

**A RESULT ON THE INSTABILITY OF  
SOLUTIONS OF CERTAIN  
NON-AUTONOMOUS VECTOR  
DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS OF FOURTH  
ORDER\***

**Cemil Tunç\* and Ercan Tunç†**

\* *Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Arts and Sciences  
Yüzüncü Yıl University,  
65080 ,Van, TURKEY.  
cemtunc@yahoo.com*

† *Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Arts and Sciences  
Gaziosmanpaşa University  
60080 ,Tokat, TURKEY.  
ercantunc72@yahoo.com*

**Abstract**

The main purpose of this paper is to obtain sufficient conditions under which the trivial solution of the vector differential equations of the form

$$X^{(4)} + A \ddot{X} + B(t)H(X, \dot{X}, \ddot{X}, \ddot{X}) \ddot{X} + C(t)G(X) \dot{X} + D(t)F(X) = 0$$

is unstable.

## 1 Introduction

We are interested in obtaining a result on the instability behavior of the trivial solution  $X = 0$  of the nonlinear vector differential equations of the form:

$$X^{(4)} + A \ddot{X} + B(t)H(X, \dot{X}, \ddot{X}, \ddot{X}) \ddot{X} + C(t)G(X) \dot{X} + D(t)F(X) = 0, \quad (1.1)$$

---

**Key words:** Nonlinear differential equations, fourth order, instability, Lyapunov's second method

2000 AMS Mathematics Subject Classification: 34D05, 34D20.

in which  $t \in R^+$ ,  $R^+ = [0, \infty)$  and  $X \in R^n$ ;  $A$  is a constant  $n \times n$ -symmetric matrix;  $B, H, C, G,$  and  $D$  are continuous  $n \times n$ -symmetric matrices for the arguments displayed explicitly and the dots indicate differentiation with respect to  $t$ ;  $F : R^n \rightarrow R^n$  and  $F(0) = 0$ . It is assumed that the function  $F$  is continuous. It should be noted that, through in what follows, we use the following differential system which is equivalent to the differential equation (1.1):

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{X} &= Y, \quad \dot{Y} = Z, \quad \dot{Z} = W, \\ \dot{W} &= -AW - B(t)H(X, Y, Z, W)Z - C(t)G(X)Y - D(t)F(X) \end{aligned} \quad (1.2)$$

obtained as usual by setting  $\dot{X} = Y, \ddot{X} = Z, \dddot{X} = W$  in (1.1).

Let  $J_G(X)$  and  $J_F(X)$  denote the Jacobian matrices corresponding to the  $G(X)$  and  $F(X)$ , respectively, that is,

$$J_G(X) = \left( \frac{\partial g_i}{\partial x_j} \right), \quad J_F(X) = \left( \frac{\partial f_i}{\partial x_j} \right),$$

and

$$\frac{d}{dt}C(t) = \dot{C}(t) = \frac{d}{dt}(c_{ik}(t)) \quad (i, j, k = 1, 2, \dots, n),$$

where  $(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n), (g_1, g_2, \dots, g_n), (f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n)$  and  $(c_{ik}(t))$  are components of  $X, G, F$  and  $C$ , respectively. Furthermore, it is assumed, as basic throughout in what follows, that the Jacobian matrices  $J_F(X), J_G(X)$  and  $\dot{C}(t)$  exist and are symmetric and continuous, and that all matrices given in the pairs  $D, J_F; C, G; \dot{C}, G$  and  $B, H$  commute with each others.

As we know, the instability behavior of solutions for various certain second-, third-, fourth-, fifth-, sixth-, seventh- and eighth-order nonlinear differential equations, particular in the case  $n = 1$ , have been widely discussed in the literature (see, for example [1-6], [8-12], [14-26]) and references quoted therein for some publications on the matter.

Skrapek [17] and Tiryaki [20] investigated the instability of the trivial solution of the fourth order scalar differential equations of the form:

$$x^{(4)} + f(x, \dot{x}, \ddot{x}, \ddot{\ddot{x}}) = 0$$

and

$$x^{(4)} + \psi(\ddot{x}) \ddot{\ddot{x}} + \varphi(\dot{x}) \ddot{x} + \theta(\dot{x}) + f(x) = 0,$$

respectively. Recently, Sadek [16] and Tunç ([23], [24]) also studied the same subject for the nonlinear vector differential equations:

$$X^{(4)} + A \ddot{\ddot{X}} + H(X, \dot{X}, \ddot{X}, \ddot{\ddot{X}}) \ddot{\ddot{X}} + G(X) \dot{X} + F(X) = 0,$$

$$X^{(4)} + \Psi(\ddot{\ddot{X}}) \ddot{\ddot{X}} + \Phi(\dot{X}) \ddot{\ddot{X}} + H(\dot{X}) + F(X) = 0$$

and

$$X^{(4)} + \Psi(\dot{X}, \ddot{X}) \ddot{X} + \Phi(X, \dot{X}) \ddot{X} + H(\dot{X}) + F(X) = 0,$$

respectively. According to our observations in the relevant literature, there isn't any research found on the instability of solutions of certain non-autonomous vector differential equations of the fourth order. Especially, the motivation for the present investigation has come from the papers of skrapek [17], Sadek [16], Tiryaki [20] and Tunç ([23], [24]).

The symbol  $\langle X, Y \rangle$  is used to denote the usual scalar product in  $R^n$  for given any  $X, Y$  in  $R^n$ , that is,  $\langle X, Y \rangle = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i y_i$ , thus  $\|X\|^2 = \langle X, X \rangle$ . The matrix  $A$  is said to be negative-definite, when  $\langle AX, X \rangle < 0$  for all non-zero  $X$  in  $R^n$ , and  $\lambda_i(A)$  ( $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ ) are eigenvalues of the  $n \times n$ - matrix  $A$ .

## 2 The main result

We can now state our foremost result:

**Theorem** *Further to the basic assumptions on  $A, B, C, D, H, G$  and  $F$  in (1.2), suppose there exist positive constants  $a_1, a_2$  and  $a_4$  such that  $a_4 - \frac{1}{4}a_2^2 > 0$  and the following conditions are satisfied:*

- (i)  $\lambda_i(B(t)) \geq 1$ ,  $\lambda_i(\dot{C}(t)) \leq 0$  and  $\lambda_i(D(t)) \geq 1$  for all  $t \in R^+$ .
- (ii)  $\lambda_i(A) \geq a_1$ ,  $\lambda_i(H(X, Y, Z, W)) \leq a_2$ ,  $F(X) \neq 0$  for all  $X \neq 0$ ,  $X \in R^n$ , and  $\lambda_i(J_F(X)) \geq a_4$  for all  $X, Y, Z, W \in R^n$ .

*Then the zero solution  $X = 0$  of the system (1.2) is unstable.*

Now, we state the following algebraic results required in the proof of the theorem.

**Lemma 1** *Let  $A$  be a real symmetric  $n \times n$  matrix and*

$$a' \geq \lambda_i(A) \geq a > 0 \quad (i = 1, 2, \dots, n),$$

*where  $a', a$  are constants. Then*

$$a' \langle X, X \rangle \geq \langle AX, X \rangle \geq a \langle X, X \rangle$$

*and*

$$a'^2 \langle X, X \rangle \geq \langle AX, AX \rangle \geq a^2 \langle X, X \rangle.$$

**Proof** See (Horn and Johnson [7]). □

**Lemma 2** *Let  $Q, D$  be any two real  $n \times n$  commuting symmetric matrices. Then*

(i) The eigenvalues  $\lambda_i(QD)$  ( $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ ) of the product matrices  $QD$  are real and satisfy

$$\max_{1 \leq j, k \leq n} \lambda_j(Q)\lambda_k(D) \geq \lambda_i(QD) \geq \min_{1 \leq j, k \leq n} \lambda_j(Q)\lambda_k(D).$$

(ii) The eigenvalues  $\lambda_i(Q + D)$  ( $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ ) of the sum of matrices  $Q$  and  $D$  are real and satisfy

$$\left\{ \max_{1 \leq j \leq n} \lambda_j(Q) + \max_{1 \leq k \leq n} \lambda_k(D) \right\} \geq \lambda_i(Q + D) \geq \left\{ \min_{1 \leq j \leq n} \lambda_j(Q) + \min_{1 \leq k \leq n} \lambda_k(D) \right\},$$

where  $\lambda_j(Q)$  and  $\lambda_k(D)$  are, respectively, the eigenvalues of  $Q$  and  $D$ .

**Proof** See (Horn and Johnson [7]). □

### Proof of the theorem

Our main tool in the proof is the scalar Lyapunov function  $V = V(t, X, Y, Z, W)$  defined by:

$$V = \langle Y, Z \rangle + \frac{1}{2} \langle AY, Y \rangle - \langle W, X \rangle - \langle AZ, X \rangle - \int_0^1 \sigma \langle C(t)G(\sigma X)X, X \rangle d\sigma. \quad (2.1)$$

It is clear that  $V(0, 0, 0, 0, 0) = 0$ . Indeed, we also have that

$$\begin{aligned} V(0, 0, \varepsilon, \varepsilon, 0) &= \langle \varepsilon, \varepsilon \rangle + \frac{1}{2} \langle A\varepsilon, \varepsilon \rangle \geq \langle \varepsilon, \varepsilon \rangle + \frac{1}{2}a_1 \langle \varepsilon, \varepsilon \rangle \\ &= \|\varepsilon\|^2 + \frac{1}{2}a_1 \|\varepsilon\|^2 > 0 \end{aligned}$$

for all arbitrary  $\varepsilon \neq 0, \varepsilon \in R^n$ . Next, let  $(X, Y, Z, W) = (X(t), Y(t), Z(t), W(t))$  be an arbitrary solution of the system (1.2). We have from (2.1) and (1.2) that

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{V} = \frac{d}{dt}V(t, X, Y, Z, W) &= \langle Z, Z \rangle + \langle B(t)H(X, Y, Z, W)Z, X \rangle + \langle D(t)F(X), X \rangle \\ &\quad + \langle C(t)G(X)Y, X \rangle - \frac{d}{dt} \int_0^1 \sigma \langle C(t)G(\sigma X)X, X \rangle d\sigma. \end{aligned}$$

But

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{d}{dt} \int_0^1 \sigma \langle C(t)G(\sigma X)X, X \rangle d\sigma &= \\
&= \int_0^1 \sigma \left\langle \dot{C}(t)G(\sigma X)X, X \right\rangle d\sigma + \int_0^1 \langle \sigma C(t)G(\sigma X)Y, X \rangle d\sigma \\
&+ \int_0^1 \sigma^2 \langle C(t)J_G(\sigma X)XY, X \rangle d\sigma + \int_0^1 \sigma \langle C(t)G(\sigma X)X, Y \rangle d\sigma \\
&= \int_0^1 \sigma \left\langle \dot{C}(t)G(\sigma X)X, X \right\rangle d\sigma + \int_0^1 \langle \sigma C(t)G(\sigma X)Y, X \rangle d\sigma \\
&+ \int_0^1 \sigma \frac{\partial}{\partial \sigma} \langle \sigma C(t)G(\sigma X)Y, X \rangle d\sigma \\
&= \int_0^1 \sigma \left\langle \dot{C}(t)G(\sigma X)X, X \right\rangle d\sigma + \sigma^2 \langle C(t)G(\sigma X)Y, X \rangle \Big|_0^1 \\
&= \int_0^1 \sigma \left\langle \dot{C}(t)G(\sigma X)X, X \right\rangle d\sigma + \langle C(t)G(X)Y, X \rangle.
\end{aligned}$$

By noting the assumptions of the theorem, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
\dot{V} &= \langle Z, Z \rangle + \langle X, B(t)H(X, Y, Z, W)Z \rangle + \langle D(t)F(X), X \rangle \\
&\quad + \int_0^1 \left\langle \sigma \dot{C}(t)G(\sigma X)X, X \right\rangle d\sigma \\
&\geq \langle Z, Z \rangle + \langle X, B(t)H(X, Y, Z, W)Z \rangle + \langle D(t)F(X), X \rangle.
\end{aligned}$$

Since  $\frac{\partial}{\partial \sigma} F(\sigma X) = J_F(\sigma X)X$  and  $F(0) = 0$ , then

$$F(X) = \int_0^1 J_F(\sigma X)X d\sigma.$$

Therefore, the assumptions of the theorem show that

$$\langle D(t)F(X), X \rangle = \int_0^1 \langle D(t)J_F(\sigma X)X, X \rangle d\sigma \geq a_4 \int_0^1 \langle X, X \rangle d\sigma = a_4 \langle X, X \rangle.$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{V} &\geq \left\| Z + \frac{1}{2}B(t)H(X, Y, Z, W)X \right\|^2 \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{4} \langle B(t)H(X, Y, Z, W)X, B(t)H(X, Y, Z, W)X \rangle + a_4 \langle X, X \rangle \\ &\geq a_4 \langle X, X \rangle - \frac{1}{4} \langle B(t)H(X, Y, Z, W)X, B(t)H(X, Y, Z, W)X \rangle \\ &\geq a_4 \|X\|^2 - \frac{1}{4}a_2^2 \|X\|^2 = \left(a_4 - \frac{1}{4}a_2^2\right) \|X\|^2 > 0. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, the assumptions of the theorem show that  $\dot{V} \geq 0$  for all  $t \geq 0$ , that is,  $\dot{V}$  is positive semi-definite. Furthermore,  $\dot{V} = 0$  ( $t \geq 0$ ) necessarily implies that  $Y = 0$  for all  $t \geq 0$ , and therefore also that  $X = \xi$  (a constant vector),

$$Z = \dot{Y} = 0, \quad W = \ddot{Y} = 0, \quad \dot{W} = \ddot{Y} = 0 \quad \text{for all } t \geq 0.$$

The substitution of the estimates

$$X = \xi, Y = Z = W = 0$$

in the system (1.2) leads to the result  $F(\xi) = 0$  which by assumption (ii) of the theorem implies (only) that  $\xi = 0$ . For this reason  $\dot{V} = 0$  ( $t \geq 0$ ) implies that

$$X = Y = Z = W = 0 \quad \text{for all } t \geq 0.$$

Therefore, the function  $V$  has the entire requisite Krasovskii criterion [9] if the conditions of the theorem hold. Thus, the basic properties of the function  $V$ , which are proved just above verify that the zero solution of the system (1.2) is unstable. (See Theorem 1.15 in Reissig and et al [14] and Krasovskii [9]). The system of equations (1.2) is equivalent to the differential equation (1.1). This completes the proof of the theorem.  $\square$

## References

- [1] H. Bereketoğlu, *On the instability of trivial solutions of a class of eighth-order differential equations*, Indian J. Pure. Appl. Math. **22**(3)(1991), 199-202.
- [2] J. O. C. Ezeilo, *An instability theorem for a certain fourth order differential equation*, Bull. London Math. Soc. ,**10** (2)(1978), 184-185.
- [3] J. O. C. Ezeilo, *Instability theorems for certain fifth-order differential equations*, Math. Proc. Cambridge. Philos. Soc., **84** (2)(1978), 343-350.
- [4] J. O. C. Ezeilo, *A further instability theorem for a certain fifth-order differential equation*, Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc., **86** (3)(1979), 491-493.

- [5] J. O. C. Ezeilo, *Extension of certain instability theorems for some fourth and fifth order differential equations*, Atti. Accad. Naz. Lincei. Rend. Cl. Sci. Fis. Mat. Natur., **(8) 66**(4)(1979), 239-242.
- [6] J. O. C. Ezeilo, *An instability theorem for a certain sixth order differential equation*, J. Austral. Math. Soc. Ser. A, **32** (1)(1982), 129-133.
- [7] R. A. Horn and C. R. Johnson, "Matrix analysis", Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1990.
- [8] L. A. Kipnis, *On the instability of a particular third-order linear system*, J. Appl. Math. Mech., **38**(1974), 868-869, translated from Prikl. Mat. Meh., **38** (1974), 921-922 (Russian).
- [9] N. N. Krasovskii, *On conditions of inversion of A. M. Lyapunov's theorems on instability for stationary systems of differential equations* (Russian) Dokl. Akad. Nauk. SSSR (N.S) **101** (1955), 17-20.
- [10] W. J. Li and Y. H. Yu, *Instability theorems for some fourth-order and fifth-order differential equations* (in Chinese) J. Xinjiang Univ. Natur. Sci., **7** (2)(1990), 7-10.
- [11] W. J. Li and K. C. Duan, *Instability theorems for some nonlinear differential systems of fifth order*, J. Xinjiang Univ. Natur. Sci., **17**(3)(2000), 1-5.
- [12] D. Lu, *Instability of solution for a class of the third order nonlinear differential equation*, Appl. Math. Mech. (English Ed.) **16** (12)(1995), 1185-1200.
- [13] A. M. Lyapunov, "Stability of Motion", Academic Press, London, 1966.
- [14] Reissig, R.; Sansone, G.; Conti, R. Non-linear differential equations of higher order. Translated from the German. *Noordhoff International Publishing, Leyden*, (1974).
- [15] Sadek, A. I. An instability theorem for a certain seventh-order differential equation. *Ann. Differential Equations* 19 (2003), no. 1, 1-5.
- [16] A. I. Sadek, *Instability results for certain systems of fourth and fifth order differential equations*, Appl. Math. Comput., **145**(2-3)(2003), 541-549.
- [17] W. A. Skrapek, *Instability results for fourth-order differential equations*, Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh Sect. A **85** (3-4)(1980), 247-250.
- [18] W. A. Skrapek, *Some instability theorems for third order ordinary differential equations*, Math. Nachr., **96** (1980), 113-117.
- [19] H. O. Tejumola, *Instability and periodic solutions of certain nonlinear differential equations of orders six and seven*, in Ordinary diff. equations, Proc. Natl. Math. Cent. Abuja. Niger., 1.1, Natl. Math. Cent., Abuja, 2000, 56-65.
- [20] A. Tiryaki, *Extension of an instability theorem for a certain fourth order differential equation*, Bull. Inst. Math. Acad. Sinica, **16** (2)(1988), 163-165.

- [21] A. Tiriyaki, *An instability theorem for a certain sixth order differential equation*, Indian J. Pure. Appl. Math., **21** (4)(1990), 330-333.
- [22] A. Tiriyaki, *Extension of an instability theorem for a certain fifth order differential equation*, National Mathematics Symposium (Trabzon, 1987), J. Karadeniz Tech. Univ. Fac. Arts Sci. Ser. Math. Phys. **11** (1989), 225-227
- [23] C. Tunç, *An instability theorem for a certain vector differential equation of the fourth order*, Journal of Inequalities in Pure and App. Math., **5**(1)(2004), 1-5.
- [24] C. Tunç, *A further instability result for a certain vector differential equation of the fourth order*, International J. of Math., Game Theory and Algebra (2005), (in press).
- [25] C. Tunç and E. Tunç, *An instability theorem for a certain eighth order differential equation*, Differential Equations, (Differ. Uravn.), (2005) (in press).
- [26] C. Tunç, *An instability result for certain system of sixth order differential equations*, Applied Math. and Computation, **157**(2) (2004), 477-481.

\* The paper has been presented in Bunyakovsky International Conference (Kyiv-Ukraine, 2004).