# FIXED POINT THEOREM FOR COUNTABLE FAMILY OF MAPS THAT SATISFY A GENERAL CONTRACTIVE CONDITION DEPENDENT ON ANOTHER FUNCTION

#### Kieu Phuong Chi and Le Anh Tu

Department of Mathematics, Vinh University, Vinh City, Vietnam chidhv@gmail.com

#### Abstract

In this paper, we prove the fixed theorem for a countable family of maps that satisfy a general contractive condition dependent on another function.

## 1 Introduction

Let (X, d) be a complete metric space and let  $\mathcal{F} = \{\mathcal{T}_{\alpha} : \alpha \in \mathcal{I}\}$  be a family of maps which map X into itself. A point  $u \in X$  is a common fixed point of  $\mathcal{F}$  iff  $u = T_{\alpha}(u)$  for each  $T_{\alpha} \in \mathcal{F}$ . In [3], Ćirić proved the following result.

**Theorem 1.1.** (Ćirić) Let (X, d) be a complete metric space and let  $\{S_n : n = 0, 1, 2, ...\}$  be a sequence of maps which map X into itself. If for some  $q \in (0, 1)$ 

$$d(S_0x, S_ny) \leqslant q \max\left\{ d(x, y), d(x, S_0x), d(y, S_ny), \frac{1}{2} \left( d(x, S_ny) + d(y, S_0x) \right) \right\}$$

holds for each n = 1, 2, ... and all  $x, y \in X$ , then  $\{S_n : n = 0, 1, 2, ..\}$  has a unique fixed point.

**Key words:** Fixed point, contraction mapping, T-contraction mapping. 2000 AMS Mathematics Subject Classification: 46J10, 46J15, 47H10.

Applying above theorem for  ${\mathcal F}$  is a singleton, we can get the following corollary.

**Corollary 1.2.** ([2]) Let S be a X complete space and let  $S : X \to X$  be a map. If for some  $q \in (0, 1)$ 

$$d(Sx, Sy) \leqslant q \max\{d(x, y), d(x, Sx), d(y, Sy), \frac{1}{2}(d(x, Sy) + d(Sx, y))\}$$
(1)

holds for every  $x, y \in X$ , then S has a unique fixed point.

Recently, A. Beiranvand, S. Moradi,... (see[1]) have provided the result on the existence of fixed points for new contractive mappings. We recall some concepts.

**Definition 1.3.** ([1]) Let (X, d) be a metric and  $T, S : X \to X$  be two functions. A mapping S is called to be a *T*-contraction if there exists  $q \in (0, 1)$  such that

$$d(TSx, TSy) \leqslant qd(Tx, Ty), \ \forall x, y \in X.$$

Clearly, if we choose Tx = x for all  $x \in X$  then *T*-contraction mapping becomes to a contraction. Note that, one can give an example which states that the map S is a *T*-contraction but T is not a contraction (see[1]). We recall the concept of generalized contraction maps.

**Definition 1.4.** ([2],[3]) Let (X, d) be a metric and  $S : X \to X$  be a function. A mapping S is said to be a *generalized contraction* if there exists  $q \in (0, 1)$  such that

$$d(Sx, Sy) \leqslant q \max\{d(x, y), d(x, Sx), d(y, Sy), \frac{1}{2}(d(x, Sy) + d(Sx, y))\}, \ \forall x, y \in X.$$

In [2], authors give an example that states that the map S is a generalized contraction, but S is not a contraction. By the ideas of combining the Definition 1.3 and Definition 1.4, we have the following concept.

**Definition 1.5.** Let (X, d) be a metric and  $T, S : X \to X$  be two functions. A mapping S is called a *T*-generalized contraction if there exists  $q \in (0, 1)$  such that

$$d(TSx, TSy) \leqslant q \max\left\{ d(Tx, Ty), d(Tx, TSx), d(Ty, TSy), \\ \frac{1}{2} (d(Tx, TSy) + d(TSx, Ty)) \right\}, \ \forall x, y \in X.$$

$$(2)$$

**Definition 1.6.** ([1]) Let (X, d) be a metric. A mapping  $T : X \to X$  is called *sequentially convergent* if for every sequence  $\{y_n\}$ , if  $\{Ty_n\}$  is convergent, then  $\{y_n\}$  is also convergent.

#### 2 The main results

The aim of this work is to prove the following result.

**Theorem 2.1.** Let (X,d) be a complete metric space and  $T : X \to X$  be an one-to-one, continuous and sequentially convergent mapping. If for some  $q \in (0,1)$ 

$$d(TS_0x, TS_ny) \leqslant q \max\left\{ d(Tx, Ty), d(Tx, TS_0x), d(Ty, TS_ny), \frac{1}{2} (d(Tx, TS_ny) + d(Ty, TS_0x)) \right\}$$
(3)

holds for each n = 1, 2, ... and all  $x, y \in X$ , then  $\{S_n : n = 0, 1, 2, ..\}$  has a unique fixed point.

**Remark 2.2.** By the above theorem and taking  $Tx = x, \forall x \in X$ , we obtain Theorem 1.1.

Next, applying Theorem 2.1 for the family  $\mathcal{F} = \{S_n : n = 0, 1, 2, ...\}$  with  $S_n = S$  for all n, we can get the following result.

**Corollary 2.3.** Let X a complete metric space and  $T : X \to X$  be an one-to-one, continuous and sequentially convergent mapping. Then every T-generalized contraction continuous function  $S : X \to X$ , S has a unique fixed point.

The following example is due to [4]. It shows that the Corollary 2.3 is stronger than Corollary 1.2.

**Example 2.4.** Let  $X = [1, +\infty)$  be a subset of reals with the usual metric. Define  $S: X \to X$  by

$$Sx = 4\sqrt{x}, \forall x \in X.$$

It is easy to see that a = 16 is unique of S. If (1) holds for some  $q \in (0, 1)$  then

$$d(Sx, Sy) < \max\left\{ d(x, y), d(x, Sx), d(y, Sy), \frac{1}{2} (d(x, Sy) + d(y, Sx)) \right\},\$$

for every  $x, y \in X$ . But by taking x = 1, y = 4 we have

$$d(Sx, Sy) = \max\left\{d(x, y), d(x, Sx), d(y, Sy), \frac{1}{2}(d(x, Sy) + d(y, Sx))\right\} = 4.$$

We get a contradiction. So, we cannot apply Corollary 2.1 for the map S. However, S will satisfy Corollary 2.3 if we choose  $T(x) = \ln(ex)$ . Indeed, obviously T is one-to-one, continuous and sequentially convergent and

$$\begin{aligned} d(TSx, TSy) &= |\ln(e4\sqrt{x}) - \ln(e4\sqrt{y})| = \frac{1}{2} |\ln\frac{x}{y}| \\ &= \frac{1}{2} |\ln(ex) - \ln(ey)| = \frac{1}{2} d(Tx, Ty) \\ &\leqslant \frac{1}{2} \max\left\{ d(Tx, Ty), d(Tx, TSx), d(Ty, TSy), \frac{1}{2} (d(Tx, TSy) + d(TSx, Ty)) \right\} \end{aligned}$$

for every  $x, y \in X$ .

We need following lemma for the proof of Theorem 2.1. It is a generalization of the result of [3].

**Lemma 2.5.** Let (X, d) is a metric space,  $T : X \to X$ , which is one-to-one and  $S_0, S : X \to X$  be two maps on X. If

$$d(TS_0x, TSy) \leqslant q \max\left\{d(Tx, Ty), d(Tx, TS_0x), d(Ty, TSy), d(Tx, TSy), d(Ty, TS_0x)\right\}$$

$$(4)$$
holds for some a,  $0 < a < 1$  and every  $x, y \in X$  and  $\{x \in X : S_0(x) = x\}$  is a

holds for some q, 0 < q < 1 and every  $x, y \in X$  and  $\{x \in X : S_0(x) = x\}$ non empty set, then  $\{x \in X : S_0(x) = x\}$  is a singleton and

$$\{x \in X : S_0(x) = x\} = \{x \in X : S(x) = x\}.$$

**Proof** Let  $a \in \{x \in X : S_0 x = x\}$  be any fixed point. Then, by (4)

$$\begin{aligned} d(Ta, TSa) &= d(TS_0a, TSa) \leqslant q \max \left\{ d(Ta, Ta), d(Ta, TS_0a), d(Ta, TSa), \\ d(Ta, TSa), d(Ta, TS_0a) \right\} \\ &\leqslant q \max\{ d(Ta, TSa), 0\} = q d(Ta, TSa). \end{aligned}$$

Since  $q \in (0,1)$ , we have d(Ta, TSa) = 0. It implies that Ta = TSa. By the fact that T is one-to-one, we get that Sa = a. Hence  $a \in \{x \in X : Sx = x\}$ . Next, let  $a' \in \{x \in X : S_0x = x\}$  be arbitrary. Then  $a' \in \{x \in X : Sx = x\}$  and by (4),

$$d(Ta, Ta') = d(TS_0a, TSa') \leqslant q \max\{d(Ta, Ta'), d(Ta, TS_0a), d(Ta', TSa'), d(Ta, TSa'), d(Ta', TS_0a')\}$$
  
=  $q \max\{0, d(Ta, Ta')\} = qd(Ta, Ta').$ 

It follows that d(Ta, Ta') = 0. Since T is one-to-one, we have a = a'. Therefore

$$\{x \in X : S_0(x) = x\} = \{a\} = \{x \in X : S(x) = x\}.$$

**Proof of Theorem 2.1** Fix  $x_0 \in X$ . Consider the sequence  $\{x_n\}$  define by  $x_0, x_1 = S_0 x_0, x_2 = S_1 x_1, x_3 = S_0 x_2, x_4 = S_2 x_3, \dots, x_{2n-1} = S_0 x_{2n-2}, x_{2n} = S_n x_{2n-1}, \dots$  For each  $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ , we set  $y_n = T x_n$ . We claim that  $\{y_n\}$  is

Kieu Phuong Chi and Le Anh Tu

a Cauchy sequence. Indeed, for each n = 1, 2, ..., we have

$$\begin{aligned} d(y_{2n}, y_{2n-1}) &= d(Tx_{2n}, Tx_{2n-1}) = d(TS_0x_{2n-2}, TS_nx_{2n-1}) \\ &\leqslant q \max \left\{ d(Tx_{2n-2}, Tx_{2n-1}), d(Tx_{2n-2}, TS_0x_{2n-2}), d(Tx_{2n-1}, TS_nx_{2n-1}), \\ & \frac{1}{2} (d(Tx_{2n-2}, TS_nx_{2n-1}) + d(Tx_{2n-1}, TS_0x_{2n-2})) \right\} \\ &= q \max \left\{ d(y_{2n-2}, y_{2n-1}), d(y_{2n-2}, y_{2n-1}), d(y_{2n-1}, y_{2n}), \\ & \frac{1}{2} (d(y_{2n-2}, y_{2n-1}), d(y_{2n-1}, y_{2n}) + d(y_{2n-1}, y_{2n-1})) \right\} \\ &= q \max \left\{ d(y_{2n-2}, y_{2n-1}), d(y_{2n-1}, y_{2n}), \frac{1}{2} d(y_{2n-2}, y_{2n}) \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $q \in (0, 1)$ , we infer that

$$d(y_{2n}, y_{2n-1}) \leq q \max \left\{ d(y_{2n-2}, y_{2n-1}), \frac{1}{2} d(y_{2n-2}, y_{2n}) \right\}.$$

We now show that

$$d(y_{2n}, y_{2n-1}) \leq q d(y_{2n-2}, y_{2n-1}).$$

Suppose that  $\frac{1}{2}d(y_{2n-2}, y_{2n}) > d(y_{2n-2}, y_{2n-1})$ . Then

$$2d(y_{2n-2}, y_{2n-1}) < d(y_{2n-2}, y_{2n}) \le d(y_{2n-2}, y_{2n-1}) + d(y_{2n-1}, y_{2n}).$$

Hence  $d(y_{2n-2}, y_{2n-1}) < d(y_{2n-1}, y_{2n})$ . We obtain

$$d(y_{2n}, y_{2n-1}) \leq q \max \left\{ d(y_{2n-2}, y_{2n-1}), \frac{1}{2} d(y_{2n-2}, y_{2n}) \right\}$$
  
$$\leq q \max \left\{ d(y_{2n-2}, y_{2n-1}), \frac{1}{2} (d(y_{2n-2}, y_{2n-1}) + d(y_{2n-1}, y_{2n})) \right\}$$
  
$$< q \max \left\{ d(y_{2n-1}, y_{2n}), \frac{1}{2} (d(y_{2n-1}, y_{2n}) + d(y_{2n-1}, y_{2n})) \right\}$$
  
$$= q d(y_{2n-1}, y_{2n}).$$

Since  $q \in (0,1)$ , we get a contracdition. Thus  $\frac{1}{2}d(y_{2n-2},y_{2n}) \leq d(y_{2n-2},y_{2n-1})$ . It follows that

$$d(y_{2n}, y_{2n-1}) \leqslant q \max\left\{d(y_{2n-2}, y_{2n-1}), \frac{1}{2}d(y_{2n-2}, y_{2n})\right\} = qd(y_{2n-2}, y_{2n-1}).$$

By the same way, we get that

$$d(y_{2n-2}, y_{2n-1}) \leq q d(y_{2n-3}, y_{2n-2}), \forall n = 1, 2, \dots$$

It implies that

 $d(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n}) \leqslant q d(y_{2n-2}, y_{2n-1}) \leqslant q^2 d(y_{2n-3}, y_{2n-2}) \leqslant \dots \leqslant q^{2n-1} d(y_0, y_1).$ 

By an elementary computation, we can take

$$d(y_k, y_{k+p}) \leqslant d(y_k, y_{k+1}) + \dots + d(y_{k+p-1}, y_{k+p}) \leqslant q^k \frac{d(y_0, y_1)}{1 - q}, \ \forall p \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Therefore,  $\{y_n\}$  is a Cauchy sequence. The claim is proved.

Since X is complete, we have  $\lim_{n\to\infty} y_n = Tx_n = u \in X$ . By the fact that T is a continuous and sequentially convergent mapping, we infer

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} x_n = a \in X \text{ and } Ta = u$$

We need to show that  $S_n a = a$  for all n = 0, 1, 2, ... By the triangle inequality and (3), we have

$$\begin{aligned} d(Ta, TS_0a) &\leqslant d(Ta, y_{2n}) + d(y_{2n}, TS_0a) = d(u, y_{2n}) + (Tx_{2n}, TS_0a) \\ &= d(u, y_{2n}) + d(TS_n x_{2n-1}, TS_0a) \\ &\leqslant d(u, y_{2n}) + q \max \left\{ d(Tx_{2n-1}, Ta), d(Ta, TS_0a), d(Tx_{2n-1}, TS_n x_{2n-1}), \\ &\frac{1}{2} (d(Ta, TS_n x_{2n-1}) + d(Tx_{2n-1}, TS_0a)) \right\} \\ &= d(u, y_{2n}) + q \max \left\{ d(y_{2n-1}, u), d(Ta, TS_0a), d(y_{2n-1}, y_{2n}) \\ &\frac{1}{2} (d(u, y_{2n}) + d(y_{2n-1}, TS_0a)) \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\frac{1}{2}d(y_{2n-1}, TS_0a) \leq d(y_{2n-1}, TS_0a) \leq d(y_{2n-1}, Ta) + d(Ta, TS_0a)$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} d(Ta, TS_0a) &= d(u, y_{2n}) + q \max \left\{ d(y_{2n-1}, u), d(Ta, TS_0a), d(y_{2n-1}, y_{2n}) \\ & \frac{1}{2} \big( d(u, y_{2n}) + d(y_{2n-1}, TS_0a) \big) \Big\} \\ &\leqslant d(u, y_{2n}) + q \big( d(y_{2n-1}, u) + d(Ta, TS_0a) + d(y_{2n-1}, y_{2n}) + d(u, y_{2n}) \big). \end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$d(Ta, TS_0a) \leq \frac{1}{1-q} \Big( (1+q)d(u, y_{2n}) + qd(y_{2n-1}, u) + qd(y_{2n-1}, y_{2n}) \Big), \quad \forall n.$$

Combining this and the fact that  $\lim_{n\to\infty} y_n = u$ , we get  $d(Ta, TS_0a) = 0$ . Since T is one-in-one, we must have  $S_0a = a$ . By (3), it follows that  $d(TS_0x, TS_ny) \leq c$ 

130

 $q \max \left\{ d(Tx, Ty), d(Tx, TS_0x), d(Ty, TS_ny), d(Tx, TS_ny), d(Ty, TS_0x) \right\}$ . Applying Lemma 2.5, we can conclude that  $S_n a = a$  for all n = 1, 2, ... and a is unique fixed point of  $\{S_n : n = 0, 1, 2, ...\}$ , and our proof is now completed.  $\Box$ 

**Acknowledgments.** The authors are greatly indebted to Professor Tran Van An for many valuable discussions.

### References

- A. Beiranvand, S. Moradi, M. Omid and H. Pazandeh, Two Fixed-Point Theorems for Special Mappings, arXiv:0903.1504.
- [2] L.B. Ćirić, Genralized contraction and fixed point theorems, Publ. Inst. Math., 12(36), (1971), 19-26.
- [3] L.B. Ćirić, On a family of contractive maps and fixed point, Publ. Inst. Math., 17(31), (1974), 45-51.
- [4] S. Moradi, Fixed-point theorems for mapppings satisfying a general contractive condition of integral type depended an another function, arXiv:0903.1574.