# On the direct sums of uniform modules and QF rings

Le Van An and Ngo Sy Tung

\* Department of Mathematics Vinh University Vinh city, Vietnam e-mail: levanan\_na@yahoo.com

\*\*

e-mail:

#### Abstract

In this paper, we give some results on direct sums of uniform modules. We also characterized of QF-rings by class of modules having finite composition length and characterized of QF-rings by class of semiartinian, CS-semisimple, SC rings.

## 1 Introduction

Throughout this paper, all rings are associative with identity and all modules are unital right modules. The socle, the injective hull and the endomorphism ring of M is denoted by Soc(M), E(M), and End(M). If the composition length of a module M is finite, then we denote its length by l(M).

Given two R-modules M and N, N is called M-projective if for every submodule X of M, any homomorphism  $\varphi : N \longrightarrow M/X$  can be lifted to a homomorphism  $\psi : N \longrightarrow M$ . A module N is called projective if it is Mprojective for every R-module M. On the other hand, N is called quasi - projective if N is N-projective. N is called M-injective if for every submodule A of M, any homomorphism  $\alpha : A \longrightarrow N$  can be extended to a homomorphism  $\beta : M \longrightarrow N$ . A module N is called injective if it is M- injective for every R-module M. On the other hand, N is called quasi-injective if N is

Key words:

<sup>2000</sup> AMS Mathematics Subject Classification:

N-injective. In particular, a ring R is called right (left) self-injective if  $R_R$  ( $_RR$ ) is quasi-injective. For basic properties of injective (projective) modules we refer to [1], [2], [5], [7], [8], [9], [18], [21] and [24].

For a module M consider the following conditions:

 $(C_1)$  Every submodule of M is essential in a direct summand of M.

 $(C_2)$  Every submodule isomorphic to a direct summand of M is itself a direct summand.

 $(C_3)$  If A, B are direct summand of M with  $A \cap B = 0$ , then  $A \oplus B$  is a direct summand of M.

A module M is called CS (or extending module) if it satisfies the condition  $(C_1)$ ; a continuous module if it satisfies  $(C_1)$  and  $(C_2)$ , and a quasi-continuous if it satisfies  $(C_1)$  and  $(C_3)$ . A module M is called uniform extending if every uniform submodule of M is essential in a direct summand of M. We have the following implications:

Injective  $\Rightarrow$  quasi-injective  $\Rightarrow$  continuous  $\Rightarrow$  quasi-continuous  $\Rightarrow$  CS  $\Rightarrow$  uniform extending.

We refer to [5] and [18] for background on CS and (quasi-)continuous modules.

A family of submodules of a module M, whose sum in M is direct, is called a local direct summand if every finite subsum is a direct summand of M. A decomposition  $M = \bigoplus_{i \in I} M_i$  is said to be complement (uniform) direct summand if for every (uniform) direct summand A of M there exists a subset J of I such that  $M = A \oplus (\bigoplus_{i \in J} M_i)$ .

A module M is called uniserial if the set of all its submodules is linearly ordered by inclusion. If  $R_R$  ( $_RR$ ) is uniserial, then we call R right (left) uniserial. We call a module M serial if it is a direct sum of uniserial modules. The ring R is called right (left) serial if  $R_R$  ( $_RR$ ) is a serial module. For basic properties of uniserial (serial) modules and rings we refer to [1], [5], [7], [20], [21] and [24].

For any module M, the submodule  $Z(M) = {}^{def} \{x \in M \mid xI = 0 \text{ for some} essential right ideal <math>I$  of  $R\}$ , called singular submodule of M. If Z(M) = M then M is called singular, while if Z(A) = 0 then A is called nonsingular. For basic properties of singular (nonsingular) modules we refer to [8], [9].

A module M is called (countably)  $\Sigma$ -uniform extending (CS, quasi-injective, injective) if  $M^{(A)}$  (respectively,  $M^{(\mathbb{N})}$ ) is uniform extending (CS, quasi-injective, injective) for any set A. Note that  $\mathbb{N}$  denotes the set of all natural numbers. A ring R is right (left) (countably)  $\Sigma$ -uniform extending (CS, injective) if  $R_R$  ( $_RR$ ) is (countably)  $\Sigma$ -uniform extending (CS, injective). If every right R-module is CS, then R is defined to be CS-semisimple. A ring R is called right (left) SC if every singular right (left) R-module is continuous.

In [10], Harada introduced and investigated the following condition for a given ring R.

 $(\ast)^\ast$  Every non–cosmall right R-module contains a nonzero projective direct summand.

In [19], [20], a ring R is called a right co-H-ring if it satisfies (\*)\* and the ACC on right annihilator ideals. A ring R is right co-H if and only if R is a right  $\Sigma$ -CS ring.

A ring R is called right (left) semi-artinian if every nonzero right (left) R-module has a nonzero socle. A ring R is right (left) perfect if every right (left) modules has a projective cover. The ring R is right semiperfect if every finitely generated right (or left) modules has a projective cover. Every right (left) perfect rings is semiperfect rings and right (left) perfect rings is also left (right) semi - artinian rings. For basic properties of semi-artinian, perfect, semiperfect rings we refer to [1], [5], [18], [21] and [24].

A ring R is called QF (quasi-Frobenius) if R is right and left self-injective and Artinian. In [5, 18.1], R is a QF-ring iff R is right or left self-injective, right or left Artinian. QF-rings have been studied in [2], [3], [4], [5], [6], [7], [10], [12], [13], [15], [16], [19], [20] and [24].

In this paper, we give some results on direct sums of uniform modules. We also characterized of QF-rings by class of modules having finite composition length and characterized of QF-rings by class of semiartinian, CS-semisimple, SC rings.

#### 2 Direct sums of uniform modules

**Proposition 2.1.** (a) Let M be a module with l(M) = 2. Then M is CS.

(b) Let  $M = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{n} M_i$  be a direct sum of submodules of length 2 such that  $M_i$  is  $M_i$ -injective for any i, j = 1, ..., n and  $i \neq j$ . Then M is CS.

*Proof.* (a) Case 1. If M is an indecomposable module of length 2, we will show that M is a uniform module. Suppose that M is not uniform. Consider two non-zero submodules A, B of M such that  $A \cap B = 0$ . Then, we have  $0 \subset A \subset A \oplus B \subset M$  and  $0 \neq A \neq A \oplus B \neq M$ . Hence l(M) > 2, a contradiction. Since M is a uniform module, so that M is CS.

Case 2. If  $M = A \oplus B$ , with A, B are non-zero submodules of M, then A, B are simple modules (because l(M) = 2). Hence M is CS.

(b) By (a),  $M_i$  is CS for any i = 1, 2, ..., n. By [11, Theorem 8] we have (b).

**Theorem 2.2.** Let  $U_1, U_2$  be uniform modules such that  $l(U_1) = l(U_2) < \infty$ . Set  $U = U_1 \oplus U_2$ . Then U satisfies  $(C_3)$ .

*Proof.* By [1],  $End(U_1)$  and  $End(U_2)$  are local rings. We show that U satisfies  $(C_3)$ , i.e., for two direct summands  $S_1, S_2$  of U with  $S_1 \cap S_2 = 0$ ,  $S_1 \oplus S_2$  is also a direct summand of U. Note that, since  $u-\dim(U) = 2$ , the following case is trivial:

If one of the  $S'_i s$  has uniform dimension 2, the other is zero.

Hence we consider the case that both  $S_1, S_2$  are uniform. Write  $U = S_2 \oplus$ 

K. By Azumaya's Lemma (cf. [1, 12.6, 12.7]), either  $S_2 \oplus K = S_2 \oplus U_1$ or  $S_2 \oplus K = S_2 \oplus U_2$ . Since  $U_1$  and  $U_2$  can interchange with each other, we need only to consider one of the two possibilities. Let us consider the case  $U = S_2 \oplus K = S_2 \oplus U_1 = U_1 \oplus U_2$ . Then, it follows  $S_2 \cong U_2$ . Write  $U = S_1 \oplus H$ . Then either  $U = S_1 \oplus H = S_1 \oplus U_1$  or  $S_1 \oplus H = S_1 \oplus U_2$ .

If  $U = S_1 \oplus H = S_1 \oplus U_1$ , then by modularity, we get  $S_1 \oplus S_2 = S_1 \oplus X$ where  $X = (S_1 \oplus S_2) \cap U_1$ . From here, we get  $X \cong S_2 \cong U_2$ . Since  $l(U_1) = l(U_2) = l(X)$ , we have  $U_1 = X$ , and hence  $S_1 \oplus S_2 = S_1 \oplus U_1 = U$ .

If  $U = S_1 \oplus H = S_1 \oplus U_2$ , then by modularity, we get  $S_1 \oplus S_2 = S_1 \oplus V$  where  $V = (S_1 \oplus S_2) \cap U_2$ . From here, we get  $V \cong S_2 \cong U_2$ . Since  $l(U_2) = l(V)$ , we have  $U_2 = V$ , and hence  $S_1 \oplus S_2 = S_1 \oplus U_2 = U$ .

Thus U satisfies  $(C_3)$ , as desired.

**Remark**. Theorem 2.2 is not true, in general, if  $U_1$ ,  $U_2$  are uniform modules such that  $l(U_1) \neq l(U_2) < \infty$ , then  $U = U_1 \oplus U_2$  does not satisfy  $(C_3)$ . For example, consider the special case of  $\mathbb{Z}$ -modules. Take  $U_1 = \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ ,  $U_2 = \mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z}$ . Then  $U = U_1 \oplus U_2$  does not satisfy  $(C_3)$ .

*Proof.* We have  $U = \{(x, y) \mid x \in U_1, y \in U_2\}$ . Set  $S_1 = \langle (\bar{1}, \bar{2}) \rangle = \{(\bar{0}, \bar{0}); (\bar{1}, \bar{2})\}, S_2 = U_1$ . Then  $U = S_1 \oplus U_2 = S_2 \oplus U_2, S_1 \cap S_2 = 0$ . Since  $S_1 \oplus S_2 = \{(\bar{0}, \bar{0}); (\bar{1}, \bar{2}); (\bar{1}, \bar{0}); (\bar{0}, \bar{2})\}$ , thus  $S_1 \oplus S_2$  is not direct summand of U. Hence U does not satisfy  $(C_3)$ .

**Corollary 2.3.** ([6, Proposition 2.2.1]) Let U be a uniform module with finite composition length. Then U is quasi-injective if and only if  $U \oplus U$  is CS.

*Proof.* If  $M = U \oplus U$  is CS, then combining with Theorem 2.2 we can see that M is quasi-continuous. Hence U is a quasi-injective module.

**Corollary 2.4.** Let  $U_1, U_2$  be uniform modules such that  $l(U_1) = l(U_2) < \infty$ . Set  $U = U_1 \oplus U_2$ . If U is CS, then  $U_1$  is  $U_2$ -injective and  $U_2$  is  $U_1$ -injective.

*Proof.* If U is CS, then combining with Theorem 2.2 we can see that U is quasi-continuous. The mutual injectivity of the  $U_1$ ,  $U_2$  follows from [18, 2.10].

**Corollary 2.5.** Let R be a ring with  $R = e_1 R \oplus ... \oplus e_n R$  where each  $e_i R$  is a uniform right ideal and  $\{e_i\}_1^n$  is a system of idempotents. Moreover assume that  $l(e_1 R) = l(e_2 R) = ... = l(e_n R) < \infty$ . Then R is right self-injective if and only if  $(R \oplus R)_R$  is CS.

*Proof.* Combining Corollary 2.3 and Corollary 2.4.

**Corollary 2.6.** Let  $U_1, U_2$  be uniform modules such that  $l(U_1) = l(U_2) < \infty$ . Set  $U = U_1 \oplus U_2$ . The following assertions are equivalent: (i) U satisfies  $(C_2)$ ;

(ii) If  $X \subseteq U$  and  $X \cong U_k$  (with k = 1 or k = 2), then  $X \subseteq^{\oplus} M$ .

LE V. AN AND NGO SI TUNG

*Proof.* The implication  $(i) \Longrightarrow (ii)$  is clear.

 $(ii) \Longrightarrow (i)$ . We show that U satisfies  $(C_2)$ , i.e., for two submodules X, Y of U, with  $X \cong Y$  and  $Y \subseteq^{\oplus} U$ , X is also a direct summand of U. Note that, since  $u-\dim(U) = 2$ , we have  $u-\dim(Y) = 0, 1, 2$ , the following case,  $u-\dim(Y) = 0$  is trival.

Case 1. If  $u-\dim(Y) = 1$ , then  $U = Y \oplus U_1$  or  $U = Y \oplus U_2$ . Since  $U_1$  and  $U_2$  can interchange with each other, we need only consider one of the two possibilities. Let us consider the case  $U = Y \oplus U_1 = U_1 \oplus U_2$ , then it follows  $X \cong Y \cong U/U_1 \cong U_2$ , by hypothesis (*ii*), we have  $X \subseteq^{\oplus} U$ , as required.

Case 2. If  $u-\dim(Y) = 2$ , then Y = U, hence  $X \cong U$ . Let  $\varphi$  be an isomorphism  $U \longrightarrow X$ . Setting  $X_k = \varphi(U_k), k \in \{1, 2\}$ , we have  $X_k \cong U_k$ . Note that  $X = \varphi(U) = \varphi(U_1 \oplus U_2) = \varphi(U_1) \oplus \varphi(U_j) = X_1 \oplus X_2$ . By the hypothesis (*ii*),  $X_i, X_j \subseteq^{\oplus} U$ . By Theorem 2.2, we can see that X = U, proving (*ii*).

**Proposition 2.7.** Let  $M = \bigoplus_{i \in I} M_i$ , with all  $M_i$  uniform such that  $l(M_i) \leq 2, \forall i \in I$ . The following assertions are equivalent:

(i) M is a CS module;

(ii)  $M_i \oplus M_j$  is CS for every  $i, j \in I, i \neq j$  and  $l(M_i) = l(M_j) = 2$ .

*Proof.* The implication  $(i) \Longrightarrow (ii)$  is clear.

 $(ii) \Longrightarrow (i)$ . We will show that M is CS. Suppose that  $A = M_i \oplus M_j$  is CS, where  $M_i, M_j$  are uniform modules such that  $l(M_i) = l(M_j) = 2$ . By Theorem 2.2, A is the quasi-continuous modules. Hence  $M_i, M_j$  are relatively injective modules for every  $i, j \in I, i \neq j$  and  $l(M_i) = l(M_j) = 2$ . Since  $M = X \oplus Y$ , where X is semisimple and Y is a direct sum of relatively injective submodules of length 2. By [5, Lemma 8.14], M is extending.

**Theorem 2.8.** Let  $M = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{n} M_i$  be a decomposition such that: (a) all  $M_i$  are uniform; (b) this decomposition of M is complement uniform direct summands; and (c) all  $i, j \in \{1, 2, ..., n\}, i \neq j$ ,  $M_i$  can not be properly embedded in  $M_j$ . Then the following statements are equivalent:

(i) M is CS module;

(*ii*)  $M_i \oplus M_j$  is CS,  $\forall 1 \leq i < j \leq n$ .

*Proof.* The implication  $(i) \Longrightarrow (ii)$  is clear.

 $(ii) \implies (i)$ . We will show that M is CS. Suppose that  $A = M_i \oplus M_j$ is CS, where  $M_i, M_j$  are uniform modules such that  $M_i$  can not be properly embedded in  $M_j$ . Let K be a non-zero submodule of  $M_i$  and let  $f: K \longrightarrow M_j$ be a homomorphism. Then K is a uniform module. Set  $U = \{x - f(x) \mid x \in K\} \subseteq M_i \oplus M_j$ . Then  $U \cong K$ . Take  $y \in U \cap M_j$ , there exists  $x \in K$  such that y = x - f(x). Since  $x = y + f(x) \in M_j \cap K = 0$ , we have y = 0. Hence  $U \cap M_j = 0$ . Since A is a CS module, there exists a direct summand U' of Asuch that  $U \subseteq^e U'$ . By [22, Lemma 1], A has a decomposition that uniform exchange, we have  $A = U' \oplus M_i$  or  $A = U' \oplus M_j$ .

Case 1.  $A = U' \oplus M_i$ . Let  $\pi_i : U' \oplus M_i \longrightarrow M_i$  be the canonical projection and let  $\varphi = \pi_i \mid_{M_j}$ . Since  $U \subseteq^e U'$ , so U' is a uniform closed submodule of A and  $U' \cap M_j = 0$ . Hence  $\varphi$  is the monomorphism and it implies that  $\varphi$  is isomorphism, i.e.,  $A = U' \oplus M_j$ . Let  $\alpha = \pi_j \mid_{M_i}$ , where  $\pi_j : U' \oplus M_i \longrightarrow M_j$ is the canonical projection. Therefore, for every  $x \in K$ , x = f(x) + (x - f(x)), for some  $f(x) \in M_j$  and  $x - f(x) \in U'$ . It follows that  $\alpha(x) = \pi_j \mid_{M_i} (x) =$  $\pi_j \mid_{M_i} (f(x) + (x - f(x))) = \pi_j \mid_{M_i} (f(x)) + \pi_j \mid_{M_i} (x - f(x)) = f(x)$ , i.e., fcan be extended to a homorphism  $\alpha : M_i \longrightarrow M_j$ . Hence  $M_j$  is  $M_i$ -injective.

Case 2.  $A = U' \oplus M_j$ . Let  $\pi_j : U' \oplus M_j \longrightarrow M_j$  be the canonical projection and let  $\beta = \pi_j \mid_{M_i}$ . Therefore, for every  $x \in K$ , x = f(x) + (x - f(x)), for some  $f(x) \in M_j$  and  $x - f(x) \in U'$ . It would imply that  $\beta(x) = \beta[(x - f(x)) + f(x)] =$ f(x), i.e., f can be extended to a homorphism  $\beta : M_i \longrightarrow M_j$ . Hence  $M_j$  is  $M_i$ -injective.

Thus  $M = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{n} M_i$  is a finite direct summand of relatively injective modules  $M_i$ . By [11, Theorem 8], M is CS.

## **3** QF-RINGS

**Theorem 3.1.** Let R be a right quasi-continuous, right semi-artinian ring such that  $(R \oplus R)_R$  is extending and R satisfies the ACC on right annihilator ideals, then R is the QF-ring.

*Proof.* We show that R is a right  $\Sigma$ -extending ring. By [4, Theorem 3.2], R has finite right uniform dimension. Then by [21, 5.1, page 189], R is semiperfect. We have

$$R = e_1 R \oplus \ldots \oplus e_n R,$$

where  $\{e_i\}_{i=1}^n$  is a set of mutually orthogonal primitive idempotents of R with all  $e_iR$  are uniform by  $R_R$  is extending. Let M be a right local module. By [7, 18.23.4], there exists  $i \in \{1, ..., n\}$  such that  $M \cong e_iR/X$ . If X = 0, then M is a projective module. If  $X \neq 0$ , then by  $e_iR$  is uniform, X is an essential submodule of  $e_iR$ . Hence M is a singular module.

Let U be a two-generated module, i.e,  $U = u_1 R + u_2 R$  for some  $u_1, u_2 \in U$ , then there exists an epimorphism  $\varphi : (R \oplus R)_R \longrightarrow U$ . Let  $K = ker\varphi$ . There exist two submodules  $P_1, P_2$  of  $(R \oplus R)_R$  such that  $R \oplus R = P_1 \oplus P_2$  and K is an essential submodule of  $P_1$ . Now

$$U = \varphi(R \oplus R) = \varphi(P_1) \oplus \varphi(P_2),$$

where  $\varphi(P_1) \cong P_1/K$ , so that  $\varphi(P_1)$  is singular; and  $\varphi(P_2) \cong P_2$ , so that  $\varphi(P_2)$  is projective. If U is uniform module and  $U \neq Z(U)$ , then  $U = U_1 \oplus U_2$  with  $U_1 \neq 0$  and projective,  $U_2$  singular. Hence  $U = U_1$ , i.e., U is a projective module. If U = Z(U), then U is the singular module.

Let V be a uniform module. We prove that every submodule N of V, then or  $N \subseteq Z(V)$  or  $Z(V) \subseteq N$ . If N is not a submodule of Z(V), then there exists  $x \in N \setminus Z(V)$  such that xR is not singular. If Z(V) is the submodule of xR, then  $Z(V) \subseteq N$ , as required. If Z(V) is not a submodule of xR, then there exists  $y \in Z(V)$  such that  $yR \not\subseteq xR$ . Note that xR + yR is a uniform module and  $Z(xR + yR) \neq xR + yR$ . Therefore xR + yR is projective. We imply xR + yRis a local module. Set  $I = xR \cap yR \neq 0$ . We consider two modules xR/I and yR/I with two maximal submodules are X/I and Y/I, respectively. We have  $(xR+yR)/(xR+Y) = (xR+Y+yR)/(xR+Y) \cong yR/(yR\cap(xR+Y)) = yR/Y$ , and  $(xR + yR)/(yR + X) \cong xR/X$ . Note that yR/Y and xR/X are simple modules, hence xR+Y and yR+X are maximal submodules of (xR+yR). By modularity,  $(xR+Y) \cap (yR+X) = X + [(xR+Y) \cap yR] = X + Y + I = X + Y$ , thus  $xR + Y \neq yR + X$ , a contradiction (because xR + yR is a local module). Thus  $Z(V) \subseteq N$ .

We aim to show next that V/Z(V) is a uniserial module. We consider two submodules A, B of V such that  $Z(V) \subseteq A$  and  $Z(V) \subseteq B$ . Assume that  $A \not\subseteq B$  and  $B \not\subseteq A$ , then there exist  $\alpha \in A \setminus B$  and  $\beta \in B \setminus A$  with  $\alpha, \beta \notin Z(V)$ . Therefore  $\alpha R + \beta R$  is a local module, a contradiction. Thus  $A \subseteq B$  or  $B \subseteq A$ , i.e., V/Z(V) is the uniserial module.

Set  $E_i = E(e_i R)$ , we show that  $E_i$  is a projective module. Set  $Z = Z(E_i)$ . By  $E_i$  is the uniform module,  $E_i/Z$  is also a uniserial module. By R is right semi - artinian, there is an infinite strictly ascending chain

$$S_1 \subseteq S_2 \subseteq S_3 \subseteq \ldots \subseteq S_m \subseteq \ldots,$$

where  $S_1/Z = Soc(E_i/Z)$ ,  $S_2/S_1 = Soc(E_i/S_1)$ , ...,  $S_{m+1}/S_m = Soc(E_i/S_m)$ , ... By  $E_i/Z$  is the uniserial module,  $S_m$  is the unique maximal submodule of  $S_{m+1}$  and Z is also the unique maximal submodule of  $S_1$ . Hence  $S_m$  is the local module for all m. But  $S_m$  is not the singular module, thus  $S_m$  is projective. We prove that, there is k such that  $S_k = E_i$ . Suppose that there exist p < qsuch that  $S_p \cong S_q$ . Note that we have  $S_p \subseteq S_q$ . Let  $f : S_q \longrightarrow S_p$  be an isomorphism and set  $Z^* = f^{-1}(Z)$ , then  $Z^* = Z$  (by Z is singular). Now

$$S_q/Z = S_q/Z^* \cong S_p/Z,$$

thus  $l(S_q/Z) = l(S_p/Z)$ , a contradiction. Hence  $S_p \not\cong S_q$  for all  $p \neq q$ . By  $S_m$  is the projective, local module, there exists  $j \in \{1, ..., n\}$  such that  $S_m \cong e_j R$  (see [1, 27.11]). By the set  $\{1, ..., n\}$  is finite, there is k such that  $S_k = S_{k+1} = ...$ . Thus  $S_k = E_i$ , i.e.,  $E_i$  is a projective module. Therefore  $E(R_R)$  is projective. By [10, Theorem 3.6], R satisfies  $(*)^*$ . Thus R is the right co-H-ring, i.e., R is the  $\Sigma$ -extending ring. By [4, Corollary 3.6], R is the QF-ring.

**Theorem 3.2.** Let R be a right continuous, right semi-artinian, right countably  $\Sigma$ -uniform extending ring then R is the QF-ring. *Proof.* By [4, Theorem 3.2], R has finite right uniform dimension. We have

$$R_R = R_1 \oplus \ldots \oplus R_n,$$

where each  $R_i$  is the uniform module. Since R is right countably  $\Sigma$ -uniform extending, thus R is right  $\Sigma$ -injective (see [13, Proposition 2.5]). Hence R is the QF-ring.

**Theorem 3.3.** Let R be a left CS, right and left semi-artinian ring such that  $(R \oplus R)_R$  is extending and  $R_R$  satisfies  $(C_3)$ , then R is the QF-ring.

*Proof.* By [4, Corollary 3.3], R is right perfect. By  $(R \oplus R)_R$  is the extending module, we have

$$R = e_1 R \oplus \ldots \oplus e_n R$$

where  $\{e_i\}_{i=1}^n$  is a set of mutually orthogonal primitive idempotents of R with all  $e_i R$  uniform and  $End(e_i R)$  local. Let A be an arbitrary set, then  $R^{(A)} = \bigoplus_{i \in I} M_i$  with all  $M_i$  are uniform and  $End(M_i)$  local. In particular, where each direct summand  $M_i$ , there exists  $k \in \{1, ..., n\}$  such that  $M_i \cong e_k R$ . Since  $(R \oplus R)_R$  is CS,  $M_i \oplus M_j$  is CS for all  $i, j \in I$  and  $i \neq j$ . By [17, Lemma 11],  $R^{(A)}$  is uniform extending.

We show that  $R^{(A)}$  is a CS module. Let A be a closed submodule of  $R^{(A)}$ , set  $\Gamma = \{ \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \wedge} U_{\alpha} \mid U_{\alpha} \subset A, \text{ all } U_{\alpha} \text{ is uniform and } \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \wedge} U_{\alpha} \text{ is locally direct}$ summand of  $R^A \}$ .  $\Gamma$  is non-empty set by [17, Proposition 6]. We can find a maximal member  $\bigoplus_{j \in J} U_j$  in  $\Gamma$  by Zorn's lemma. Since R is right perfect and  $R^{(A)}$  is a projective right R-module, the decomposition  $R^{(A)} = \bigoplus_{i \in I} M_i$ is complement direct summand. Hence by [18, Theorem 2.25], every local direct summand of  $R^{(A)}$  is a direct summand. It follows that  $\bigoplus_{j \in J} U_j$  is a direct summand of  $R^{(A)}$ . Set  $R^{(A)} = \bigoplus_{j \in J} U_j \oplus X$ . By modularity, A = $\bigoplus_{j \in J} U_j \oplus (X \cap A)$ . By  $X \cap A$  is closed in A, and A is also closed in  $R^{(A)}$ , so that  $X \cap A$  is closed in  $R^{(A)}$ . Therefore  $X \cap A = 0$  by maximality of  $\bigoplus_{j \in J} U_j$ . Thus  $R^{(A)} = A \oplus X$ , i.e.,  $R^{(A)}$  is a CS module. Note that R is a right quasi-continuous ring. Hence R is right  $\Sigma$ -CS. By [4, Corollary 3.6], R is the QF-ring.

**Proposition 3.4.** Let R be a ring with  $R = e_1 R \oplus ... \oplus e_n R$  where each  $e_i R$  is an uniform right ideal and  $\{e_i\}_1^n$  is a system of idempotents. Moreover assume that  $l(e_1 R) = l(e_2 R) = ... = l(e_n R) < \infty$ . The following assertions are equivalent: (a)  $(R \oplus R)_R$  is CS;

- (b)  $\hat{R}$  is right self-injective;
- (c) R is left self-injective;
- (d) R is the QF-ring.

*Proof.*  $(a) \iff (b)$ . By Corollary 2.5.

 $(b) \iff (d) \text{ and } (c) \iff (d).$  Note that  $l(R_R) = l(e_1R) + \ldots + l(e_nR) < \infty$ , thus R is right artinian. Therefore  $(b) \iff (d)$  and  $(c) \iff (d)$  by [5, 18.1].  $\Box$ 

**Proposition 3.5.** Let R be a right quasi-continuous, CS-semisimple ring; then R is a QF-ring.

Proof. By [5, 13.5], R is right and left artinian,  $R_R = R_1 \oplus ... \oplus R_n$  where each  $R_i$  is uniform module such that  $l(R_i) < \infty$ . By  $R_R$  is quasi-continuous,  $R_i$  is  $R_j$ -injective for any  $i \neq j$ . In particular,  $R_i \oplus R_i$  satisfies  $(C_3)$ , by Corollary 2.3. Note that  $R_i \oplus R_i$  is a CS module. Thus  $R_i \oplus R_i$  is quasi-continuous, i.e.,  $R_i$  is quasi-injective. Since  $R_i$  is an injective module, so R is right self-injective. This shows that R is a QF-ring.

**Corollary 3.6.** ([12, Corollary 3.2]) Let R be a right quasi-continuous, right SC ring. If  $R_B^{(\mathbb{N})}$  is CS, then R is a QF-ring.

*Proof.* By [12, Theorem 3.1], R is CS-semisimple. By Proposition 3.5, R is a QF-ring.

**Proposition 3.7.** Let R be a ring such that  $R_R$  does not contain a direct summand semisimple module. If R is CS-semisimple ring then R is a QF-ring.

Proof. By [5, 13.5], R is right and left artinian,  $R_R = R_1 \oplus ... \oplus R_n$  where each  $R_i$  is uniform module such that  $l(R_i) \leq 2$ . By  $R_R$  does not contain a direct summand semisimple module, we have  $l(R_i) = 2$ . By Theorem 2.2,  $R_i \oplus R_j$  satisfies ( $C_3$ ). Note that  $R_i \oplus R_j$  is a CS module. Thus  $R_i \oplus R_j$  is quasi-continuous, i.e.,  $R_i$  is  $R_j$ -injective. Since  $R_i$  is injective, thus R is right self-injective. This shows that R is a QF-ring.

**Theorem 3.8.** Let R be a right quasi-continuous ring. If R has finite right uniform dimension and the direct sum of any two uniform right R-module is CS, then R is a QF-ring.

Proof. By [6, Theorem 3.1.1], R is a right artinian ring, and uniform right R-modules have length at most two. By  $R_R$  is a CS module with finite uniform dimension, we have  $R_R = R_1 \oplus ... \oplus R_n$  where each  $R_i$  is uniform module such that  $l(R_i) \leq 2$ . Since  $R_R$  is quasi-continuous,  $R_i$  is  $R_j$ -injective for any  $i \neq j$ . In particular,  $R_i \oplus R_i$  satisfies ( $C_3$ ) (by Theorem 2.2). Note that  $R_i \oplus R_i$  is a CS module. Thus  $R_i \oplus R_i$  is quasi-continuous, i.e.,  $R_i$  is quasi-injective. Since  $R_i$  is an injective module, R is right self-injective. This shows that R is a QF-ring.

#### References

- F.W. Anderson and K.R Fuller, *Ring and Categories of Modules*, Springer - Verlag, NewYork - Heidelberg - Berlin, 1974.
- [2] A.W. Chatters, C.R. Hajarnavis, *Rings with Chain Conditions*, Pitman, London, 1980.

- [3] J. Clark and D.V. Huynh, When is a self injective semiperfect ring quasi
  Frobenius?, J. Algebra 164(1994), 531 542.
- [4] H.Q. Dinh and D.V. Huynh, Some results on self injective rings and Σ -CS rings, Comm. Algebra 31(2003), 6063 - 6077.
- [5] N.V. Dung, D.V. Huynh, P. F. Smith and R. Wisbauer, *Extending Mod-ules*, Pitman, London, 1994.
- [6] N.F. Er, Rings characterized by direct sums of CS Modules, Ph D Dissertation, Ohio University, August 2003.
- [7] C. Faith, Algebra II: Ring Theory, Springer- Verlag, 1976.
- [8] K.R. Goodearl, Singular Torsion and the Splitting Properties, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. 124(1972).
- [9] K.R. Goodearl and R.B. Warfield, An Introduction to Noncommutative Noetherian Rings, London Math. Soc. Student Text, Vol. 16, Cambridge Univ. Press 1989.
- [10] M. Harada, Non small and non cosmall modules, Proc. of the Antw. Conf., Marcel - Dekker Inc (1979), 669 - 689.
- [11] A. Harmanci and P. F. Smith, *Finite direct sum of CS-Modules*, Houston J. Math. 19(1993),523-532.
- [12] D.V. Huynh, Some remarks on CS modules and SI rings, Bull. Austral. Math. Soc. 65(2002), 461 - 466.
- [13] D.V. Huynh and S.T. Rizvi, On countably sigma CS rings, Algebra and Its Applications, Narosa Publishing House, New Delhi, Chennai, Mumbai, Kolkata(2001), 119 - 128.
- [14] D.V. Huynh, S.K. Jain and S. R. López-Permouth, Rings characterized by direct sums of CS - Modules, Comm. Algebra, 28(9), 4219 - 4222 (2000).
- [15] D.V. Huynh and N.S. Tung, A note on quasi Frobenius rings, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 124(1996), 371 -375.
- [16] D.V. Huynh, D.D. Tai and L.V. An, On the CS condition and rings with chain conditions, AMS. Contem. Math. Series, Vol. 480 (2009), 261 - 269.
- [17] M.A. Kamal and B.J. Müller, The structure of extending modules over noetherian rings, Osaka J. Math. 25(1988),539 - 551.
- [18] S.H. Mohamed and B.J. Müller, Continuous and Discrete Modules, London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser. Vol. 147, Cambridge University Press, 1990.
- [19] K. Oshiro, Lifting modules, extending modules and their applications to QF rings, Hokkaido Math. J., Vol. 13(1984), 310 - 338.
- [20] K. Oshiro, Lifting modules, extending modules and their applications to generalized uniserial rings, Hokkaido Math. J., Vol. 13(1984), 339 - 346.

- [21] B. Stenström, *Rings of Quotients*, Springer Verlag, Beclin, Heidelberg, New York, 1975.
- [22] N.S. Tung, Some results on quasi continuous module, Acta Math Vietnamica, Vol.19.No.2(1994), 13 -17.
- [23] N.S. Tung, L.V. An and T.D. Phong, Some results on direct sums of uniform modules, Contributions in Math and Applications, ICMA, December 2005, Mahidol Uni., Bangkok, Thailand, pp. 235 - 241.
- [24] R. Wisbauer, Foundations of Rings and Modules, Gordon and Breach, Reading 1991.