

## Endomorphism Ring of Quasi-rp-injective and Quasi-lp-injective modules

Aisuriya Sudprasert\* , Hoang Dinh Hai\*\*  
Supunnee Sanpinij<sup>†</sup> and Nguyen Van Sanh<sup>‡</sup>

\* *Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science,  
University of the Thai Chamber of Commerce, Bangkok, Thailand  
e-mail: aisuriya@hotmail.com*

\*\* *Hong Duc University  
Thanh Hoa, Vietnam  
e-mail: haiedu93@yahoo.com*

†

*e-mail: s\_sanpinij@yahoo.com*

‡ *Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science  
Mahidol University, Bangkok 10400, Thailand  
e-mail: frnvs@mahidol.ac.th*

### Abstract

Let  $R$  be a ring. A right  $R$ -module  $N$  is called an  $M$ -p-injective module if any homomorphism from an  $M$ -cyclic submodule of  $M$  to  $N$  can be extended to an endomorphism of  $M$ . Generalizing this notion, we investigated the class of  $M$ -rp-injective modules and  $M$ -lp-injective modules, and proved that for a finitely generated Kasch module  $M$ , if  $M$  is quasi-rp-injective, then there is a bijection between the class of maximal submodules of  $M$  and the class of minimal left right ideals of its endomorphism ring  $S$ . In this paper, we give some characterizations and properties of the structure of endomorphisms ring of  $M$ -rp-injective modules and  $M$ -lp-injective modules and the relationships between them.

**Lemma 1.** *Let  $M$  be a right  $R$ - module and  $S := \text{End}(M_R)$ . Then  $M$  is a*

---

\* Corresponding author **Key words:**  $M$ -p-injective modules, quasi-p-injective modules, right quasi-p-injective modules, self-generators, quasi weakly-p-injective, quasi-rp-injective, quasi-lp-injective.

2000 AMS Mathematics Subject Classification: 6D50, 16D70, 16D80.

quasi-rp-injective module if and only if for any non-zero element  $s \in S$ , there exists  $t \in S$  with  $ts \neq 0$  satisfying one of the following equivalent conditions:

- (1)  $l_S \text{Ker}(ts) = S ts$ ;
- (2) For any  $v \in S$ , if  $\text{Ker}(ts) \subset \text{Ker}(v)$ , then  $Sv \subseteq S ts$ ;
- (3) For any  $u \in S$ ,  $l_S(\text{Im}(u) \cap \text{Ker}(ts)) = l_S(\text{Im}(u)) + S ts$ .

*Proof.* Note that the condition (1) is a characterization of quasi-rp-injective modules (see [23]), and the proof of (1)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (2) is routine.

We now prove (2)  $\Rightarrow$  (3). It is easy to see that  $l_S(\text{Im}(u)) \subset l_S(\text{Im}(u) \cap \text{Ker}(ts))$ . Take any  $fts \in S ts$  and  $m \in \text{Im}(u) \cap \text{Ker}(ts)$ . Then  $fts(m) = 0$ . This shows that  $fts \in l_S(\text{Im}(u) \cap \text{Ker}(ts))$ , and hence  $S ts \subset l_S(\text{Im}(u) \cap \text{Ker}(ts))$ . It follows that  $l_S(\text{Im}(u)) + S ts \subset l_S(\text{Im}(u) \cap \text{Ker}(ts))$ . Conversely, let  $v \in l_S(\text{Im}(u) \cap \text{Ker}(ts))$ . Then  $v(\text{Im}(u) \cap \text{Ker}(ts)) = 0$ . It follows that  $\text{Ker}(tsu) \subset \text{Ker}(vu)$ . This implies that  $Svu \subset S tsu$ . Then there is an  $f \in S$  such that  $vu = ftsu$ . Hence  $(v - fts)u = 0$ , and therefore  $v - fts \in l_S(\text{Im}(u))$  or equivalently,  $v \in l_S(\text{Im}(u)) + S ts$ . This shows that  $l_S(\text{Im}(u) \cap \text{Ker}(ts)) \subset l_S(\text{Im}(u)) + S ts$ , proving our assertion.

(3)  $\Rightarrow$  (1) Taking  $u = 1_M$ , we get the result immediately. □

By a similar argument, we can prove the following lemma for quasi-lp-modules:

**Lemma 2.** *Let  $M$  be a right  $R$ -module and  $S := \text{End}(M_R)$ . Then  $M$  is a quasi-lp-injective module if and only if for any non-zero element  $s \in S$ , there exists  $t \in S$  with  $st \neq 0$  satisfying one of the following equivalent conditions:*

- (1)  $l_S \text{Ker}(st) = S st$ ;
- (2) For any  $v \in S$ , if  $\text{Ker}(st) \subset \text{Ker}(v)$ , then  $Sv \subseteq S st$ ;
- (3) For any  $u \in S$ ,  $l_S(\text{Im}(u) \cap \text{Ker}(st)) = l_S(\text{Im}(u)) + S st$ .

Recall that a right  $R$ -module is finitely cogenerated if and only if for any family  $\{A_i | i \in I\}$  of submodules with  $\bigcap_{i \in I} A_i = 0$ , there is a finite subset  $I_0$  of

$I$  such that  $\bigcap_{i \in I_0} A_i = 0$ .

**Lemma 3.** *Let  $M$  be a right  $R$ -module,  $S = \text{End}(M_R)$  and  $\Delta$  the set of all  $s \in S$  such that  $\text{Ker}(s)$  is essential in  $M$ . If  $\text{Soc}(M)$  is essential in  $M$ , then  $\Delta = l_S(\text{Soc}(M))$ .*

*Proof.* Take any  $s \in \Delta$ , we have  $0 \neq s$  and  $\text{Ker}(s)$  is essential in  $M$ . It follows that  $\text{Ker}(s) \supset \text{Soc}(M)$ , and hence  $l_S(\text{Ker}(s)) \subset l_S(\text{Soc}(M))$ . Since  $Ss \subset l_S(\text{Ker}(s))$ , we have  $s \in l_S(\text{Soc}(M))$ . Conversely, if  $s \in l_S(\text{Soc}(M))$ , then  $\text{Soc}(M) \subset \text{Ker}(s)$ . Because  $\text{Soc}(M)$  is essential in  $M$ , and then  $\text{Ker}(s)$

is essential in  $M$ , showing that  $s \in \Delta$ .  $\square$

Recall that a right  $R$ -module  $M$  is called a semiartinian module if and only if for any proper submodule  $U$  of  $M$ , we have  $Soc(M/U) \neq 0$ . If  $M$  is finitely cogenerated or semiartinian, then  $Soc(M)$  is an essential submodule of  $M$ .

*In special case, Let  $M$  be a quasi-rp-injective module. If  $M$  is finitely cogenerated or semiartinian then we also have  $\Delta = l_S(Soc(M))$ .*

Let  $K$  be a subset of  $S$ . We denote  $Ker(K) = \bigcap_{\varphi \in K} Ker\varphi$ .

**Theorem 4.** *Let  $M$  be a quasi-rp-injective module and  $S = End(M_R)$ . If  $M$  is a finitely generated Kasch module, then the following properties hold.*

- (1)  $Soc({}_S S)$  is essential in  ${}_S S$ ;
- (2)  $Rad(M) = Ker(Soc({}_S S))$ ;
- (3) If  ${}_S S$  is finitely cogenerated as a left  $S$ -module, then  $l_S(Rad(M))$  is essential in  ${}_S S$ .

*Proof.* (1) Since  $M$  is a quasi-rp-injective module, for any nonzero element  $s \in S$ , there is an element  $t \in S$  satisfying  $ts \neq 0$  and  $l_S(Ker(ts)) = Sts$ . Since  $M$  is a finitely generated module, there exists a maximal submodule  $T$  of  $M$  such that  $Ker(ts) \subset T$ . It follows that  $l_S(T) \subset l_S(Ker(ts)) = Sts \subset Ss$ . By [[23], Theorem 2.4(2b)],  $l_S(T)$  is a minimal left ideal of  $S$  and hence  $Soc({}_S S) \cap Ss \neq 0$ . This shows that  $Soc({}_S S)$  is essential in  $S$ .

(2) Take any maximal submodule  $T$  of  $M$ . By [[23], Theorem 2.4],  $l_S(T)$  is a minimal left ideal of  $S$  contained in  $Soc({}_S S)$ . It follows that  $Ker(Soc({}_S S)) \subset T$  for any maximal submodule  $T$  of  $M$ , showing that  $Ker(Soc({}_S S)) \subset Rad(M)$ . Conversely, take any minimal left ideal  $I$  of  $S$ . By [[23], Theorem 2.4],  $Ker(I)$  is a maximal submodule of  $M$  which implies that  $Rad(M) \subset Ker(Soc({}_S S))$ , and hence  $Rad(M) = Ker(Soc({}_S S))$ .

(3) We have from (2) that  $l_S(Rad(M)) = l_S Ker(Soc({}_S S))$ . In other hand, we always have  $Soc({}_S S) \subset l_S Ker({}_S S)$ . Since  ${}_S S$  is finitely cogenerated, by [[13], Theorem 9.4.3],  $Soc({}_S S)$  is essential in  ${}_S S$ . It follows that  $l_S(Rad(M))$  is essential in  ${}_S S$ .  $\square$

The following corollary is routine:

**Corollary 5.** *Let  $M$  be a quasi-rp-injective module,  $S = End(M_R)$ . If  $M$  is a finitely generated Kasch module and  ${}_S S$  is semiartinian as a left  $S$ -module, then  $l_S(Rad(M))$  is essential in  ${}_S S$ .*

An element  $u$  of  $S$  is called a *uniform element* if  $u(M)$  is a uniform submodule of  $M$ .

Let  $R$  be a ring. An element  $u$  of  $R$  is called a *right uniform element*, if  $u \neq 0$  and  $uR$  is a uniform right ideal of  $R$ .

**Lemma 6.** *Let  $M$  be a right  $R$ -module. If  $u$  is a uniform element of  $S$ , then the set:*

$$A_u = \{s \in S \mid \text{Ker}(s) \cap \text{Im}(u) \neq 0\}$$

*is a left ideal containing  $l_S(\text{Im}(u))$ .*

*Proof.* Clearly  $A_u \neq \emptyset$ .

Taking any  $s_1, s_2 \in A_u$ , we have  $\text{Ker}(s_1) \cap \text{Im}(u) \neq 0$ ,  $\text{Ker}(s_2) \cap \text{Im}(u) \neq 0$ . Because  $u$  is a uniform element of  $S$ ,  $\text{Ker}(s_1) \cap \text{Ker}(s_2) \cap \text{Im}(u) \neq 0$ . Hence there exists  $m \in M$  such that  $s_1(u(m)) = s_2(u(m)) = 0$  with  $u(m) \neq 0$ . Therefore  $\text{Ker}(s_1 - s_2) \cap \text{Im}(u) \neq 0$ , and hence  $s_1 - s_2 \in A_u$ . Since  $\text{Ker}(s) \subset \text{Ker}(\alpha s)$  for any  $\alpha, s \in S$ , we have  $\alpha s \in A_u$  for all  $\alpha \in S, s \in A_u$ . Clearly,  $l_S(\text{Im}(u)) \subset A_u$ . This shows that  $A_u$  is a left ideal of  $S$  containing  $l_S(\text{Im}(u))$ .  $\square$

The following lemma is helpful in proving the next theorem.

**Lemma 7.** *Let  $M$  be a right  $R$ -module and  $u$  a uniform element of  $S$ . If  $s_0 \in S$  such that  $\text{Ker}(s_0) \cap \text{Im}(u) = 0$ , then the set:*

$$B_u = \{t \in S \mid \text{Ker}(ts_0) \cap \text{Im}(u) \neq 0\}$$

*is a left ideal of  $S$ .*

*Proof.* Clearly,  $B_u \neq \emptyset$ .

Take any  $t_1, t_2 \in B_u$ . Then  $\text{Ker}(t_1 s_0) \cap \text{Im}(u) \neq 0$ ,  $\text{Ker}(t_2 s_0) \cap \text{Im}(u) \neq 0$ . Since  $u$  is a uniform element of  $S$ ,  $\text{Ker}(t_1 s_0) \cap \text{Ker}(t_2 s_0) \cap \text{Im}(u) \neq 0$ . It follows that there is non-zero element  $x \in M$  such that  $t_1 s_0 u(x) = t_2 s_0 u(x) = 0$ . Therefore  $\text{Ker}((t_1 - t_2)s_0) \cap \text{Im}(u) \neq 0$ , and hence  $t_1 - t_2 \in B_u$ .

We now take any  $\alpha \in S$  and  $t \in B_u$ . Then  $\text{Ker}(ts_0) \cap \text{Im}(u) \neq 0$ . Since  $\text{Ker}(ts_0) \subset \text{Ker}(\alpha ts_0)$  and  $\text{Ker}(\alpha ts_0) \cap \text{Im}(u) \neq 0$ , we can see that  $\alpha t \in B_u$ . This shows that  $B_u$  is a left ideal of  $S$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 8.** *Let  $M$  be a quasi-lp-injective right  $R$ -module. If  $u$  is a uniform element of  $S$ , then the set:*

$$A_u = \{s \in S \mid \text{Ker}(s) \cap \text{Im}(u) \neq 0\}$$

*is a maximal left ideal containing  $l_S(\text{Im}(u))$ .*

*Proof.* Applying Lemma 3, we see that  $A_u$  is a left ideal of  $S$  containing  $l_S(Im(u))$ . The remainder of the proof is to show the maximality of  $A_u$ .

Take any  $s \notin A_u$ . Then  $Ker(s) \cap Im(u) = 0$ , and hence  $su \neq 0$ . Since  $M$  is quasi-lp-injective, there exists  $t \in S$  such that  $sut \neq 0$  and  $l_S Ker(sut) = Ssut$ . If  $m \in Ker(sut)$ , then  $sut(m) = 0$ . It follows from  $s \notin A_u$  that  $m \in Ker(ut)$ . This shows that  $Ker(sut) = Ker(ut)$ , and hence  $ut \in l_S(Ker(sut)) = Ssut$ . Thus, there exists  $f \in S$  such that  $ut = fsut$  which implies that  $(1 - fs)ut = 0$ . Then  $1 - fs \in l_S(ut)$ , and hence the element 1 can be written in the form  $1 = fs + h$  for some  $h \in l_S(ut)$ . It follows that  $S = Sfs + Sh$ . We will prove that  $Sh \subset A_u$ . Let  $gh \in Sh$ . Then we have  $ghut = 0$ . This shows that  $0 \neq Im(ut) \subset Ker(gh)$ . Since  $Im(ut) \subset Im(u)$ , we get  $Ker(gh) \cap Im(u) \neq 0$ , showing that  $Sh \subset A_u$ . Since  $Sfs \subset Ss$ , we have  $S = A_u + Ss$ , proving the maximality of  $A_u$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 9** [[25], Lemma 3.10]. *Let  $R$  be a right self-rp-injective ring. If  $u \in R$  is a right uniform element, then the set:*

$$M_u = \{x \in R \mid uR \cap r_R(x) \neq 0\}$$

*is a maximal left ideal containing  $l_R(u)$ .*  $\square$

Corollary 10 follows directly from Theorem 8.

**Corollary 10.** *Let  $M$  be a quasi-lp-injective right  $R$ -module. If  $S$  is uniform, then  $S$  is local.*

**Lemma 11.** *Let  $M$  be a quasi-lp-injective module and  $S$  its endomorphisms ring. We assume that  $0 \neq \varphi \in S$  such that  $\varphi(M)$  is a simple submodule of  $M$ . For any  $0 \neq \psi \in S$ , if  $\varphi(M) \cong \psi(M)$ , then  $S\varphi \cong S\psi$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $M$  is a quasi-lp-injective module and  $\psi \neq 0$ , there exists  $\psi' \in S$  such that  $\psi\psi' \neq 0$  and any homomorphism from  $\psi\psi'(M) \rightarrow M$  can be extended to an endomorphism of  $M$ . Let  $\sigma : \psi(M) = \psi\psi'(M) \rightarrow \varphi(M)$  be an isomorphism. Then  $\sigma$  can be extended to an endomorphism  $\bar{\sigma}$  of  $M$ . Let  $\iota_1 : \psi(M) \rightarrow M$  and  $\iota_2 : \varphi(M) \rightarrow M$  be inclusions. We have  $\bar{\sigma}\iota_1 = \iota_2\sigma$ .

$$\text{We note that } \bar{\sigma}|_{\psi(M)} = \sigma \text{ and } \bar{\sigma}\psi(M) = \sigma\psi(M) = \varphi(M). \quad (*)$$

We now define  $\gamma : S\varphi \rightarrow S\psi$  with  $\gamma(s\varphi) = s\bar{\sigma}\psi$ .

If  $s\varphi = s'\varphi$ , then  $(s - s')\varphi = 0$ . It follows that  $Im(\varphi) \subset Ker(s - s')$ . By (\*), we have  $Im(\bar{\sigma}\psi) = Im(\varphi) \subset Ker(s - s')$ . Then  $(s - s')\bar{\sigma}\psi = 0$ , and hence  $s\bar{\sigma}\psi = s'\bar{\sigma}\psi$ . Thus  $\gamma(s\varphi) = \gamma(s'\varphi)$ , showing that  $\gamma$  is well-defined.

We have  $\gamma(s\varphi + s'\varphi) = \gamma((s + s')\varphi) = ((s + s')\overline{\sigma}\psi) = ((s\overline{\sigma}\psi) + s'\overline{\sigma}\psi) = \gamma(s\varphi) + \gamma(s'\varphi)$ . In other hand, we have  $\gamma(ts\varphi) = \gamma((ts)\varphi) = ts\overline{\sigma}\psi = t(s\overline{\sigma}\psi) = t(\gamma(s\varphi))$ . This indicates that  $\gamma$  is an  $S$ -homomorphism.

Suppose that  $\gamma(s\varphi) = \gamma(s'\varphi)$ . Then  $s\overline{\sigma}\psi = s'\overline{\sigma}\psi$ , and hence we have  $Im(\overline{\sigma}\psi) \subset Ker(s - s')$ . By (\*), we have  $Im(\varphi) \subset Ker(s - s')$ . Thus  $s\varphi = s'\varphi$ , proving that  $\gamma$  is one-to-one.

To prove  $\gamma$  is onto, we take any  $s\psi \in S\psi$ . Let  $\sigma^{-1} : \varphi(M) \rightarrow \overline{\psi}(M)$  be the inverse of  $\sigma$ . As before,  $\sigma^{-1}$  can be extended to endomorphism  $\overline{\sigma^{-1}}$  of  $M$  such that  $\overline{\sigma^{-1}}\iota_2 = \iota_1\sigma^{-1}$ . Then for any  $m \in M$  we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{\sigma^{-1}}\overline{\sigma}\psi(m) &= \overline{\sigma^{-1}}(\sigma\psi(m)) = \overline{\sigma^{-1}}(\iota_2\sigma\psi(m)) = s(\overline{\sigma^{-1}}\iota_2)(\sigma\psi(m)) \\ &= s(\iota_1\sigma^{-1})(\sigma\psi(m)) = s\iota_1(\sigma^{-1}\sigma)(\psi(m)) = s\iota_1\psi(m) = s\psi(m). \end{aligned}$$

This means that there exists  $\overline{\sigma^{-1}} \in S$  such that  $\gamma(\overline{\sigma^{-1}}\varphi) = s\psi$ , showing that  $\gamma$  is onto, and the proof of our lemma is completed.  $\square$

**Remark 12.** Let  $M$  be a right  $R$ -module,  $S = End(M)$  and  $\Delta = \{s \in S | Ker(s) \subset^*_> M\}$ . Suppose that  $M$  is a self-generator. Then  $r_S(s) \subset^*_> S$  if and only if  $s \in \Delta$ .

*Proof.* Let  $s \in \Delta$ . Take any  $0 \neq t \in S$ . Since  $Ker(s) \subset^*_> M$ ,  $t(M) \cap Ker(s) \neq 0$ . It follows that  $Ker(st) \neq 0$ . Because  $M$  is a self-generator, there is  $0 \neq k \in S$  such that  $0 \neq k(M) \subset_{>} Ker(st)$ . Therefore, we have  $stk = 0$ . It means that  $tk \in r_S(s)$ . Since  $0 \neq k(M) \subset_{>} Ker(st) \subset_{>} t(M) \cap Ker(s)$ ,  $tk \neq 0$ , proving that  $r_S(s) \subset^*_> S$ .

Conversely, take any  $0 \neq m \in M$ . Since  $M$  is self-generator, there is a nonzero element  $t \in S$  such that  $t(M) \subset mR$ . Since  $r_S(s) \subset^*_> S$ , there exists a nonzero element  $k \in S$  such that  $0 \neq tk \in r_S(s)$ . It follows that  $stk = 0$ , i.e.  $0 \neq tk(M) \subset_{>} Ker(s)$ . Thus we have  $Ker(s) \cap mR \neq 0$ . This shows that  $Ker(s) \subset^*_> M$ , and hence  $s \in \Delta$ .  $\square$

The following theorem gives a property of the endomorphisms ring of quasi-lp-injective modules.

**Theorem 13.** Let  $M$  be a quasi-lp-injective module which is self-generator. Denote  $S = End(M)$ ,  $\Delta = \{s \in S | Ker(s) \subset^*_> M\}$  and  $J(S)$  the Jacobson radical of the ring  $S$ . Then  $J(S) \subseteq \Delta$ . Especially, if  $S$  is left Kasch, then  $J(S) = \Delta$ .

*Proof.* Suppose on the contrary that  $J(S) \not\subseteq \Delta$ . Then there is a nonzero element  $\alpha \in J(S)$  with  $\alpha \notin \Delta$ . Since  $M$  is a self generator, there exists  $0 \neq \beta \in S$  such that  $Ker(\alpha) \cap Im(\beta) = 0$ , and hence  $\alpha\beta \neq 0$ . By the quasi-lp-injectivity of  $M$ , there is an element  $\gamma \in S$  such that  $\alpha\beta\gamma \neq 0$  satisfying

$l_S(Ker(\alpha\beta\gamma)) = S\alpha\beta\gamma$ . Put  $s = \alpha\beta\gamma$  and  $t = \beta\gamma$ . Clearly,  $Ker(\alpha) \cap Im(t) = 0$ , and hence  $t \notin J(S)$ . If  $m \in Ker(s)$ , then  $\gamma(m) \in Ker(\alpha\beta) = Ker(\beta)$ , and therefore  $t(m) = \beta\gamma(m) = 0$ . This follows that  $Ker(s) = Ker(t)$ . Since  $t \in l_S(Ker(t)) = l_S(Ker(s)) = Ss$ , we have  $t = us$  for some  $u \in S$ . Therefore  $t \in J(S)$ , a contradiction. This shows that  $J(S) \subseteq \Delta$ .

We now assume in addition that  $S$  is left Kasch. Take any  $0 \neq s \in \Delta$  and  $0 \neq t \in S$ . If  $S(1-ts) \neq S$ , then  $S(1-ts) \subset H$  for some maximal left ideal  $H$  of  $S$ . Since  $S$  is left Kasch, there exists  $0 \neq k \in S$  such that  $H = l_S(k)$ . Therefore  $k \in r_S l_S(k) = r_S(H)$ . Moreover  $1-ts \in H$ , hence  $r_S(H) \subset r_S(1-ts)$ . It follows that  $0 \neq k \in r_S(1-ts)$ . Since  $s \in \Delta$ ,  $Ker(ts) \subset_S^* M$ . Applying Remark 12, we have  $r_S(ts) \subset_S^* S$ . From the fact that,  $r_S(1-ts) \cap r_S(ts) = 0$ , we see that  $r_S(1-ts) = 0$ , this is a contradiction. Therefore  $S(1-ts) = S$ , proving that  $1-ts$  is invertible. Hence  $s \in J(S)$ , and we get  $J(S) = \Delta$ , completing our proof.  $\square$

Combining the Theorem 13 and Lemma 3, we easily get the following corollary.

**Corollary 14.** *Let  $M$  be a quasi-lp-injective module which is a self-generator. Let  $S = End_R(M)$  be left Kasch. Denote the Jacobson radical of  $S$  by  $J(S)$ . If  $Soc(M)$  is essential in  $M$ , then  $J(S) = l_S(Soc(M))$ .*

The following routine remark is helpful to prove the next theorem:

**Remark 15.** *Let  $M$  be a right  $R$ -module and  $\Omega = \bigoplus_{i=1}^n A_i$  a direct sum of uniform submodules of  $M$ . If  $B$  is a submodule of  $M$  such that  $B \cap A_i \neq 0, i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ , then  $B \cap \Omega$  is an essential submodule of  $\Omega$ .*

**Lemma 16.** *Let  $M$  be a quasi-lp-injective module and  $\Omega = \bigoplus_{i=1}^n Im(u_i)$  a direct sum of homomorphic image of uniform elements of  $S$ . If  $K \subset S$  is a maximal left ideal not of the form  $A_u$  in Lemma 8, then for any right uniform element  $u$ , there exists  $k \in K$  such that  $Ker(1-k) \cap \Omega$  is essential in  $\Omega$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $K \neq A_{u_1}$ , there exists  $s_0 \in K$  such that  $Ker(s_0) \cap Im(u_1) = 0$  and hence  $s_0 u_1 \neq 0$ . Since  $M$  is quasi-lp-injective, there is  $0 \neq t_1 \in S$  such that  $s_0 t_1 u_1 t_1 \neq 0$  and  $l_S Ker(s_0 u_1 t_1) = S s_0 u_1 t_1$ . If  $m \in Ker(s_0 u_1 t_1)$ , then  $s_0 u_1 t_1(m) = 0$ . Since  $s_0 \notin A_{u_1}$ , we see that  $m \in Ker(u_1 t_1)$ . Hence,  $Ker(u_1 t_1) \subset Ker(s_0 u_1 t_1)$ , and this implies that  $Ker(s_0 u_1 t_1) = Ker(u_1 t_1)$ . Thus we have  $u_1 t_1 \in l_S(Ker(s_0 u_1 t_1)) = S s_0 u_1 t_1$ . Hence, there is  $f_1 \in S$  such that  $u_1 f_1 = f_1 s_0 u_1 t_1$  and therefore  $(1 - f_1 s_0) u_1 t_1 = 0$ . Then  $1 - f_1 s_0 \in l_S(u_1 t_1)$ . Let  $k_1 = f_1 s_0$ . Then, we have  $k_1 \in K$  and  $Ker(1 - k_1) \cap Im(u_1) \supset Ker(1 -$

$k_1) \cap Im(u_1 t_1) \neq 0$ . If  $Ker(1 - k_1) \cap Im(u_i) \neq 0$  for all  $i = 1, 2, 3, \dots, n$ , by Remark 11, we are well done. Suppose that  $Ker(1 - k_1) \cap Im(u_2) = 0$ . Since  $u_2$  is uniform,  $(1 - k_1)u_2$  is also uniform. As before, there exists  $\alpha_1 \in K$  such that  $Ker(1 - \alpha_1) \cap Im((1 - k_1)u_2) \neq 0$ . Let  $k_2 = \alpha_1 + k_1 - \alpha_1 k_1$ . Then  $k_2 \in K$  and  $Ker(1 - k_2) \cap Im(u_i) \neq 0, i = 1, 2$ . Continuing this process, we will obtain  $k \in K$  such that  $Ker(1 - k) \cap Im(u_i) \neq 0$  for all  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ , and the proof is complete.  $\square$

**Theorem 17.** *Let  $M$  be a quasi-lp-injective module which is self-generator with  $n$ -Goldie-dimension and  $S = End_R(M)$ .*

- (1) *If  $I \subset S$  is a maximal left ideal, then  $I = A_u$  for some right uniform element  $u \in S$ .*
- (2)  *$S/J(S)$  is semisimple.*

*Proof.* (1) Since  $M$  is a self-generator, every submodule of  $M$  contains an  $M$ -cyclic submodule. Combining with assumption being  $n$ -Goldie-dimension of  $M$ , there is a direct sum  $\Omega = \bigoplus_{i=1}^n Im(u_i) \subset^* M$ , where each  $u_i$  is an uniform element of  $S$ . In the contrary, suppose that  $I$  is not of the form  $A_u$  for some right uniform element of  $u \in S$ . By Lemma 16, there exists an element  $s \in I$  such that  $Ker(1 - s) \cap \Omega$  is essential in  $\Omega$ . It follows that  $1 - s \in J(S) \subset I$ , a contradiction. Thus  $I = A_u$  for some right uniform element  $u \in S$ .

- (2) Take any  $s \in \bigcap_{i=1}^n A_{u_i}$ . We have  $Ker(s) \cap Im(u_i) \neq 0$ , for all  $i=1, \dots, n$ . Since  $u_i$  is uniform, and  $\Omega = \bigoplus_{i=1}^n Im(u_i) \subset^* M$ ,  $Ker(s) \subset^* M$ . It follows that  $s \in J(S)$  and hence  $\bigcap_{i=1}^n A_{u_i} = J(S)$ . This shows that  $S/J(S)$  is semisimple.  $\square$

**Corollary 18.** *Let  $R$  be a right self-lp-injective which has finite Goldie dimension. Then the following statements hold:*

- (1) *If  $I \subset R$  be a maximal left ideal, then  $I = A_u$  for some right uniform element  $u \in R$ .*
- (2)  *$R/J(R)$  is semisimple.*

## References

[1] F.W. Anderson and K.R. Fuller, "Rings and Categories of Modules", Graduate Texts in Math. No.13, Springer-Verlag, New York, Heidelberg, Berlin, 1974.



- [2] V. Camillo, M.F. Yousif, *Continuous rings with ACC on annihilators*, Cand. Math. Bull. 34 (1991), 642-644.
- [3] J. Clark, D.V. Huynh, *A note on self-injective perfect rings*, Quartl. J. Math. Oxford,(2) 45 (1994), 13-17.
- [4] J. Clark, D.V. Huynh, *When is a self-injective semiperfect ring quasi-Frobenius?* J. Algebra, 164 (1994), 531-542.
- [5] N.V. Dung, , P.F. Smith, *On semi-artinian V-modules*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra, 82, 27-37 (1992).
- [6] N.V. Dung, D.V. Huynh, P.F. Smith, R. Wisbauer, "Extending Modules", Research Notes in Mathematics Series, 313, Pitman London (1994).
- [7] C. Faith, *Rings with ascending chain condition on annihilators*, Nagoya Math. J., 27 (1966), 179-191.
- [8] K.R. Goodearl, *Singular torsion and splitting properties*, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc, 124 (1972).
- [9] D.V. Huynh, B.J. Müller, *Rings for which direct sums of extending modules are extending*, Advances in Ring Theory (Granville, OH, 1996), Birkhauser, Boston, MA, 1997, 151-159.
- [10] D.V. Huynh, R. Wisbauer, *A structure theorem for SI-modules*, Glasgow Math. J., 34, 83-89, 1992.
- [11] M. Ikeda and T. Nakayama, *On some characteristic properties of quasi-Frobenius and regular rings*, Proc. A.M.S. 5(1954), 15-19.
- [12] S.K. Jain, Surjeet Singh, R.G. Symonds, *Rings whose proper cyclic modules are quasi-injective*, Pacific J. Math, 67 (1976), 461-472.
- [13] F. Kasch, "Moduln und Ringe", Stuttgart, 1977.
- [14] J. Lambek, "Lecture on Rings and Modules", Blaisedell Publishing Co., Waltham, 1966.
- [15] S.H. Mohamed, B.J. Müller, *Continuous and Discrete Modules*, London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Series, No 147, Cambridge Univ. Press (1990).
- [16] G.O. Michler, and O.E. Villamayor, *On rings whose simple modules are injective*, J. of Algebra, 1973, 25(1).
- [17] W. K. Nicholson and M. F. Yousif. Principally injective rings, *Journal of Algebra*, 174 (1995), 77-93.
- [18] B.L. Osofsky, A generalization of Quasi-Frobenius rings, *J. Algebra*, 4 (1966), 373-387.
- [19] E.A. Rutter, Jr., Ring with the principal extension property, *Comm. in Algebra*, 3 (3) (1975), 203-212.
- [20] N.V. Sanh, *On SC-modules*, Bull. Austral. Math. Soc, 48(1993), 251-255.
- [21] N.V. Sanh, *On weakly SI-modules*, Bull. Austral. Math. Soc, 49(1994), 159-164.

- [22] N.V. Sanh, K.P. Shum, S. Dhompongsa and S. Wongwai, *On quasi-principally injective modules*, Algebra Colloquium, 6 :3 (1999), 269-276.
- [23] A.Sudprasert, H.D.Hai and N.V.Sanh, *A Weaker form  $p$ -Injectivity*, to appear at Southeast Asian Bull. Math.
- [24] R. Wisbauer, "Foundations of Module and Ring Theory", Gordon and Breach, London, Tokyo, e.a. 1991.
- [25] Zhu Zhanmin, *Weakly  $p$ -injective rings*, Southeast Asian Bull. Math., **31**(2007), 615-624.