

## Annihilator of Tensor Product of $S$ -acts

Lili Ni and Yuqun Chen

*School of Mathematical Sciences  
South China Normal University  
Guangzhou 510631, P. R. China  
haoyu8004@eyou.com yqchen@scnu.edu.cn*

### Abstract

For  $S$ -acts  ${}_S M$  and  $U_S$ , let  $Ann_M(U) = \{(m, m') \in M \times M | u \otimes m = u \otimes m' \text{ for any } u \in U\}$ . Then  $U_S$  is called  ${}_S M$ -faithful if  $Ann_M(U)$  is the identity relation on  $M$ . If  $U_S$  is  ${}_S M$ -faithful for any  $S$ -act  ${}_S M$ , then we call  $U_S$  completely faithful. The present paper discusses properties of  ${}_S M$ -faithful (completely faithful)  $S$ -acts. The structures of  ${}_S M$ -faithful (completely faithful) right  $S$ -acts are characterized. Some related results are also obtained.

## 1 Preliminaries

In this paper, we shall always let semigroup  $S$  mean a monoid and all  $S$ -acts be unitary. We denote the category of all right (left)  $S$ -acts by  $Act - S$  ( $S - Act$ ). Let  $A_S$  be a right  $S$ -act. An equivalence relation  $\rho$  on  $A$  is called an  $S$ -congruence or a congruence on  $A_S$  if for any  $a, a' \in A$ ,  $(a, a') \in \rho$  implies  $(as, a's) \in \rho$  for any  $s \in S$ .

If  ${}_S M$  is a left  $S$ -act, then the cartesian product  $M \times M$  with the operation  $s \cdot (m, m') = (sm, sm')$  for all  $s \in S$ ,  $m, m' \in M$  is a left  $S$ -act. Let  $f : {}_S M \rightarrow {}_S N$  be an  $S$ -homomorphism. We denote by  $Im f = \{f(m) | m \in M\}$  and  $ker f = \{(m, m') \in M \times M | f(m) = f(m')\}$ . It is clear that  $(f, f) : {}_S(M \times M) \rightarrow {}_S(N \times N)$  with  $(f, f)((m, m')) := (f(m), f(m'))$ ,  $m, m' \in M$ , is an  $S$ -homomorphism, and  $ker f$  is a congruence on  ${}_S M$ .

Let  $X$  be a set. Denote by  $\Delta_X = \{(x, x) | x \in X\}$  and  $\nabla_X = X \times X$ . For a subact  ${}_S N$  of  ${}_S M$ ,  $\rho_N = (N \times N) \cap \Delta_M$  is clearly a congruence on  ${}_S M$  which is called the Rees congruence and we denote the quotient act  $M/\rho_N$  by  $M/N$ .

---

**Key words:**  $S$ -acts, faithful, completely faithful, generator, cogenerator.

2000 AMS Mathematics Subject Classification: 20M50

Let  $U_S, M_S$  be right  $S$ -acts. As in module theory, the trace and the reject of  $U$  in  $M$ , respectively, are defined by

$$Tr_M(U) = \cup\{Imf | f \in Hom_S(U, M)\}$$

and

$$Rej_M(U) = \cap\{\ker f | f \in Hom_S(M, U)\}.$$

We say that  $U_S$  generates (cogenerates)  $M_S$  in case  $Tr_M(U) = M$  ( $Rej_M(U) = \Delta_M$ ).  $U_S$  is called a generator (cogenerator) of  $Act - S$  in case  $Tr_M(U) = M$  ( $Rej_M(U) = \Delta_M$ ) for all  $M_S \in Act - S$ . Denoted by  $\mathbf{r}_S(M) := \{(s, s') \in S \times S \mid ms = ms', \forall m \in M\}$  the annihilator of right  $S$ -act  $M_S$ . It is clear that  $\mathbf{r}_S(M)$  is a congruence on  $M_S$ .

Let  $(A_\alpha)_{\alpha \in I}$  be a family of right  $S$ -acts. Then, the coproduct  $\coprod_{\alpha \in I} A_\alpha$  of  $(A_\alpha)_{\alpha \in I}$  is the disjoint union of  $(A_\alpha)_{\alpha \in I}$ .

We call  $A_S$  a faithful right  $S$ -act if for any  $s, t \in S$  the equality  $as = at$  for all  $a \in A$  implies  $s = t$ . Obviously,  $A_S$  is faithful if and only if  $\mathbf{r}_S(A) = \Delta_S$ .  $A_S$  is called a strongly faithful right  $S$ -act if for any  $s, t \in S$  the equality  $as = at$  for some  $a \in A$  implies  $s = t$ .

For other definitions and terminologies not mentioned in this paper, the reader is referred to [3].

## 2 Faithfulness

**Definition 2.1.** Let  $U_S$  and  ${}_S M$  be  $S$ -acts,  $U \otimes M$  the tensor product of  $U$  and  $M$ . Then

$$Ann_M(U) = \{(m, m') \in M \times M \mid u \otimes m = u \otimes m', \forall u \in U\}$$

is called the annihilator in  $M$  of  $U$ . Call  $U_S$  to be  ${}_S M$ -faithful in case  $Ann_M(U) = \Delta_M$ .

It is obvious that  $Ann_S(U) = \mathbf{r}_S(U)$  for any right  $S$ -act  $U_S$ .

**Proposition 2.2.** Let  $U_S$  and  ${}_S M$  be  $S$ -acts. Then  $Ann_M(U)$  is the unique smallest congruence  $\lambda$  on  ${}_S M$  such that  $U$  is  $M/\lambda$ -faithful.

**Proof** Suppose that  $\lambda = Ann_M(U) = \{(m_1, m_2) \in M \times M \mid u \otimes m_1 = u \otimes m_2, \forall u \in U\}$ . Clearly,  $\lambda$  is a congruence on  ${}_S M$ .

Assume that  $(\bar{m}_1, \bar{m}_2) \in Ann_{M/\lambda}(U)$ . Then, we have  $u \otimes \bar{m}_1 = u \otimes \bar{m}_2$  for all  $u \in U$ . Thus, there exist  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n \in U, \bar{y}_2, \dots, \bar{y}_n \in M/\lambda, s_1, t_1, \dots, s_n, t_n \in S$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} u &= x_1 s_1, \\ x_1 t_1 &= x_2 s_2, \quad s_1 \bar{m}_1 = t_1 \bar{y}_2, \\ &\dots\dots\dots \\ x_n t_n &= u, \quad s_n \bar{y}_n = t_n \bar{m}_2. \end{aligned}$$

This implies that  $(s_1 m_1, t_1 y_2), \dots, (s_n y_n, t_n m_2) \in \lambda$ , and then, for any  $u \in U$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} u \otimes m_1 &= x_1 s_1 \otimes m_1 = x_1 \otimes s_1 m_1 = x_1 \otimes t_1 y_2 = x_1 t_1 \otimes y_2 \\ &= x_2 s_2 \otimes y_2 = \dots = x_n s_n \otimes y_n = x_n \otimes s_n y_n \\ &= x_n \otimes t_n m_2 = x_n t_n \otimes m_2 = u \otimes m_2 \end{aligned}$$

which shows that  $(m_1, m_2) \in \lambda$  and  $\bar{m}_1 = \bar{m}_2$ . Therefore  $\text{Ann}_{M/\lambda}(U) = \Delta_{M/\lambda}$ .

Let now  $\sigma$  be a congruence on  ${}_S M$  with  $\text{Ann}_{M/\sigma}(U) = \Delta_{M/\sigma}$ . Assume that  $(m, m') \in \lambda$ . Then  $u \otimes m = u \otimes m'$  for all  $u \in U$ . Let  $n : M \rightarrow M/\sigma$  be the canonical epimorphism. Then  $1_U \otimes n : U \otimes M \rightarrow U \otimes M/\sigma$  is surjective and  $u \otimes (m\sigma) = (1_U \otimes n)(u \otimes m) = (1_U \otimes n)(u \otimes m') = u \otimes (m'\sigma)$  for all  $u \in U$ . Thus,  $(m\sigma, m'\sigma) \in \text{Ann}_{M/\sigma}(U) = \Delta_{M/\sigma}$  and  $m\sigma = m'\sigma$ , i.e.,  $(m, m') \in \sigma$ . Hence  $\lambda \subseteq \sigma$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 2.3.** *Let  $U_S$ ,  ${}_S M$  and  ${}_S N$  be  $S$ -acts and let  $f \in \text{Hom}_S(M, N)$ . Then*

(a)  $(f, f)(\text{Ann}_M(U)) \subseteq \text{Ann}_N(U)$ . In particular,  $\text{Ann}_M(U)$  is stable under endomorphisms of  ${}_S M$ .

(b) If  $f$  is epic and  $\text{Ker} f \subseteq \text{Ann}_M(U)$ , then  $(f, f)(\text{Ann}_M(U)) = \text{Ann}_N(U)$ .

**Proof** (a) Assume that  $(m, m') \in \text{Ann}_M(U)$  and  $u \in U$ . Since  $u \otimes m = u \otimes m'$  we have

$$u \otimes f(m) = (1_U \otimes f)(u \otimes m) = (1_U \otimes f)(u \otimes m') = u \otimes f(m').$$

Thus  $(f(m), f(m')) \in \text{Ann}_N(U)$  and therefore,  $(f, f)(\text{Ann}_M(U)) \subseteq \text{Ann}_N(U)$ .

(b) It will suffice to prove that  $\text{Ann}_N(U) \subseteq (f, f)(\text{Ann}_M(U))$ . Let  $\phi : M \rightarrow M/\text{Ker} f$  be the canonical epimorphism. Because  $f$  is epic there exists a unique isomorphism  $\bar{f} : M/\text{Ker} f \rightarrow N$  such that  $f = \bar{f}\phi$ .

Assume that  $(\bar{m}, \bar{m}') \in \text{Ann}_{M/\text{Ker} f}(U)$  and  $u \in U$ . Since  $u \otimes \bar{m} = u \otimes \bar{m}'$ , there exist  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n \in U$ ,  $\bar{y}_2, \dots, \bar{y}_n \in M/\text{Ker} f$ ,  $s_1, t_1, \dots, s_n, t_n \in S$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} u &= x_1 s_1, \\ x_1 t_1 &= x_2 s_2, \quad s_1 \bar{m} = t_1 \bar{y}_2, \\ &\dots\dots \\ x_n t_n &= u, \quad s_n \bar{y}_n = t_n \bar{m}'. \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $(s_1 m, t_1 y_2), \dots, (s_n y_n, t_n m') \in \text{Ker} f \subseteq \text{Ann}_M(U)$  and so

$$\begin{aligned} u \otimes m &= x_1 s_1 \otimes m = x_1 \otimes s_1 m = x_1 \otimes t_1 y_2 = x_1 t_1 \otimes y_2 \\ &= x_2 s_2 \otimes y_2 = \dots = x_n s_n \otimes y_n = x_n \otimes s_n y_n \\ &= x_n \otimes t_n m_2 = x_n t_n \otimes m' = u \otimes m'. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,  $(m, m') \in \text{Ann}_M(U)$ . Hence  $(\bar{m}, \bar{m}') = (\phi, \phi)((m, m')) \in (\phi, \phi)(\text{Ann}_M(U))$ , i.e.,  $\text{Ann}_{M/\text{Ker}f}(U) \subseteq (\phi, \phi)(\text{Ann}_M(U))$ .

Now, for any  $(n, n') \in \text{Ann}_N(U)$ , there exist unique  $\bar{m}, \bar{m}' \in M/\text{Ker}f$  such that  $n = \bar{f}(\bar{m})$  and  $n' = \bar{f}(\bar{m}')$ . Noting that  $\bar{f}$  is an isomorphism, we know that  $1_U \otimes \bar{f}$  is a bijection. Since  $(1_U \otimes \bar{f})(u \otimes \bar{m}) = u \otimes \bar{f}(\bar{m}) = u \otimes n = u \otimes n' = u \otimes \bar{f}(\bar{m}') = (1_U \otimes \bar{f})(u \otimes \bar{m}')$ , we have  $u \otimes \bar{m} = u \otimes \bar{m}'$  for all  $u \in U$  which shows that  $(\bar{m}, \bar{m}') \in \text{Ann}_{M/\text{Ker}f}(U) \subseteq (\phi, \phi)(\text{Ann}_M(U))$ . Hence

$$\begin{aligned} (n, n') &= (\bar{f}, \bar{f})((\bar{m}, \bar{m}')) \in (\bar{f}, \bar{f})(\text{Ann}_{M/\text{Ker}f}(U)) \subseteq (\bar{f}, \bar{f})((\phi, \phi)(\text{Ann}_M(U))) \\ &= (\bar{f}\phi, \bar{f}\phi)(\text{Ann}_M(U)) = (f, f)(\text{Ann}_M(U)). \end{aligned}$$

We complete the proof.  $\square$

**Lemma 2.4.** *Let  $(A_\alpha)_{\alpha \in I}$  be a family of right  $S$ -acts,  $(B_\beta)_{\beta \in J}$  a family of left  $S$ -acts and  $a \otimes b, c \otimes d$  in  $(\prod_{\alpha \in I} A_\alpha) \otimes_S (\prod_{\beta \in J} B_\beta)$ . Then  $a \otimes b = c \otimes d$  in  $(\prod_{\alpha \in I} A_\alpha) \otimes_S (\prod_{\beta \in J} B_\beta)$  if and only if  $a \otimes b = c \otimes d$  in  $A_\alpha \otimes_S B_\beta$  for some  $\alpha \in I, \beta \in J$ .*

**Proof** *sufficiency* is obvious.

*Necessity.* Suppose  $a \otimes b = c \otimes d$  in  $(\prod_{\alpha \in I} A_\alpha) \otimes_S (\prod_{\beta \in J} B_\beta)$ . Then there exist  $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n \in \prod_{\alpha \in I} A_\alpha$ ,  $b_2, \dots, b_n \in \prod_{\beta \in J} B_\beta$ ,  $u_1, v_1, \dots, u_n, v_n \in S$ , such that

$$\begin{aligned} a &= a_1 u_1, \\ a_1 v_1 &= a_2 u_2, \quad u_1 b = v_1 b_2, \\ &\dots\dots \\ a_n v_n &= c, \quad u_n b_n = v_n d. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $a \in \prod_{\alpha \in I} A_\alpha$  and  $b \in \prod_{\beta \in J} B_\beta$ , there uniquely exist  $\alpha \in I, \beta \in J$  such that  $a \in A_\alpha$  and  $b \in B_\beta$ . Now,  $a_1 u_1 = a \in A_\alpha$  implies that  $a_1 \in A_\alpha$ . Otherwise, if  $a_1 \in A_{\alpha'}$  with  $\alpha \neq \alpha'$ , then  $a_1 u_1 \in A_\alpha \cap A_{\alpha'}$  which contradicts that  $A_\alpha \cap A_{\alpha'} = \emptyset$ . So  $a_2 u_2 = a_1 v_1 \in A_\alpha$  and  $a_2 \in A_\alpha$ . Repeating this process, we conclude  $a_3, \dots, a_n, c \in A_\alpha$ . Similarly, we have  $b, b_2, \dots, b_n, d \in B_\beta$ . This shows that  $a \otimes b = c \otimes d$  in  $A_\alpha \otimes_S B_\beta$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 2.5.** *Let  $I, J$  be index sets,  $U, U_j \in \text{Act} - S$ ,  $j \in J$  and  $M, M_i \in S - \text{Act}$ ,  $i \in I$ . Then*

- (a)  $\text{Ann}_{\prod_{i \in I} M_i}(U) = \prod_{i \in I} \text{Ann}_{M_i}(U)$ .
- (b)  $\text{Ann}_M(\prod_{j \in J} U_j) = \bigcap_{j \in J} \text{Ann}_M(U_j)$ .

**Proof** (a) It is obvious that  $\prod_{i \in I} \text{Ann}_{M_i}(U) \subseteq \text{Ann}_{\prod_{i \in I} M_i}(U)$ . Also,  $\forall (m, m') \in \text{Ann}_{\prod_{i \in I} M_i}(U)$ ,  $\forall u \in U$ , we have  $u \otimes m = u \otimes m'$  in  $U \otimes (\prod_{i \in I} M_i)$ . From Lemma 2.4 it follows that  $u \otimes m = u \otimes m'$  in  $U \otimes M_i$  for some  $i \in I$ , and so  $(m, m') \in \text{Ann}_{M_i}(U) \subseteq \prod_{i \in I} \text{Ann}_{M_i}(U)$ . This shows (a).

(b) Clearly,  $\bigcap_{j \in J} \text{Ann}_M(U_j) \subseteq \text{Ann}_M(\prod_{j \in J} U_j)$ . Conversely, if  $(m, m') \in \text{Ann}_M(\prod_{j \in J} U_j)$  and  $u \in U_j \subseteq \prod_{j \in J} U_j$ ,  $j \in J$ , then  $u \otimes m = u \otimes m'$  in  $(\prod_{j \in J} U_j) \otimes M$ . By Lemma 2.4, we get  $u \otimes m = u \otimes m'$  in  $U_j \otimes M$ . Thus,  $\text{Ann}_M(\prod_{j \in J} U_j) \subseteq \bigcap_{j \in J} \text{Ann}_M(U_j)$ . This shows (b).  $\square$

It is well known that each  $S$ -act has a unique indecomposable decomposition (see [4] or [2]). Now, by our Lemma 2.4, we have the following lemma.

**Lemma 2.6.** *Let  $A_S$  and  ${}_S B$  be  $S$ -acts and  $a \otimes b = a' \otimes b'$  in  $A \otimes_S B$ . Then  $a, a'$  and  $b, b'$  are in the same indecomposable subacts of  $A_S$  and  ${}_S B$ , respectively.*

**Theorem 2.7.** *If  $I$  is an ideal of  $S$  and  ${}_S M \in S\text{-Act}$ , then*

$$\text{Ann}_M(S/I) \subseteq (IM \times IM) \cup \Delta_M.$$

Moreover,  $\text{Ann}_M(S/I) = (IM \times IM) \cup \Delta_M$  if and only if  $M$  is indecomposable.

**Proof** If we define

$$S/I \times M/IM \longrightarrow M/IM, \quad (\bar{s}, \tilde{m}) \longmapsto \widetilde{sm},$$

then  $M/IM$  is an  $S/I$ -act and  ${}_S(M/IM) = {}_{S/I}(M/IM)$ . Let

$$\phi: S/I \otimes_S M \longrightarrow M/IM, \quad \bar{s} \otimes m \longmapsto \widetilde{sm}.$$

Then  $\phi$  is well-defined. In fact, suppose that  $\bar{s} \otimes m = \bar{s}' \otimes m'$  for some  $\bar{s}, \bar{s}' \in S/I$ ,  $m, m' \in M$ . Then there exist  $\bar{x}_1, \bar{x}_2, \dots, \bar{x}_n \in S/I$ ,  $y_2, \dots, y_n \in M$ ,  $r_1, t_1, \dots, r_n, t_n \in S$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{s} &= \bar{x}_1 r_1, \\ \bar{x}_1 t_1 &= \bar{x}_2 r_2, \quad r_1 m = t_1 y_2, \\ &\dots\dots\dots \\ \bar{x}_n t_n &= \bar{s}', \quad r_n y_n = t_n m'. \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \widetilde{sm} &= \bar{s} \tilde{m} = \bar{x}_1 r_1 \tilde{m} = \bar{x}_1 r_1 \widetilde{m} = \bar{x}_1 t_1 \widetilde{y_2} \\ &= \bar{x}_1 t_1 \tilde{y}_2 = \dots = \bar{x}_n t_n \tilde{m}' = \bar{s}' \tilde{m}' = \widetilde{s'm'}, \end{aligned}$$

i.e.,  $\phi$  is well-defined.

If  $(m_1, m_2) \in \text{Ann}_M(S/I)$ , then  $\bar{s} \otimes m_1 = \bar{s} \otimes m_2$  and  $\widetilde{sm}_1 = \widetilde{sm}_2$  for all  $s \in S$ , in particular,  $(m_1, m_2) \in (IM \times IM) \cup \Delta_M$ . Thus,  $\text{Ann}_M(S/I) \subseteq (IM \times IM) \cup \Delta_M$ .

Suppose that  $\text{Ann}_M(S/I) = (IM \times IM) \cup \Delta_M$ . Then, for any  $(m_1, m_2) \in M \times M$  and  $a \in I$ , we have  $(am_1, am_2) \in \text{Ann}_M(S/I)$ , in particular,  $\bar{1} \otimes am_1 = \bar{1} \otimes am_2$ . By Lemma 2.6,  $am_1, am_2$  is in the same indecomposable subact of  $M$ . This implies that  $m_1, m_2$  is in the same indecomposable subact. Hence,  $M$  is indecomposable.

Conversely, suppose  $M$  is indecomposable. It will suffice to prove that  $(IM \times IM) \subseteq \text{Ann}_M(S/I)$ . For any  $(a_1m_1, a_2m_2) \in IM \times IM$ , where  $a_1, a_2 \in I$ ,  $m_1, m_2 \in M$ , and for any  $\bar{s} \in S/I$ , we have

$$\bar{s} \otimes a_1m_1 = \bar{s}a_1 \otimes m_1 = \overline{\bar{s}a_1} \otimes m_1 = 0 \otimes m_1,$$

$$\bar{s} \otimes a_2m_2 = \bar{s}a_2 \otimes m_2 = \overline{\bar{s}a_2} \otimes m_2 = 0 \otimes m_2.$$

Since  $M$  is indecomposable, there exist  $y_2, \dots, y_n \in M$ ,  $r_1, t_1, \dots, r_n, t_n \in S$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} r_1m_1 &= t_1y_2, \\ r_2y_2 &= t_2y_3, \\ &\dots \\ r_ny_n &= t_nm_2. \end{aligned}$$

It follows from this that  $0 \otimes m_1 = 0 \otimes m_2$ , i.e.,  $\bar{s} \otimes a_1m_1 = \bar{s} \otimes a_2m_2$ . Hence,  $(a_1m_1, a_2m_2) \in \text{Ann}_M(S/I)$ . We complete the proof.  $\square$

**Theorem 2.8.** *Let  $U_S$  and  ${}_S M$  be  $S$ -acts and  $M = \coprod_{\alpha \in I} M_\alpha$  the indecomposable decomposition of  $M$ . Then the following statements are equivalent:*

- (a)  $U_S$  is  ${}_S M$ -faithful.
- (b)  $\forall \alpha \in I$ ,  $U$  is  $M_\alpha$ -faithful.
- (c) For any  ${}_S N \in S\text{-Act}$  and every homomorphism  $f : {}_S M \rightarrow {}_S N$ , if  $1_U \otimes f$  is monic then  $f$  is monic.
- (d) For any  ${}_S N \in S\text{-Act}$  and every homomorphism  $f : {}_S N \rightarrow {}_S M$ ,  $\text{Ann}_N(U) \subseteq \text{Ker } f$ .

**Proof** (a) $\Leftrightarrow$ (b). By Proposition 2.5, we have  $\text{Ann}_M(U) = \coprod_{\alpha \in I} \text{Ann}_{M_\alpha}(U)$ . Thus,  $\text{Ann}_M(U) = \Delta_M = \coprod_{\alpha \in I} \Delta_{M_\alpha} \iff \text{Ann}_{M_\alpha}(U) = \Delta_{M_\alpha} (\forall \alpha \in I) \iff \forall \alpha \in I$ ,  $U$  is  $M_\alpha$ -faithful.

(a) $\Rightarrow$ (c). Suppose that  $\text{Ann}_M(U) = \Delta_M$ ,  $f \in \text{Hom}_S(M, N)$  and  $1_U \otimes f$  is monic. If  $(m_1, m_2) \in \text{Ker } f$ , then  $f(m_1) = f(m_2) \in N$  and we have  $u \otimes f(m_1) = u \otimes f(m_2)$  for all  $u \in U$ , i.e.,  $(1_U \otimes f)(u \otimes m_1) = (1_U \otimes f)(u \otimes m_2)$ . This implies  $u \otimes m_1 = u \otimes m_2 (\forall u \in U)$ . Thus  $(m_1, m_2) \in \text{Ann}_M(U) = \Delta_M$  and hence  $m_1 = m_2$ . So,  $\text{Ker } f = \Delta_M$ , i.e.,  $f$  is monic.

(c) $\Rightarrow$ (a). Assume (c). If  $(m_1, m_2) \in \text{Ann}_M(U)$ , then  $u \otimes m_1 = u \otimes m_2 (\forall u \in U)$ . Let  $f : M \rightarrow M/\lambda(m_1, m_2)$  be canonical epimorphism where  $\lambda(m_1, m_2)$  is a congruence on  ${}_S M$  generated by  $(m_1, m_2)$ . Then

$$1_U \otimes f : U \otimes M \rightarrow U \otimes M/\lambda(m_1, m_2), \quad u \otimes m \mapsto u \otimes f(m) = u \otimes \bar{m}$$

is monic. In fact, for any  $u \otimes m, u' \otimes m' \in U \otimes M$ , if  $u \otimes \bar{m} = u' \otimes \bar{m}'$ , then there exist  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n \in U, \bar{y}_2, \dots, \bar{y}_n \in M/\lambda(m_1, m_2)$   $s_1, t_1, \dots, s_n, t_n \in S$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} u &= x_1 s_1, \\ x_1 t_1 &= x_2 s_2, \quad s_1 \bar{m} = t_1 \bar{y}_2, \\ &\dots\dots \\ x_n t_n &= u', \quad s_n \bar{y}_n = t_n \bar{m}'. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we get  $(s_1 m, t_1 y_2), \dots, (s_n y_n, t_n m') \in \lambda(m_1, m_2)$ . If  $s_1 m = t_1 y_2$ , then

$$u \otimes m = x_1 s_1 \otimes m = x_1 \otimes s_1 m = x_1 \otimes t_1 y_2.$$

If  $s_1 m \neq t_1 y_2$ , then there exist  $p_1, \dots, p_k \in S$ , such that

$$s_1 m = p_1 c_1, \quad p_2 d_2 = p_3 c_3, \dots, \quad p_{k-1} d_{k-1} = p_k c_k,$$

$$p_1 d_1 = p_2 c_2, \quad \dots, \quad p_{k-1} d_{k-1} = p_k c_k, \quad p_k d_k = t_1 y_2,$$

where  $(c_j, d_j) \in \{(m_1, m_2), (m_2, m_1)\}$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, k$ . So

$$\begin{aligned} u \otimes m &= x_1 s_1 \otimes m = x_1 \otimes s_1 m = x_1 \otimes p_1 c_1 \\ &= x_1 p_1 \otimes c_1 = x_1 p_1 \otimes d_1 = x_1 \otimes p_1 d_1 \\ &= \dots = x_1 \otimes p_k d_k = x_1 \otimes t_1 y_2. \end{aligned}$$

By repeating the above arguments, we have

$$\begin{aligned} u \otimes m &= x_1 \otimes t_1 y_2 = x_1 t_1 \otimes y_2 = x_2 s_2 \otimes y_2 \\ &= x_2 \otimes s_2 y_2 = x_2 \otimes t_2 y_3 = \dots \\ &= x_n \otimes t_n m' = x_n t_n \otimes m' = u' \otimes m'. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore  $1_U \otimes f$  is monic. Now, by (c),  $f$  is monic and so  $\lambda(m_1, m_2) = \text{Ker } f = \Delta_M$ , i.e.,  $m_1 = m_2$ , whence  $\text{Ann}_M(U) = \Delta_M$ .

(a) $\Rightarrow$ (d). Suppose that  $\text{Ann}_M(U) = \Delta_M$ . For any  $f \in \text{Hom}_S(N, M)$ ,  $(n_1, n_2) \in \text{Ann}_N(U)$ , we have  $u \otimes n_1 = u \otimes n_2$  for all  $u \in U$ . Thus

$$u \otimes f(n_1) = (1_U \otimes f)(u \otimes n_1) = (1_U \otimes f)(u \otimes n_2) = u \otimes f(n_2)$$

for all  $u \in U$ . This means  $(f(n_1), f(n_2)) \in \text{Ann}_M(U) = \Delta_M$  and  $f(n_1) = f(n_2)$ . Hence  $(n_1, n_2) \in \text{Ker } f$ . This shows that  $\text{Ann}_N(U) \subseteq \text{Ker } f$ .

(d) $\Rightarrow$ (a). Assume (d). If we take  $f = \text{id}_M : M \longrightarrow M$ , then  $\text{Ann}_M(U) \subseteq \text{Ker } f = \Delta_M$  and the result follows.  $\square$

### 3 Completely faithfulness

**Definition 3.1.** An  $S$ -act  $U_S$  is said to be completely faithful in case  $Ann_M(U) = \Delta_M$  for every left  $S$ -act  $M$ .

For example, since  $S_S$  is a generator in  $Act-S$ ,  $S_S$  is completely faithful (see Proposition 3.7).

**Theorem 3.2.** For an  $S$ -act  $U_S$ , the following statements are equivalent:

- (a)  $U_S$  is completely faithful.
- (b) For every indecomposable left  $S$ -act  $T$ ,  $U$  is  ${}_S T$ -faithful.
- (c) For any  ${}_S N$ ,  ${}_S M \in S-Act$  and every homomorphism  $f : {}_S M \rightarrow {}_S N$ , if  ${}_1 U \otimes f$  is monic, then  $f$  is monic.
- (d) For any  ${}_S N$ ,  ${}_S M \in S-Act$  and every homomorphism  $f : {}_S M \rightarrow {}_S N$ ,  $Ann_M(U) \subseteq \ker f$ .

**Proof** The proof is similar to the one of Theorem 2.8  $\square$

Let  $Z = \{z\}$  be a set of one-element. Then  $Z$  is an  $S$ -act with only one way. Such an  $S$ -act is called the zero  $S$ -act.

**Proposition 3.3.** Let  $Z$  be the zero right  $S$ -act and  $M$  a left  $S$ -act. Then  $M$  is indecomposable  $S$ -act if and only if  $Ann_M(Z) = \nabla_M$ .

**Proof** It is obvious that  $M$  is indecomposable  $\iff |Z \otimes M| = 1 \iff Ann_M(Z) = M \times M = \nabla_M$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 3.4.** The following statements are equivalent:

- (a) Each right  $S$ -act is completely faithful.
- (b) The zero right  $S$ -act is completely faithful.
- (c)  $S = \{1\}$ .

**Proof** (a) $\implies$  (b) is clear.

(b) $\implies$  (c). Let  $Z$  be the zero right  $S$ -act. Since  ${}_S S = S1$  is indecomposable, we have, by Proposition 3.3,  $Ann_S(Z) = \nabla_S$ . Now,  $Ann_S(Z) = \Delta_S$  implies  $S = \{1\}$ .

(c) $\implies$  (a). Suppose that  $S = \{1\}$ . Then, for any  ${}_S M \in S-Act$ ,  $U_S \in Act-S$ , we have  $U \otimes M = U \times M$ . Hence,  $Ann_M(U) = \Delta_M$ , i.e.,  $U$  is  ${}_S M$ -faithful.  $\square$

The proof of the following proposition is straightforward.

**Proposition 3.5.** Let  $S$  and  $T$  be monoids, and let  $A_S, {}_S B_T$  be acts. Then



- (a) If  $A_S$  and  $B_T$  are completely faithful, then  $(A \otimes B)_T$  is completely faithful.
- (b) If  $(A \otimes B)_T$  is completely faithful, then  $B_T$  is completely faithful.

**Proposition 3.6.** *Let  $U_S$ ,  $V_S$ , and  ${}_S M$  be  $S$ -acts. If  $U_S$  generates  $V_S$ , then  $Ann_M(U) \subseteq Ann_M(V)$ .*

**Proof** For any  $(m_1, m_2) \in Ann_M(U)$  and  $x \in V$ , there exist  $f \in Hom_S(U, V)$  and  $u \in U$  such that  $x = f(u)$  since  $Tr_V(U) = \cup\{Imf | f \in Hom_S(U, V)\} = V$ . So  $x \otimes m_1 = f(u) \otimes m_1 = (f \otimes 1_M)(u \otimes m_1) = (f \otimes 1_M)(u \otimes m_2) = f(u) \otimes m_2 = x \otimes m_2$ , and thus  $(m_1, m_2) \in Ann_M(V)$ . Hence  $Ann_M(U) \subseteq Ann_M(V)$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 3.7.** *Every generator in  $Act - S$  is completely faithful.*

**Proof** Suppose that  $G_S$  is a generator in  $Act - S$ . Since  $Tr_S(G) = S$ , there exist  $f \in Hom_S(G, S)$  and  $x \in G$  such that  $f(x) = 1$ . Let  $M$  be an arbitrary left  $S$ -act and  $(m_1, m_2) \in Ann_M(G)$ . Then  $x \otimes m_1 = x \otimes m_2$ . So

$$1 \otimes m_1 = f(x) \otimes m_1 = (f \otimes 1_M)(x \otimes m_1) = (f \otimes 1_M)(x \otimes m_2) = f(x) \otimes m_2 = 1 \otimes m_2$$

which shows that  $m_1 = m_2$ . Hence  $Ann_M(G) = \Delta_M$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 3.8.** *Let  $T$  and  $S$  be monoids,  ${}_T U_S$  the  $S - T$ -biact,  ${}_S M \in S\text{-Act}$  and  ${}_T C \in T\text{-Act}$ . Let  $U^* = Hom_T(U, C) \in S - Act$ . Then*

- (a)  $Ann_M(U) \subseteq Rej_M(U^*)$ .
- (b) If  ${}_T C$  cogenerates  $U \otimes M$ , then  $Ann_M(U) = Rej_M(U^*)$ .
- (c) If  ${}_T C$  is a cogenerator, then  $U_S$  is completely faithful if and only if  ${}_S U^*$  is a cogenerator in  $S - Act$ .

**Proof** By [3] Proposition 2.5.19,

$$\phi : Hom_S(M, Hom_T(U, C)) \longrightarrow Hom_T(U \otimes_S M, C)$$

defined by

$$\phi(\gamma)(x \otimes m) = (\gamma(m))(x)$$

for any  $x \in U$ ,  $m \in M$  and  $\gamma \in Hom_S(M, Hom_T(U, C))$ , is a bijection.

(a) For any  $\gamma \in Hom_S(M, U^*)$ ,  $(m_1, m_2) \in Ann_M(U)$  and  $x \in U$ , we have  $x \otimes m_1 = x \otimes m_2$ , and then  $\phi(\gamma)(x \otimes m_1) = \phi(\gamma)(x \otimes m_2)$ . Thus,  $(\gamma(m_1))(x) = (\gamma(m_2))(x)$  for all  $x \in U$  which shows that  $\gamma(m_1) = \gamma(m_2)$ , that is,  $(m_1, m_2) \in Ker\gamma$ . Therefore,  $Ann_M(U) \subseteq Rej_M(U^*)$ .

(b) It will suffice to prove that  $Rej_M(U^*) \subseteq Ann_M(U)$ . For any  $h \in Hom_T(U \otimes_S M, C)$ , there exists a unique  $\gamma \in Hom_S(M, U^*)$  such that  $\phi(\gamma) = h$ . Also, for any  $(m, m') \in Rej_M(U^*)$  and  $u \in U$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} h(u \otimes m) &= \phi(\gamma)(u \otimes m) = (\gamma(m))(u) = (\gamma(m'))(u) \\ &= \phi(\gamma)(u \otimes m') = h(u \otimes m') \end{aligned}$$

since  $\gamma(m) = \gamma(m')$ . This implies that  $(u \otimes m, u \otimes m') \in \text{Rej}_{U \otimes M}(C)$ . By noting that  $C$  cogenerates  $U \otimes M$ ,  $\text{Rej}_{U \otimes M}(C) = \Delta_{U \otimes M}$ . So,  $u \otimes m = u \otimes m'$  for all  $u \in U$ . Hence  $(m, m') \in \text{Ann}_M(U)$ .

(c) This part follows (b).  $\square$

## References

- [1] F. W. Anderson and Kent R. Fuller, "Rings and Categories of Modules", Springer-Verlag, New York, 1995.
- [2] Y. Q. Chen and K. P. Shum, *Projective and indecomposable  $S$ -acts*, Science in China, Ser. A, **42**(1999), 593-599.
- [3] M. Kilp, U. Knauer and A. V. Mikhaev: "Monoids, Acts and Categories with Applications to Wreath Products and Graphs", Walter de Gruyter, Berlin, 2000.
- [4] U. Knauer, *Projectivity of acts and Morita equivalence on monoids*, Semigroup Forum, **3**(1972), 359-370.