## Spectrum of graph parameters

### Narong Punnim

Department of Mathematics, Srinakharinwirot University Sukhumvit 23, Bangkok 10110, Thailand e-mail : narongp@swu.ac.th

#### Abstract

Let  $\mathcal{G}$  be the class of all graphs and  $\mathcal{J} \subseteq \mathcal{G}$ . A graph parameter f is called an *interpolation graph parameter with respect to*  $\mathcal{J}$  if there exist integers a and b such that

$$\{f(G): G \in \mathcal{J}\} = \{k \in \mathbb{Z} : a \le k \le b\}.$$

In the study of interpolation on graph parameter f with respect to  $\mathcal{J}$ , we may consider into two parts. First, it is to consider whether a given graph parameter f interpolates with respect to  $\mathcal{J}$  or not. If it is, we shall develop techniques to find  $\min(f, \mathcal{J}) := \min\{f(G) : G \in \mathcal{J}\}$  and  $\max(f, \mathcal{J}) := \max\{f(G) : G \in \mathcal{J}\}.$ 

We discuss various kinds of graph parameters and answer the first part of interpolation theorem of graph parameters. Some of which have been done while some are new. As an application, we are able to provide an alternate proof of Erdős' conjecture on regular graphs with prescribed chromatic number.

## 1. Introduction

Let  $\mathcal{G}$  be the class of all simple graphs. A function  $f: \mathcal{G} \to \mathbb{Z}$  is called a graph parameter if f(G) = f(H), whenever  $G \cong H$ . Let f be a graph parameter and  $\mathcal{J} \subseteq \mathcal{G}$ , f is called an *interpolation graph parameter with respect to*  $\mathcal{J}$  if there exist integers a and b such that  $\{f(G): G \in \mathcal{J}\} = \{k \in \mathbb{Z} : a \leq k \leq b\}$ .

In 1963, Erdős and Gallai [2] proved that any regular graph on n vertices has a chromatic number  $k \leq \frac{3n}{5}$  unless the graph is complete. Erdős gave a

Work supported by The Thailand Research Fund, under the grant number BRG/09/2545. Key words and phrases: degree sequence, graph parameter, interpolation graph parameter.

<sup>(2000)</sup> Mathematics Subject Classification: 05C07

conjecture that "probably such a graph exists for every  $k \leq \frac{3n}{5}$ , except possibly for trivial exceptional cases."

Caccetta and Pullman [1] confirmed and strengthened the above conjecture by showing that if k > 1, then for every  $n \ge \frac{5k}{3}$ , there exists a connected, regular, k-chromatic graph on n vertices. This is an example of interpolation graph parameter  $\chi$  with respect to the class of all connected regular graphs of order n.

It is noted that the proof given in [1] is a constructed proof. We will show in section 5 an alternate proof of Erdős' conjecture as a consequence of our interpolation theorem of the graph parameter  $\chi$ .

In 1980, G. Chartrand raised the following question: If a graph G possesses a spanning tree having m leaves and another having M leaves, where m < M, does G possess a spanning tree having k leaves for every k between m and M?

This question was answered affirmatively and it led to a host of lots of papers studying the interpolation properties of graph parameters with respect to the set of all spanning trees of a given graph.

In [6] - [10], Harary et. al., published some results of interpolation theorems on various kinds of graph parameters with respect to the set of all spanning trees and some classes of spanning subgraphs of a given graph.

In the study of interpolation of graph parameter f with respect to  $\mathcal{J}$ , we may consider into two parts. First, we consider whether a given graph parameter f interpolates with respect to  $\mathcal{J}$  or not. If it is, we shall develop techniques to find

 $\min(f, \mathcal{J}) := \min\{f(G) : G \in \mathcal{J}\} \text{ and } \max(f, \mathcal{J}) := \max\{f(G) : G \in \mathcal{J}\}.$ 

In this paper we present only the first part of the theorem for some graph parameters with respect to the class of all graphs with a fixed degree sequence. The second part of the theorem will also be discussed for the graph parameter  $\chi$ . Finally we provide an alternate proof of Erdős' conjecture on regular graphs with prescribed chromatic number.

### 2. The graph of realizations

Let G be a graph of order n and  $V(G) = \{v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_n\}$  be the vertex set of G. The sequence  $(d(v_1), d(v_2), \ldots, d(v_n))$  is called a *degree sequence* of G. Moreover, a graph H of order n is said to have the same degree sequence as G if there is a bijection  $\phi: V(G) \to V(H)$  such that  $d(v_i) = d(\phi(v_i))$  for all  $i = 1, 2, \ldots, n$ .

A sequence  $\mathbf{d} = (d_1, d_2, \dots, d_n)$  of non-negative integers is a graphic degree sequence if it is a degree sequence of some graph G and in this case, G is called a realization of  $\mathbf{d}$ .

N. PUNNIM

**Theorem 2.1** (Havel [11] and Hakimi [5]) Let  $\mathbf{d} = (d_1, d_2, \ldots, d_n)$  be a nonincreasing sequence of non-negative integers and denote the sequence

$$(d_2 - 1, d_3 - 1, \dots, d_{d_1+1} - 1, d_{d_1+2}, \dots, d_n) = \mathbf{d}'.$$

Then  $\mathbf{d}$  is graphic if and only if  $\mathbf{d}'$  is graphic.

A switching on a graph G is a replacement of any two independent edges ab and cd of G by the edges ac and bd, where ac and bd are not edges in G. It is easy to see that the graph obtained from G by a switching will have the same degree sequence as G. Thus, we may say that switching is a degree-preserving operation. It would be convenient to denote by  $G^{\sigma(a,b;c,d)}$  for the graph obtained from G by the above switching.

It is easy to see that the graph obtained from G by a switching will have the same degree sequence as G. Thus, we may say that switching is a degreepreserving operation. The following theorem has been shown by Havel [11] and Hakimi [5].

**Theorem 2.2** Let  $\mathbf{d} = (d_1, d_2, \dots, d_n)$  be a graphic degree sequence. If  $G_1$  and  $G_2$  are any two realizations of  $\mathbf{d}$ , then one can be obtained from the other by a finite sequence of switchings.

As a consequence of the above Theorem, we can define the graph  $\mathcal{R}(\mathbf{d})$  of realizations of  $\mathbf{d}$ , the vertices of which are the graphs with degree sequence  $\mathbf{d}$ ; two vertices are adjacent in  $\mathcal{R}(\mathbf{d})$  if one can be obtained from the other by a switching. Thus we have shown the following corollary.

**Corollary 2.3** The graph  $\mathcal{R}(\mathbf{d})$  is connected.

Let  $\chi(G)$  and  $\omega(G)$  be the chromatic number and the clique number of a graph G, respectively. We proved in [15] and [16] that the graph parameters  $\chi$  and  $\omega$  are interpolation graph parameters with respect to the set of all graphs with a fixed degree sequence. In 1956, Nordhaus and Gaddum [12] studied graph parameters  $\chi + \overline{\chi}$  and  $\chi \cdot \overline{\chi}$  which are defined by:  $(\chi + \overline{\chi})(G) := \chi(G) + \chi(\overline{G})$  and  $(\chi \cdot \overline{\chi})(G) := \chi(G) \cdot \chi(\overline{G})$ , for any graph G.

**Theorem 2.4** (Nordhaus and Gaddum [12]) Let G be a graph on n vertices. Then

(i)  $2\sqrt{n} \le \chi(G) + \chi(\overline{G}) \le n+1$ ,

(ii)  $n \le \chi(\overline{G}) \cdot \chi(\overline{\overline{G}}) \le (\frac{1}{2}(n+1))^2.$ 

The following result due to Fink [3] establishes the existence of a graph with prescribe chromatic number.

**Theorem 2.5** (Fink [3]) Every pair of positive integers p and q with  $p+q \leq n+1$ and  $pq \geq n$  there exists a graph G of order n such that  $\chi(G) = p$  and  $\chi(\overline{G}) = q$ .  $\Box$ 

The result in Theorem 2.5 above is a kind of interpolation graph parameter  $\chi + \overline{\chi}$  with respect to the set of all graphs of order n. It is easy to see that the parameter  $\chi \cdot \overline{\chi}$ , in general, does not have interpolation property with respect to the set of all graphs of order n.

## 3. Interpolation theorems

In this section, we prove interpolation theorems for three graph parameters, namely the vertex independence number, the edge independence number and the vertex domination number. Relevant graph parameters are also discussed.

A subset U of the vertex set V of a graph G = (V, E) is said to be an *independent set* of G if no two vertices of U are adjacent in G. An independent set of G with maximum number of vertices is called a *maximum independent set* of G. The number of vertices in a maximum independent set of G, written  $\alpha_0(G)$ , is called the *independence number* of G.

A subset M of the edge set E of a graph G = (V, E) is an *independent edge* set or matching in G if no two distinct edges in M have a common vertex. A matching M is maximum in G if there is no matching M' of G with |M'| > |M|. The cardinality of a maximum matching of G, written  $\alpha_1(G)$ , is called the matching number of G.

A vertex of a graph G = (V, E) is said to cover the edges incident with it. A vertex cover of a graph G is a set of vertices covering all the edges of G. The minimum cardinality of a vertex cover of a graph G, written by  $\beta_0(G)$ , is called its vertex covering number of G.

An edge of a graph G = (V, E) is said to cover the two vertices incident with it, and an *edge cover* of a graph G is a set of edges covering all the vertices of G. The minimum cardinality of an edge cover of G, written by  $\beta_1(G)$ , is called its *edge covering number* of G.

A dominating set (or domset, for short) of a graph G = (V, E) is a subset D of V such that each vertex of  $V \setminus D$  is adjacent to at least one vertex of D. The domination number  $\gamma(G)$  is the cardinality of a minimal dominating set with least number of elements.

Gallai ([4]) proposed a result concerning to the relationship between  $\alpha_0$  and  $\beta_0$  as follows.

**Theorem 3.1** For any graph G of order n,  $\alpha_0 + \beta_0 = n$ .

Norman and Rabin [13] also gave the relationship between  $\alpha_1$ , and  $\beta_1$  as in Theorem 3.2.

**Theorem 3.2** For any graph G of order n and  $\delta \ge 1$ ,  $\alpha_1 + \beta_1 = n$ .

We now prove the results on interpolation theorems on graph parameters  $\alpha_0$ ,  $\alpha_1$  and  $\gamma$  as follows:

**Theorem 3.3** If G is a graph and  $\sigma(a, b; c, d)$  is a switching on G, then  $\alpha_0(G^{\sigma(a,b;c,d)}) \ge \alpha_0(G) - 1.$ 

**Proof** Let G = (V, E) be a graph and let U be an independent set of vertices of V with  $|U| = \alpha_0(G)$ . Let  $\sigma(a, b; c, d) = \sigma$  be a switching on G. Since U is an independent set of vertices, the induced subgraph of  $U^{\sigma}$  in  $G^{\sigma}$  contains at least  $\alpha_0(G) - 1$  independent vertices. Therefore  $\alpha_0(G^{\sigma}) \ge \alpha_0(G) - 1$ .

**Corollary 3.4** If  $\sigma$  is a switching on G, then  $|\alpha_0(G) - \alpha_0(G^{\sigma})| \leq 1$ .

N. PUNNIM

**Proof** Since a switching is symmetry, we may assume that  $\alpha_0(G) \ge \alpha_0(G^{\sigma})$ . By Theorem 3.3,  $\alpha_0(G^{\sigma})$  is either  $\alpha_0(G) - 1$  or  $\alpha_0(G)$ . In both cases we have  $|\alpha_0(G) - \alpha_0(G^{\sigma})| \le 1$ .

**Theorem 3.5** If G is a graph with  $\alpha_1(G) = \alpha_1$  and  $\sigma$  is a switching on G, then  $\alpha_1(G^{\sigma}) \ge \alpha_1 - 1$ .

**Proof** Let M be an independent set of edges of E with  $|M| = \alpha_1(G)$ . Let  $\sigma(a, b; c, d) = \sigma$  be a switching on G. If  $\{ab, cd\} \cap M = \emptyset$ , then  $|M| = |M^{\sigma}|$ . If  $\{ab, cd\} \subseteq M$ , then  $|M| = |M^{\sigma}|$ . Finally, if M contains exactly one edge from the set  $\{ab, cd\}$ , then  $|M^{\sigma}| = |M| - 1$ . Therefore  $\alpha_1(G^{\sigma}) \ge \alpha_1 - 1$ .

**Corollary 3.6** If  $\sigma$  is a switching on G, then  $|\alpha_1(G) - \alpha_1(G^{\sigma})| \leq 1$ .

**Proof** Since a switching is symmetry, we may assume that  $\alpha_1(G) \ge \alpha_1(G^{\sigma})$ . By Theorem 3.5,  $\alpha_1(G^{\sigma})$  is either  $\alpha_1(G) - 1$  or  $\alpha_1(G)$ . In both cases we have  $|\alpha_1(G) - \alpha_1(G^{\sigma})| \le 1$ .

**Theorem 3.7** If G is a graph with  $\gamma(G) = \gamma$  and  $\sigma$  is a switching on G, then  $\gamma(G^{\sigma}) \leq \gamma + 1$ .

**Proof** Let *D* be a domset of vertices of *V* with  $|D| = \gamma(G)$ . Let  $\sigma(a, b; c, d) = \sigma$ be a switching on *G*. If  $\{a, b, c, d\} \cap D = \emptyset$ , then  $|D| = |D^{\sigma}|$ . If  $\{a, b, c, d\} \subseteq D$ , then  $|D| = |D^{\sigma}|$ . If  $a \in D$  and  $b, c, d \in V \setminus D$ , then  $D \cup \{b\}$  is a domset of  $G^{\sigma}$ . If  $a, b \in D$  and  $c, d \in V \setminus D$ , then *D* is a domset of  $G^{\sigma}$ . If  $a, c \in D$ , then  $D \cup \{b\}$  is a domset of  $G^{\sigma}$ . Finally, if  $a, b, c \in D$  and  $d \in V \setminus D$ , then  $D \cup \{d\}$ is a domset of  $G^{\sigma}$ . Thus  $\gamma(G^{\sigma}) \leq \gamma(G) + 1$ .

**Corollary 3.8** If  $\sigma$  is a switching on G, then  $|\gamma(G) - \gamma(G^{\sigma})| \leq 1$ .

**Proof** Since a switching is symmetry, we may assume that  $\gamma(G) \leq \gamma(G^{\sigma})$ . By Theorem 3.7,  $\gamma(G^{\sigma})$  is either  $\gamma(G) + 1$  or  $\gamma(G)$ . In both cases we have  $|\gamma(G) - \gamma(G^{\sigma})| \leq 1$ .

Combining the results in this section and with the fact that the graph of realizations is connected we can conclude the following theorem.

**Theorem 3.9** Let  $\mathbf{d} = (d_1, d_2, \dots, d_n), d_1 \ge d_2 \ge \dots \ge d_n \ge 1$  be a graphic degree sequence. Then  $\alpha_0, \alpha_1, \beta_0, \beta_1, \gamma$  are interpolation graph parameters with respect to  $\mathcal{R}(\mathbf{d})$ .

We now summarize our results for the first part of interpolation theorem as follows:

**Theorem 3.10** Let  $f \in \{\chi, \omega, \alpha_0, \alpha_1, \beta_0, \beta_1, \gamma\}$ . Then for any graphic degree sequence **d**, there exist integers a := a(f) and b := b(f) such that **d** has a realization G with f(G) = c if and only if c is an integer satisfying  $a \le c \le b$ .  $\Box$ 

# 4. Application

In this final section, we first state our results in [15] and use them to proof Erdős' conjecture on regular graphs with prescribed chromatic number. Theorem 4.1 - Theorem 4.6 are cited from [15], while Theorem 4.7 - Theorem 4.8 are cited from [14].

**Theorem 4.1** If  $r \geq 2$  and  $n \geq 2r$ , then

$$\min(\chi, r^n) = \begin{cases} 2 & \text{if } n \text{ is even,} \\ 3 & \text{if } n \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}$$

**Theorem 4.2** If  $r \ge 2$ , then  $\min(\chi, r^{r+1}) = \max(\chi, r^{r+1}) = r + 1$ , and  $\min(\chi, r^{r+2}) = \max(\chi, r^{r+2}) = (r+2)/2$  (in this case r must be even). **Theorem 4.3** For any  $r \ge 4$  and odd integer s such that  $3 \le s \le r$ , let q and t be integers satisfying  $r + s = sq + t, 0 \le t < s$ . Then

$$\min(\chi, r^{r+s}) = \begin{cases} q & \text{if } t = 0, \\ q+1 & \text{if } 1 \le t \le s-2, \\ q+2 & \text{if } t = s-1. \end{cases}$$

**Theorem 4.4** For any even integer  $r \ge 6$  and any even number s such that  $4 \leq s \leq r$ , let q and t be integers satisfying r + s = sq + t,  $0 \leq t < s$ . Then

$$\min(\chi, r^{r+s}) = \begin{cases} q & \text{if } t = 0, \\ q+1 & \text{if } t \ge 2. \end{cases}$$

**Theorem 4.5** Let  $r \geq 2$ . Then

 $\max(\chi, r^{2r}) = r,$  $\max(\chi, r^{2r+1}) = \begin{cases} 3 & \text{if } r = 2, \\ r & \text{if } r \ge 4, \\ \max(\chi, r^n) = r+1 \text{ for } n \ge 2r+2. \end{cases}$ (1)(2)(3)

**Theorem 4.6** For any r and s such that  $3 \le s \le r - 1$ , we have

(1)  $\max(\chi, r^{r+s}) \ge (r+s)/2$  if r+s is even, and

(2) 
$$\max(\chi, r^{r+s}) \ge (r+s-1)/2$$
 if  $r+s$  is odd.

We defined in [14] an F(j)-graph to be a (j-1)-regular graph G of minimum order f(j) with  $\chi(\overline{G})$  exceeds f(j)/2. We determined F(j)-graphs for all odd integers  $j, j \ge 3$ , as stated in following 2 theorems:

**Theorem 4.7** For odd integer j with  $j \ge 3$ , we have  $f(j) = \frac{5}{2}(j-1)$  if  $j \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$  and  $f(j) = 1 + \frac{5}{2}(j-1)$  if  $j \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ .

**Theorem 4.8** Any *r*-regular graph of order *n* with n - r = j is odd and  $j \ge 3$ , has chromatic number at most  $\frac{\hat{f(j)}+1}{2f(j)} \cdot n$ , and this bound is achieved precisely for those graphs with complement equal to a disjoint union of F(j)-graphs.  $\Box$ 

#### N. PUNNIM

Erdős and Gallai [2] showed that any r-regular graph of order n with r < n-1 has a chromatic number at most 3n/5, and this bound is achieved precisely for those graphs with complement equal to a disjoint union of 5-cycles. This means that the bound 3n/5 is the best for an r-regular graph of order n when r = n - 3 and the complementary graph is 2-regular. To achieve the bound, they choose the complementary graph to be the disjoint union of 5-cycles.

In the case when j = 3, the F(3)-graph is  $C_5$  and the result of Erdős and Gallai [2] becomes a special case of Theorem 4.8.

Let n be an integer with  $n \ge 4$ , r = n - 3 and n = 5p + i,  $0 \le i \le 4$ . Then an explicit formula for  $\max(\chi, r^n)$  can be given as follows.

$$\max(\chi, r^n) = \begin{cases} 3p & \text{if } i = 0, 1\\ 3p + 1 & \text{if } i = 2, 3, \\ 3p + 2 & \text{if } i = 4. \end{cases}$$

For an integer  $n \ge 4$ , n = 5p + i,  $0 \le i \le 4$  and n = 3q + t,  $0 \le t \le 2$ . If n is even and n = 2m, then

$$\chi(m^n) = [2, m] \text{ and } \chi((n-3)^n) = [q+t, 3p + \lfloor i/2 \rfloor].$$

If n is odd,  $n \ge 7$  and n = 2m + 1, then

$$\chi(r^n) = [3, r] \text{ and } \chi((n-3)^n) = [q+t, 3p + \lfloor i/2 \rfloor],$$

where r is an even integer either r = m - 1 or r = m.

It is easy to check that

 $\chi(m^n) \cap \chi((n-3)^n) \neq \emptyset$  and  $\chi(r^n) \cap \chi((n-3)^n) \neq \emptyset$ .

Thus we have proved the following result on interpolation theorem of  $\chi$  with respect to the class of all connected non complete regular graphs.

#### Theorem 4.9

(1) If n is even and  $n \ge 6$ , then there exists a connected non complete regular graph G of order n with  $\chi(G) = k$  if and only if k is an integer such that  $2 \le k \le 3n/5$ .

(2) If n is odd and  $n \ge 7$ , then there exists a connected non complete regular graph G of order n with  $\chi(G) = k$  if and only if k is an integer such that  $3 \le k \le 3n/5$ .

## Acknowledgement

The author would like to thank Professor R. B. Eggleton for drawing my attention to the subject since 1997. He would also like to thank Department of Mathematics, Illinois State University for their grateful hospitality during his visit.

### References

- L. Caccetta and N.J. Pullman, Regular graphs with prescribed chromatic number, J. Graph Theory, 14 (1990), 65-71.
- P. Erdős and T. Gallai, Solution of a problem of Dirac, Theory of Graphs and its applications: Proceedings of the symposium, Smolenice, June 1963. Publishing House of the Czechoslavian Academy of Science, Prague (1964), 167-168.
- [3] H. J. Fink, Über die chromatischen Zahlen eines Graphen und Seines Komplements, Wiss. Z. T. H. Ilmenau. 12 (1966) 243-251.
- [4] T. Gallai, Uber extreme Punkt-und Kantenmenger, Ann. Univ. Sci, Budapest, Eötvös Sect. Math. 2 (1959), 133-138.
- [5] S. Hakimi, On the realizability of a set of integers as the degree of the vertices of a graph: SIAM J. Appl. Math. 10 1962, 496-506.
- [6] F. Harary, J. Robert and M. Plantholt, Interpolation theorems for diameters of spanning trees. IEEE Trans. Circuits and Systems 30(1983), No. 7, 429-432.
- [7] F. Harary, E. Kolasińska and M. Sysło, zxx Cycle basis interpolation theorems. Cycles in graphs (Burnaby, B. C., 1982), 369-379, North-Holland Math. Stud., 115, North-Halland, Amsterdam-New York, 1985.
- [8] F. Harary and S. Schuster, Interpolation theorems for the invariants of spanning trees of a given graph: edge-covering. Eighteenth Southeastern International conference on Combinatorics, Graph Theory, and Computing (Boca Raton, Fla, 1987). Congr. Numer. 59(1987), 107-114.
- [9] F. Harary and M. Plantholt, Classification of interpolation theorems for spanning trees and other families of spanning subgraphs, J. Graph Theory 13 (1989), no.6, 703-712.
- [10] F. Harary and S. Schuster, Interpolation theorems for the independence and domination numbers of spanning trees. Graph theory in memory of G.A. Dirac (Sandbjerg, 1985), 221 - 227, Ann.Discrete Math., 41, North-Holland, Amsterdam-New York, 1989.
- [11] M. Havel, A remark on the existence of finite graphs (in Hungarian), Casopis Pest. Mat., 80(1955), 477-480.
- [12] E. A. Nordhaus and J. W. Gaddum, On complementary graphs, Amer. Math. Monthly 63 (1956) 175-177.
- [13] R. Norman and M. O. Rabin, An algorithm for a minimum cover of a graph, Proc.Amer. Math. Soc. 10 (1959), 315-319.
- [14] N. Punnim, On F(j)-graphs and their application, Journal of Combinatorial Mathematics and Combinatorial Computing (JCMCC), Vol. 38, (2001).
- [15] N. Punnim, Degree Sequences and Chromatic Number of Graphs, J. of Graphs and Combinatorics, 18(3), (2002), 597-603.
- [16] N. Punnim, The clique number of regular graphs, J of Graphs and Combinatorics, 18(4), (2002), 781-785.