

## A REMARK ON SOME SEMIGROUPS OF HYPERGROUP HOMOMORPHISMS

W. Mora\*, K. Kwakpatoon† and P. Youngkhong‡

*Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science,  
Chulalongkorn University, Bangkok 10330, Thailand  
e-mail: \*winita.m@student.chula.ac.th,  
†kannika.k@chula.ac.th and ‡pyoungkhong@yahoo.com*

### Abstract

Let  $\mathbb{Z}$  be the set of integers,  $n$  a positive integer and  $(\mathbb{Z}, \circ_n)$  the hypergroup where  $x \circ_n y = x + y + n\mathbb{Z}$  for all  $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Denote by  $\text{Hom}(\mathbb{Z}, \circ_n)$  the semigroup, under composition, of all homomorphisms of  $(\mathbb{Z}, \circ_n)$ . It has been shown that for  $f : \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}, f \in \text{Hom}(\mathbb{Z}, \circ_n)$  if and only if  $f(x + n\mathbb{Z}) = xf(1) + n\mathbb{Z}$  for all  $x \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $|\text{Hom}(\mathbb{Z}, \circ_n)| = 2^{n_0}$ . Using this characterization, we show in this paper that the relation  $\delta$  on  $\text{Hom}(\mathbb{Z}, \circ_n)$  defined by  $f\delta g \Leftrightarrow f(1) \equiv g(1) \pmod{n}$  is a congruence on  $\text{Hom}(\mathbb{Z}, \circ_n)$  and  $\text{Hom}(\mathbb{Z}, \circ_n)/\delta \cong (\mathbb{Z}_n, \cdot)$ .

## 1 Introduction

A *hyperoperation* on a nonempty set  $H$  is a function  $\circ : H \times H \rightarrow \mathcal{P}^*(H)$  where  $\mathcal{P}(H)$  is the power set of  $H$  and  $\mathcal{P}^*(H) = \mathcal{P}(H) \setminus \{\emptyset\}$ . For  $x, y \in H, x \circ y$  denotes the value of  $(x, y)$ . For  $A, B \subseteq H$ , let

$$A \circ B = \bigcup_{\substack{a \in A \\ b \in B}} a \circ b.$$

If  $x \in H$  and  $A \subseteq H$ , let  $x \circ A$  and  $A \circ x$  stand for  $\{x\} \circ A$  and  $A \circ \{x\}$ , respectively. The system  $(H, \circ)$  is called a *hypergroup* if

$$x \circ (y \circ z) = (x \circ y) \circ z \text{ and } H \circ x = x \circ H = H \text{ for all } x, y, z \in H.$$

---

**Key words:** Hypergroup, homomorphism, congruence  
2000 AMS Mathematics Subject Classification: 20N20

Then every group is a hypergroup. By a *homomorphism* of the hypergroup  $(H, \circ)$  we mean a function  $f : H \rightarrow H$  such that

$$f(x \circ y) = f(x) \circ f(y) \text{ for all } x, y \in H.$$

We note here that our homomorphisms are *good homomorphisms* in [1]. Denote by  $\text{Hom}(H, \circ)$  the set of all homomorphisms of  $(H, \circ)$ . Then  $\text{Hom}(H, \circ)$  is closed under composition. To show this, let  $f, g \in \text{Hom}(H, \circ)$  and  $x, y \in H$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} (gf)(x \circ y) &= g(f(x \circ y)) = g(f(x) \circ f(y)) \\ &= g(f(x)) \circ g(f(y)) = (gf)(x) \circ (gf)(y). \end{aligned}$$

It follows that  $\text{Hom}(H, \circ)$  is a semigroup under composition. Notice that the identity mapping on  $H$ ,  $1_H$ , is the identity of the semigroup  $\text{Hom}(H, \circ)$ .

If  $G$  is a group,  $N$  is a normal subgroup of  $G$  and  $\circ_N$  is the hyperoperation on  $G$  defined by

$$x \circ_N y = xyN \text{ for all } x, y \in G,$$

then  $(G, \circ)$  is a hypergroup ([1], page 11). Observe that if  $N = \{e\}$  where  $e$  is the identity of  $G$ , then  $(G, \circ_N) = G$ . If  $\mathbb{Z}$  is the set of integers and  $n$  is a positive integer, let  $(\mathbb{Z}, \circ_n) = (\mathbb{Z}, \circ_{n\mathbb{Z}})$  under usual addition, that is,

$$x \circ_n y = x + y + n\mathbb{Z} \text{ for all } x, y \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

In [3], the authors characterized the elements of  $\text{Hom}(\mathbb{Z}, \circ_n)$  as follows:

**Theorem 1.1.** ([3]) *If  $f : \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ , then the following statements are equivalent.*

- (i)  $f \in \text{Hom}(\mathbb{Z}, \circ_n)$ .
- (ii)  $f(x + n\mathbb{Z}) = xf(1) + n\mathbb{Z}$  for all  $x \in \mathbb{Z}$ .
- (iii) *There exists an integer  $a$  such that*

$$f(x + n\mathbb{Z}) = xa + n\mathbb{Z} \text{ for all } x \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

The cardinality of a set  $X$  is denoted by  $|X|$ .

The following fact was also provided in [3].

**Theorem 1.2.** ([3]) *The following statements hold.*

- (i) *For each  $a \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $|\{f \in \text{Hom}(\mathbb{Z}, \circ_n) \mid f(1) = a\}| = 2^{\aleph_0}$ .*
- (ii)  $|\text{Hom}(\mathbb{Z}, \circ_n)| = 2^{\aleph_0}$ .

We note here that multi-valued homomorphisms between groups were defined naturally in [6]. Some studies of this notation can be found in [6],[4], [5]

and [7].

Let  $\mathbb{Z}_n$  be the set of integers modulo  $n$ . For  $x \in \mathbb{Z}$ , let  $\bar{x}$  be the congruence class of  $x$  modulo  $n$ . Recall that  $\mathbb{Z}_n = \{\bar{x} \mid x \in \mathbb{Z}\} = \{\bar{0}, \bar{1}, \dots, \overline{n-1}\}$ . If  $\bar{x} \cdot \bar{y} = \overline{xy}$  for all  $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}$ , then  $(\mathbb{Z}_n, \cdot)$  is a semigroup of order  $n$  having  $\bar{0}$  and  $\bar{1}$  as its zero and identity, respectively.

Recall that an equivalence relation  $\rho$  on a semigroup  $S$  is called a *congruence* on  $S$  if

$$\text{for all } x, y, z \in S, \quad x \rho y \Rightarrow zx \rho zy \text{ and } xz \rho yz.$$

If  $\rho$  is a congruence on a semigroup  $S$ , then  $S/\rho$  under the operation

$$(x\rho)(y\rho) = (xy)\rho \quad \text{for all } x, y \in S$$

is a semigroup where  $x\rho$  is the  $\rho$ -class of  $S$  containing  $x$ . Moreover,  $x \mapsto x\rho$  is an epimorphism from  $S$  onto  $S/\rho$ .

Let  $\delta$  be the relation defined on the semigroup  $\text{Hom}(\mathbb{Z}, \circ_n)$  as follows:

$$\text{for } f, g \in \text{Hom}(\mathbb{Z}, \circ_n), \quad (f, g) \in \delta \Leftrightarrow f(1) \equiv g(1) \pmod{n}.$$

The purpose of this paper is to show that  $\delta$  is a congruence on  $\text{Hom}(\mathbb{Z}, \circ_n)$  and  $\text{Hom}(\mathbb{Z}, \circ_n)/\delta \cong (\mathbb{Z}_n, \cdot)$ .

## 2 Main Result

First, we give as a lemma how  $gf$  and  $fg$  map on each coset  $x + n\mathbb{Z}$  where  $f, g \in \text{Hom}(\mathbb{Z}, \circ_n)$ .

**Lemma 2.1.** *If  $f, g \in \text{Hom}(\mathbb{Z}, \circ_n)$ , then*

$$(gf)(x + n\mathbb{Z}) = (fg)(x + n\mathbb{Z}) = xf(1)g(1) + n\mathbb{Z} \quad \text{for all } x \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

*Proof.* By Theorem 1.1, we have that for  $x \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} (gf)(x + n\mathbb{Z}) &= g(f(x + n\mathbb{Z})) = g(xf(1) + n\mathbb{Z}) \\ &= xf(1)g(1) + n\mathbb{Z} \\ &= xg(1)f(1) + n\mathbb{Z} \\ &= f(xg(1) + n\mathbb{Z}) \\ &= f(g(x + n\mathbb{Z})) \\ &= (fg)(x + n\mathbb{Z}). \end{aligned}$$

□

**Corollary 2.2.** *If  $f, g \in \text{Hom}(\mathbb{Z}, \circ_n)$ , then*

$$(gf)(1) \equiv f(1)g(1) \equiv (fg)(1) \pmod{n}.$$

*Proof.* By Lemma 2.1,

$$(gf)(1 + n\mathbb{Z}) = (fg)(1 + n\mathbb{Z}) = f(1)g(1) + n\mathbb{Z}.$$

Then  $(gf)(1) \in (gf)(1 + n\mathbb{Z}) = f(1)g(1) + n\mathbb{Z}$  and  $(fg)(1) \in (fg)(1 + n\mathbb{Z}) = f(1)g(1) + n\mathbb{Z}$ , so

$$(gf)(1) \equiv f(1)g(1) \pmod{n} \quad \text{and} \quad (fg)(1) \equiv f(1)g(1) \pmod{n}.$$

Since  $f(1)g(1) = g(1)f(1)$ , the desired result follows.  $\square$

**Proposition 2.3.** *The relation  $\delta$  is a congruence on the semigroup  $\text{Hom}(\mathbb{Z}, \circ_n)$ .*

*Proof.* The relation  $\delta$  is clearly an equivalence relation on  $\text{Hom}(\mathbb{Z}, \circ_n)$ . If  $f, g, h \in \text{Hom}(\mathbb{Z}, \circ_n)$  are such that  $f \delta g$ , then  $f(1) \equiv g(1) \pmod{n}$ . Thus

$$h(1)f(1) \equiv h(1)g(1) \pmod{n}. \quad (1)$$

By Corollary 2.2,

$$\begin{aligned} (hf)(1) &\equiv h(1)f(1) \equiv (fh)(1) \pmod{n}, \\ (hg)(1) &\equiv h(1)g(1) \equiv (gh)(1) \pmod{n}. \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

From (1) and (2), we have

$$(hf)(1) \equiv (fh)(1) \equiv (hg)(1) \equiv (gh)(1) \pmod{n}.$$

Hence  $hf \delta hg$  and  $fh \delta gh$ . This shows that  $\delta$  is a congruence on  $\text{Hom}(\mathbb{Z}, \circ_n)$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 2.4.** *If  $\varphi : \text{Hom}(\mathbb{Z}, \circ_n)/\delta \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_n$  is defined by*

$$\varphi(f\delta) = \overline{f(1)} \quad \text{for all } f \in \text{Hom}(\mathbb{Z}, \circ_n),$$

*then  $\varphi$  is an isomorphism from  $\text{Hom}(\mathbb{Z}, \circ_n)/\delta$  onto  $(\mathbb{Z}_n, \cdot)$ .*

*Proof.* To show that  $\varphi$  is well-defined, let  $f, g \in \text{Hom}(\mathbb{Z}, \circ_n)$  be such that  $f\delta = g\delta$ , that is,  $f \delta g$ . Then  $f(1) \equiv g(1) \pmod{n}$ . Hence  $\overline{f(1)} = \overline{g(1)}$ .

If  $f, g \in \text{Hom}(\mathbb{Z}, \circ_n)$  are such that  $\overline{f(1)} = \overline{g(1)}$ , then  $f(1) \equiv g(1) \pmod{n}$ . Thus  $f \delta g$ , so  $f\delta = g\delta$ . Hence  $\varphi$  is 1-1. For  $f, g \in \text{Hom}(\mathbb{Z}, \circ_n)$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi((f\delta)(g\delta)) &= \varphi((fg)\delta) \\ &= \overline{(fg)(1)} \\ &= \overline{f(1)g(1)} \quad \text{from Corollary 2.2} \\ &= \overline{f(1)} \overline{g(1)} \\ &= \varphi(f\delta)\varphi(g\delta). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore  $\varphi$  is a semigroup homomorphism. If  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ , by Theorem 1.2(i), there is  $f \in \text{Hom}(\mathbb{Z}, \circ_n)$  such that  $f(1) = k$ . Thus  $\varphi(f\delta) = \overline{f(1)} = \bar{k}$ . This shows that  $\varphi$  is onto.

This shows that  $\varphi$  is an isomorphism from  $\text{Hom}(\mathbb{Z}, \circ_n)/\delta$  onto  $(\mathbb{Z}_n, \cdot)$ , as desired.  $\square$

**Remark 2.5.** For  $f \in \text{Hom}(\mathbb{Z}, \circ_n)$ , by the definition of  $\delta$ ,

$$f\delta = \{g \in \text{Hom}(\mathbb{Z}, \circ_n) \mid g(1) \equiv f(1) \pmod{n}\}.$$

Thus

$$f\delta = \{g \in \text{Hom}(\mathbb{Z}, \circ_n) \mid g(1) \in f(1) + n\mathbb{Z}\}.$$

From this fact and Theorem 1.2, the following results are clearly seen.

- (1) The cardinality of each  $\delta$ -class is  $2^{\aleph_0}$ , that is, for each  $f \in \text{Hom}(\mathbb{Z}, \circ_n)$ ,  $|\{g \in \text{Hom}(\mathbb{Z}, \circ_n) \mid g \in f\delta\}| = 2^{\aleph_0}$ .
- (2) For each  $f \in \text{Hom}(\mathbb{Z}, \circ_n)$ ,  $\{g(1) \mid g \in f\delta\} = f(1) + n\mathbb{Z}$ .

**Remark 2.6.** A semigroup  $S$  is called *regular* if for every  $x \in S$ ,  $x = xyx$  for some  $y \in S$ . Ehrlich [2] has shown that  $(\mathbb{Z}_n, \cdot)$  is a regular semigroup if and only if  $n$  is square-free. From this fact, Theorem 2.4 and Remark 2.5, we deduce that the following statements are equivalent.

- (i) For every  $f \in \text{Hom}(\mathbb{Z}, \circ_n)$ , there is an element  $g \in \text{Hom}(\mathbb{Z}, \circ_n)$  such that  $f\delta = (fgf)\delta$ .
- (ii) For every  $f \in \text{Hom}(\mathbb{Z}, \circ_n)$ , there is an element  $g \in \text{Hom}(\mathbb{Z}, \circ_n)$  such that  $f(1) \equiv f(1)^2g(1) \pmod{n}$ .
- (iii) For every  $f \in \text{Hom}(\mathbb{Z}, \circ_n)$ , there is an element  $g \in \text{Hom}(\mathbb{Z}, \circ_n)$  such that  $f(1)^2g(1) \in f(1) + n\mathbb{Z}$ .
- (iv)  $n$  is square-free.

For example,  $\text{Hom}(\mathbb{Z}, \circ_6)/\delta$  is a regular semigroup but  $\text{Hom}(\mathbb{Z}, \circ_4)/\delta$  is not.

## References

- [1] P. Corsini, "Prolegomena of Hypergroup Theory", Aviani Editore, Udine (1993).
- [2] G. Ehrlich, *Unit-regular rings*, Portugaliae Mathematica, **27** (1968), 209-212.
- [3] W. Mora, W. Hemakul and Y. Kemprasit, *On homomorphisms of certain hypergroups*, East-West J., Spec. Issue for ICDMA 2008 (2008), 137-144.

- [4] S. Nenthein and P. Lertwichitsilp, *Surjective multihomomorphisms between cyclic groups*, Thai J. Math., **4**(1) (2006), 35-42.
- [5] W. Teparos and Y. Kemprasit, *Regularity of semigroups of multihomomorphisms of  $(\mathbb{Z}_n, +)$* , Thai J. Math., Spec. Issue for Annual Meetings in Math. (2006), 25-30.
- [6] N. Triphop, A. Harnchoowong and Y. Kemprasit, *Multihomomorphisms between cyclic groups*, Set-valued Math. and Appl., **1**(1) (2007), 9-18.
- [7] P. Youngkhong and K. Savettaseraanee, *Multihomomorphisms from groups into groups of real numbers*, Thai J. Math., **4**(1) (2006), 43-48.