# ON RIGHT GENERALIZED ( $\alpha, \beta$ )-DERIVATIONS IN PRIME RINGS 

Mohammad Tammam El-Sayiad ${ }^{1}$, Najat Muthana and Zakeyah Alkhamisi

Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, King Abdulaziz University, Jeddah, Saudia Arabia. mtammam2012@yahoo.com; Nmuthana@kau.edu.sa; mazasa1@yahoo.com


#### Abstract

Brešar proved that the only semiderivations of prime rings are derivations and mappings of the form $D(x)=\lambda(x-\alpha(x))$, where $\alpha$ is an endomorphism and $\lambda$ is an element in the extended centroid. De Filippis, Mamouni and Oukhtite extended this result to generalized semiderivations. The aim of this note is to extend their results to right generaliged ( $\alpha, \beta$ )-derivations with reduction of some conditions, where $\alpha$ is an epimorphism and $\beta$ is an endomorphism.


## 1 Introduction

Throughout this work $R$ will be a ring with center $Z(R)$. We shall denote by $C$ to the extended centroid of $R$ and by $U$ to the right Utumi quotient ring of $R$ when $R$ is a semiprime ring (see[1 and 6] for details). The symbol $[x, y]$ denotes the Lie structure or the commutator $x y-y x$. Let $S$ be a nonempty subset of $R$. A map $f: S \rightarrow R$ is said to be commuting on $S$ in case $[x, f(x)]=0$ satisfies for all $x \in S$. Also, if $S$ is a subring of $R$ and $\alpha$ is an endomorphism of $R$, a map $f: S \rightarrow U$ is said to be $\alpha$-commuting on $S$ in case $[\alpha(x), f(x)]=0$ holds for all $x \in S$ and is called additive modulo $C$ on

[^0]$S$ in case $f(x+y)-f(x)-f(y) \in C$ is fulfilled for all $x, y \in S$. A ring $R$ is said to be prime if for $a, b \in R$; $a R b=0$ then either $a=0$ or $b=0$ and $R$ is said to be semiprime if for $a \in R ; a R a=0$ then $a=0$. Let $\alpha$ and $\beta$ be mappings of $R$. A map $D$ on $R$ is called an $(\alpha, \beta)$-derivation of $R$ if it is additive and satisfying $D(x y)=D(x) \alpha(y)+\beta(x) D(y)$, for all $x, y \in R$. Note that an $(I, I)$-derivation, where $I$ is the identity map on $R$, is the usual definition of derivation. Let $D$ be an $(\alpha, \beta)$-derivation of $R$, a map $F$ on $R$ is called a right (left) generalized $(\alpha, \beta)$-derivation of $R$ associated with $D$ if it is additive and satisfying $F(x y)=F(x) \alpha(y)+\beta(x) D(y)(F(x y)=D(x) \alpha(y)+\beta(x) F(y))$, for all $x, y \in R$. Moreover, if $F$ is both a right and left generalized $(\alpha, \beta)$-derivation associated with $D$, then it is said to be a generalized $(\alpha, \beta)$-derivation associated with $D$. Also, a generalized $(I, I)$-derivation on $R$ is called a generalized derivation on $R$. (Note that this definition is different from that in [5]; generalized derivations in [5] are the right generalized derivations in our work.)

Let $g$ be a mapping of $R$, a map $d$ on $R$ is said to be a semiderivation of $R$ associated with $g$ if it is additive and satisfying $d(x y)=d(x) y+g(x) d(y)=$ $d(x) g(y)+x d(y), d(g(x))=g(d(x))$ for all $x, y \in R$. Let $d$ be a semiderivation of $R$ associated with a mapping $g$ of $R$, a map $G$ on $R$ is called a generalized semiderivation of $R$ associated with $d$ and $g$ if it is additive and satisfying $G(x y)=G(x) y+g(x) d(y)=d(x) g(y)+x G(y), G(g(x))=g(G(x))$ for all $x, y \in R$.

## 2 Preliminaries

We shall require throughout this paper to the following results.
Lemma 2.1 ([2], Lemma). Let $f$ and $g$ be two mappings of a prime ring $R$. If $f(x) y g(z)=g(x) y f(z)$ for all $x, y, z \in R$ such that $f \neq 0$, then $g(x)=\lambda f(x)$ for all $x \in R$, where $\lambda \in C$.

Lemma 2.2 ([3], Theorem 1). If $d \neq 0$ is a semiderivation of a prime ring $R$ associated with a mapping $g$ of $R$, then $g$ is an endomorphism.

Lemma 2.3 ([7], Corollary 1). Let $\alpha$ be an epimorphism of a semiprime ring $R$ and $f: R \rightarrow U$ be an additive modulo $C$ mapping. If $f$ is $\alpha$-commuting on $R$, then there exist $\lambda \in C$ and a mapping $\sigma: R \rightarrow C$ such that $f(x)=\lambda \alpha(x)+\sigma(x)$ for all $x \in R$.

## 3 The results

To prove our result we need to generalize the result of Brešar [2], as in the following theorem.

Theorem 3.1. Let $\alpha$ and $\beta$ be two endomorphisms of a prime ring $R$ and $D$ be a mapping of $R$ such that $D(x y)=D(x) \alpha(y)+\beta(x) D(y)=\alpha(x) D(y)+$ $D(x) \beta(y)$ holds for all $x, y \in R$, where $\alpha$ or $\beta$ is surjective. Then one of the following two cases is fulfilled.

1. $\alpha=\beta$ and so $D$ satisfies $D(x y)=D(x) \alpha(y)+\alpha(x) D(y)$ for all $x, y \in R$.
2. $D(x)=\lambda(\alpha-\beta)(x)$ for all $x \in R$, where $\lambda \in C$.

Proof Suppose that $\alpha$ is surjective. By the hypothesis, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
D(x y)=D(x) \alpha(y)+\beta(x) D(y)=\alpha(x) D(y)+D(x) \beta(y) \quad \text { for all } x, y \in R \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $\alpha=\beta$ in (3.1),

$$
\begin{equation*}
D(x y)=D(x) \alpha(y)+\alpha(x) D(y) \quad \text { for all } x, y \in R \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore we may assume that $\alpha-\beta \neq 0$. Note that (3.1) can be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
D(x)(\alpha-\beta)(y)=(\alpha-\beta)(x) D(y) \quad \text { for all } x, y \in R \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Putting in (3.1) $y z$ for $y$, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
D(x)(\alpha-\beta)(y z)=(\alpha-\beta)(x) D(y z) \quad \text { for all } x, y, z \in R \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
D(x)(\alpha-\beta)(y z)=D(x) \alpha(y)(\alpha-\beta)(z)+D(x)(\alpha-\beta)(y) \beta(z) \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
(\alpha-\beta)(x) D(y z)=(\alpha-\beta)(x) \alpha(y) D(z)+(\alpha-\beta)(x) D(y) \beta(z) \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Comparing the last two relations and using (3.3), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
D(x) \alpha(y)(\alpha-\beta)(z)=(\alpha-\beta)(x) \alpha(y) D(z) \quad \text { for all } x, y, z \in R \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\alpha$ is surjective, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
D(x) y(\alpha-\beta)(z)=(\alpha-\beta)(x) y D(z) \quad \text { for all } x, y, z \in R . \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma 2.1 now gives us $D(x)=\lambda(\alpha-\beta)(x)$ for all $x \in R$, where $\lambda \in C$.
Corollary 3.2 ([2], Theorem). If $D$ is a semiderivation of a prime ring $R$ associated with the mapping $\alpha$ of $R$, then either $D$ is a derivation or $D(x)=$ $\lambda(I-\alpha)(x)$, where $\lambda \in C, I$ is the identity map on $R$ and $\alpha$ is an endomorphism.

Proof If $D=0$ we have nothing to prove. Assume that $D \neq 0$, then by Lemma 2.2, $\alpha$ is an endomorphism; and so by Theorem 3.1 we get the desired result.

Now, we will mention and prove the main result in this note.
Theorem 3.3. Let $\alpha$ be an epimorphism of a prime ring $R$ and $\beta$ be an endomorphism of $R$. If $F$ is a right generalized $(\alpha, \beta)$-derivation associated with an $(\alpha, \beta)$-derivation $D$ of $R$ such that $F(x y)=D(x) \beta(y)+\alpha(x) F(y)$ for all $x, y \in R$ and $D(x y)=D(x) \beta(y)+\alpha(x) D(y)$ for all $x, y \in R$, then one of the following two cases is fulfilled.

1. $\alpha=\beta$ and so $F$ is a generalized $(\alpha, \alpha)$-derivation.
2. $F(x)=\lambda \alpha(x)+\mu \beta(x)=(\lambda+\mu) \alpha(x)+D(x)$ for all $x \in R$, where $\lambda, \mu \in C$.

Proof Given that

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(x y)=F(x) \alpha(y)+\beta(x) D(y)=D(x) \beta(y)+\alpha(x) F(y) \quad \text { for all } x, y \in R \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $\alpha=\beta$ in (3.9), then $F$ is a generalized $(\alpha, \alpha)$-derivation. Now, assume that $\alpha-\beta \neq 0$, then by Theorem 3.1 there exists $\lambda_{1} \in C$ such that $D(x)=$ $\lambda_{1}(\alpha-\beta)(x)$ for all $x \in R$. Then from (3.9),
$F(x) \alpha(y)+\lambda_{1} \beta(x) \alpha(y)-\lambda_{1} \beta(x) \beta(y)=\lambda_{1} \alpha(x) \beta(y)-\lambda_{1} \beta(x) \beta(y)+\alpha(x) F(y) ;$
that is,

$$
F(x) \alpha(y)+\lambda_{1} \beta(x) \alpha(y)-\lambda_{1} \alpha(x) \beta(y)-\alpha(x) F(y)=0 .
$$

Denote $G=F+\lambda_{1} \beta$. If $G=0$, then $F(x)=-\lambda_{1} \beta(x)$ for all $x \in R$ and we get the desired result. Therefore suppose that $G \neq 0$, and by (3.11), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
G(x) \alpha(y)-\alpha(x) G(y)=0 \quad \text { for all } x, y \in R \tag{3.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Putting $x=y$ in (3.12), then $G$ is an additive $\alpha$-commuting map on $R$. By Lemma 2.3, we get $\lambda_{2} \in C$ and additive mapping $\sigma: R \rightarrow C$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
G(x)=\lambda_{2} \alpha(x)+\sigma(x) \quad \text { for all } x \in R \tag{3.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus (3.12) implies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma(x) \alpha(y)=\alpha(x) \sigma(y) \quad \text { for all } x, y \in R \tag{3.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\sigma(R) \subseteq C$ and by (3.14), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma(R) R[\alpha(x), \alpha(y)]=0 \quad \text { for all } x, y \in R . \tag{3.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $\sigma(R) \neq 0$ and since $R$ is prime, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
[\alpha(x), \alpha(y)]=0 \quad \text { for all } x, y \in R \tag{3.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

and since $\alpha$ is surjective, $R$ is commutative and then $R \subseteq C$. Moreover, since $G \neq 0$, we get $x_{0} \in R$ such that $G\left(x_{0}\right) \neq 0$ and denote $G\left(x_{0}\right)=\lambda_{3} \in C$, and $\left(\alpha\left(x_{0}\right)\right)^{-1}=\lambda_{4} \in C$. Thus by (3.12), we have $G(y)=\lambda_{4} \lambda_{3} \alpha(y)$ for all $y \in R$, therefore, $F(y)=\lambda_{4} \lambda_{3} \alpha(y)-\lambda_{1} \beta(y)$ for all $y \in R$, as required.

Hence we may assume that $\sigma(R)=0$ and so $G(x)=\lambda_{2} \alpha(x)$, that is, $F(x)=\lambda_{2} \alpha(x)-\lambda_{1} \beta(x)$ for all $x \in R$ and we are done again.

From Theorem 3.3 we can get
Corollary 3.4 ([4], Theorem 17). Let $\alpha$ be an endomorphism of a prime ring $R$ and $D$ be a semiderivation of $R$ associated with $\alpha$. If $F$ is a generalized semiderivation of $R$ associated with $D$ and $\alpha$, then one of the following two cases is satisfied

1. $\alpha=I$ and so $F$ is a right generalized derivation.
2. $F(x)=\lambda x+\mu \alpha(x)=(\lambda+\mu) x+D(x)$ for all $x \in R$, where $\lambda, \mu \in C$.
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