand, we have $\operatorname{Supp}_R(0:_{K/T} I) \subseteq \operatorname{Supp}_R(K/T) \subseteq \operatorname{Max}(R)$. Thus $(0:_{K/T} I)$ is an *R*-module of finite length. On the other hand, we have K/T is the union of its artinian submodules since $\operatorname{Supp}_R(K/T) \subseteq \operatorname{Max}(R)$. We then have by [13, Thm 5.3] that Koszul cohomology module $H^i(a_1, ..., a_s; K/T)$ is finitely generated for all i where $(a_1, ..., a_s) = I$. Thus, we get by [14, Thm 2.1] that $\operatorname{Ext}_R^i(R/I, K/T)$ is finitely generated for all i. Moreover we have $\operatorname{Supp}_R(K/T) \subseteq W(I, J)$. Hence we obtain that K/T is (I, J)-cofinite. Thus, we get by again the sequence (4) that K is (I, J)-cofinite.

From the short exact sequence $0 \to K \to H_I^t(N) \to H_I^t(N)/K \to 0$ we get the following exact sequence

$$(0:_{H_{I}^{t}(N)}I) \to (0:_{H_{I}^{t}(N)/K}I) \to \operatorname{Ext}_{R}^{1}(R/I,K) \to \operatorname{Ext}_{R}^{1}(R/I,H_{I}^{t}(N)) \to \operatorname{Ext}_{R}^{1}(R/I,H_{I}^{t}(N)/K) \to \operatorname{Ext}_{R}^{2}(R/I,K).$$

Therefore, the *R*-modules $\operatorname{Hom}_R(R/I, H_I^t(N)/K)$ and $\operatorname{Ext}_R^1(R/I, H_I^t(N)/K)$ are finitely generated by the cofiniteness of *K* with respect to a pair of ideals (I, J) and by the fact (*).

Remark 2.8. Let N be a finitely generated R-module. So $\operatorname{Ext}_R^j(R/I, N)$ is finitely generated for all $j \geq 0$. It is clear that $H_I^j(N)$ is in dimension < 2 for all j < t when dim $\operatorname{Supp}_R(H_I^j(N)) \leq 1$ for all j < t. Hence, we get by Corollary 2.6 the following corollary.

Corollary 2.9. (covers [5, Thm 2.6]) Let N be a finitely generated R-module, and t a positive integer such that dim $\operatorname{Supp}_R(H_I^i(N)) \leq 1$ for all i < t. Then, $H_I^i(N)$ is I-cofinite for all i < t, and the modules $\operatorname{Hom}_R(R/I, H_I^t(N))$ and $\operatorname{Ext}_R^1(R/I, H_I^t(N))$ are finitely generated.

Remark 2.10. Note that if an *R*-module *K* is weakly Laskerian (this notion is introduced by K. Divaani-Aazar and M. Mafi in [8]), then there exists a finitely generated submodule *T* of *K* such that $\operatorname{Supp}_R(K/T)$ is a finite set (see [3, Thm 3.3]), and hence dim $\operatorname{Supp}_R(K/T) \leq 1$. Moreover, if an *R*-module *K'*

is minimax (this kind of minimax module is defined by H. Zoschinger in [21]), then K' is in dimension < 1. Thus, as an consequence of Theorem 1.1 and Corollary 2.7, we get the following corollary.

Corollary 2.11. [4, Thm 2.8] Let t be a positive integer, and N a finitely generated R-module. Assume that $H_I^i(N)$ is weakly Laskerian for all i < t. Then $H_I^i(N)$ is I-cofinite for all i < t, and $\operatorname{Hom}_R(R/I, H_I^t(N)/K)$ and $\operatorname{Ext}_R^1(R/I, H_I^t(N)/K)$ are finitely generated for any minimax submodule K of $H_I^t(N)$.

References

- M. Aghpournahr, Cofiniteness of local cohomology modules for a pair of ideals for small dimensions, J. Alg and Its App. 16, No. 11 (2017), 1850020.
- [2] D. Asadollahi and R. Naghipour, Faltings' local-global principle for the finiteness of local cohomology modules, Comm. Alg. 43 (2015), 953-958.
- [3] K. Bahmanpour, On the category of weakly Laskerian cofinite modules, Math. Scand. 115 (2014), 62-68.
- [4] K. Bahmanpour, R. Naghipour and M. Sedghi, Modules cofinite and weakly cofinite with respect to an ideal, J. Alg and Its Appl. 17, No. 3 (2018), 1850056.
- [5] K. Bahmanpour and R. Naghipour, Cofiniteness of local cohomology modules for ideals of small dimension, J. Alg. 321 (2009), 1997-2011.
- [6] K. Bahmanpour, R. Naghipour and M. Sedghi, On the category of cofinite modules which is Abelian, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 142 (2014) 1101-1107.
- [7] M. T. Dibaei and S. Yassemi, Associated primes and cofiniteness of local cohomology modules, Manus. Math. 117 (2005), 199-205.
- [8] K. Divaani-Aazar and A. Mafi, Associated primes of local cohomology modules, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 133 (2005), 655–660.
- [9] A. Grothendieck, "Cohomologie local des faisceaux coherents et théorèmes de Lefschetz locaux et globaux (SGA2)", North-Holland, Amsterdam (1968).
- [10] R. Hartshorne, Affine duality and cofiniteness, Invent. Math. 9 (1970), 145-164.
- [11] H. Matsumura, "Commutative ring theory", Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1986.
- [12] A. A. Mehrvarz, R. Naghipour and M. Sedghi, Faltings' local-global principle for the finiteness of local cohomology modules over Noetherian rings, Comm. Alg. 43 (2015), 4860-4872.
- [13] L. Melkersson, Some applications of a criterion for artinianness of a module, J. Pure Appl. Alg. 101 (1995), 291-303.
- [14] L. Melkersson, Modules cofinite with respect to an ideal, J. Alg. 285 (2005), 649-668.
- [15] L. Melkersson, Cofiniteness with respect to ideals of dimension one, J. Alg. 372 (2012), 459-462.

- [16] N. V. Hoang and N. T. Ngoan, On the cofiniteness of local cohomology modules in dimension < 2, Hokkaido Math. J. 52, No. 1 (2023), 65-73.</p>
- [17] R. Takahashi, Y. Yoshino and T. Yoshizawa, Local cohomology based on a nonclosed support defined by a pair of ideals, J. pure and Appl. Algebra 213 (2009), 582-600.
- [18] A. Tehranian and A. P. Eshmanan Talemi, Cofiniteness of local cohomology based on a nonclosed support defined by a pair of ideals, Bull. Iranian Math. Soc. 36 (2010), 145-155.
- [19] A. Tehranian and A. P. Eshmanan Talemi, Non-artinian local cohomology with respect to a pair of ideals, Algebra Colloquium 20, No. 04 (2013), 637-642.
- [20] K. I. Yoshida, Cofiniteness of local cohomology modules for ideals of dimension one, Nagoya Math. J. 147 (1997), 179-191.
- [21] H. Zoschinger, Minimax moduln, J. Algebra 102 (1986), 1-32.