LINEAR FRACTIONAL TRANSFORMATIONS OF CONTINUED FRACTIONS WITH BOUNDED PARTIAL QUOTIENTS IN THE FIELD OF FORMAL SERIES

$\begin{array}{c} \textbf{Oranit Panprasitwech}^{*,\ddagger}, \textbf{Vichian Laohakosol}^{\ddagger,\ddagger}\\ \textbf{and}\\ \textbf{Tuangrat Chaichana}^{*,\ddagger} \end{array}$

* Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, Chulalongkorn University, Bangkok 10330, Thailand e-mail: tuangrat.c@chula.ac.th

[†]Department of Mathematics, Kasetsart University, Bangkok 10900, Thailand e-mail: fscivil@ku.ac.th

[‡] The Centre of Excellence in Mathematics, CHE, Si Ayutthaya Road, Bangkok 10400, Thailand

Abstract

Let θ be an irrational element in the field of formal series. Using a modification of the 1997 technique due to Lagarias and Shallit in the real numbers case, it is shown that if the continued fraction expansion of θ has bounded partial quotients, so does its linear fractional transformation.

1. Introduction

Let α be an irrational real number whose simple continued fraction is $[b_0, b_1, b_2, ...]$. We say that α has bounded partial quotients if $\sup_{i\geq 1} b_i < \infty$. Lagarias and Shallit in [4] proved, using the so-called Lagrange constant through a result of

Key words: continued fractions with bounded partial quotients, linear fractional transformations, fields of formal series.

 $^{2000~{\}rm AMS}$ Mathematics Subject Classification: 11J61; 11J70.

Cusick and Mendès France in [2], that if α has bounded partial quotients, so does its linear fractional transformation. We show here that this is also the case in the field of formal series.

Let $\mathbf{F} := \mathbb{F}((x^{-1}))$ be the field of formal series over a field \mathbb{F} , equipped with the usual degree valuation $|\cdot|$, so normalized that $|P(x)| = 2^{\deg P(x)}$ $(P \in \mathbb{F}[x] \setminus \{0\})$. It is well-known, see e.g. [1, Chapter 1], that every element $\xi \in \mathbf{F} \setminus \{0\}$ can be uniquely written as

$$\xi := \sum_{n=r}^{\infty} w_n x^{-n}$$

where $r \in \mathbb{Z}$, $w_n \in \mathbb{F}$ $(n \ge r)$ and $w_r \ne 0$, so that $|\xi| = 2^{-r}$. Define the head part of ξ by

$$[\xi] = \begin{cases} \sum_{n=r}^{0} w_n x^{-n} & \text{if } r \le 0, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

and the distance to the head part as

$$\|\xi\| := |\xi - [\xi]|.$$

In **F**, there is a continued fraction algorithm similar to the case of real numbers which we briefly recall now; for details, see [6]. Each element $\xi \in \mathbf{F} \setminus \{0\}$ can be uniquely represented as a continued fraction of the form

$$\xi = b_0 + \frac{1}{b_1 + b_2 + \dots} := [b_0, b_1, b_2, \dots],$$

where $b_0 \in \mathbb{F}[x]$ and $b_i \in \mathbb{F}[x] \setminus \mathbb{F}$ $(i \ge 1)$ are called partial quotients. Such continued fraction of ξ is finite if and only if $\xi \in \mathbb{F}(x)$.

Let θ be an irrational in **F** whose infinite continued fraction expansion is

$$\theta = [a_0, a_1, a_2, \ldots].$$

Define the $n^{\rm th}$ complete quotient and the $n^{\rm th}$ convergent, respectively, of the continued fraction of θ as

$$\theta_n = [a_n, a_{n+1}, a_{n+2}, \ldots], \quad \frac{A_n}{B_n} = [a_0, a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_n].$$

The partial numerators, A_n , and partial denominators, B_n , satisfy the recursions

$$A_{-1} = 1, \ A_0 = a_0, \ A_{n+1} = a_{n+1}A_n + A_{n-1} \ (n \ge 0)$$

and

$$B_{-1} = 0, \ B_0 = 1, \ B_{n+1} = a_{n+1}B_n + B_{n-1} \ (n \ge 0).$$

Define

$$K(\theta) := \sup_{i \ge 1} |a_i|, \quad K_{\infty}(\theta) := \limsup_{i \ge 1} |a_i|.$$

We say that θ has **bounded partial quotients** if $K(\theta)$ is finite. Clearly, $K_{\infty}(\theta) \leq K(\theta)$ and $K(\theta)$ is finite if and only if $K_{\infty}(\theta)$ is finite.

Our main result reads:

Theorem 1 Let $M = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in GL_2(\mathbb{F}[x])$, the group of all invertible 2×2 matrices with entries from $\mathbb{F}[x]$. If the continued fraction of an irrational element $\theta \in \mathbf{F}$ has bounded partial quotients, then

$$\frac{1}{|\det M|} K_{\infty}(\theta) \le K_{\infty} \left(\frac{a\theta + b}{c\theta + d}\right) \le |\det M| K_{\infty}(\theta), \tag{1}$$

$$K\left(\frac{a\theta+b}{c\theta+d}\right) \le \max\left\{|\det M| K(\theta), |c(c\theta+d)|\right\}.$$
(2)

2. Auxiliary results

The first lemma collects basic properties of continued fractions whose straightforward proof is omitted.

Lemma 2 Let $\theta = [a_0, a_1, a_2, \ldots]$ be an irrational element in \mathbf{F} , A_n/B_n its n^{th} convergent and θ_n its n^{th} complete quotient. Let $\zeta \in \mathbf{F} \setminus \{0\}$. We have, for $n \ge 0$,

(i)
$$|B_{n+1}| = |a_{n+1}B_n| > |B_n|$$
, $|\theta_n| = |a_n|$;

- (*ii*) $A_n B_{n-1} A_{n-1} B_n = (-1)^{n-1}$, so that $gcd(A_n, B_n) = 1$;
- (*iii*) $\theta \frac{A_n}{B_n} = \frac{(-1)^n}{B_n(\theta_{n+1}B_n + B_{n-1})};$
- (*iv*) $\frac{\zeta A_n + A_{n-1}}{\zeta B_n + B_{n-1}} = [a_0, a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n, \zeta];$
- (v) A_n is the head part of $B_n\theta$.

From Lemma 2 (v), we have $||B_n\theta|| = |B_n\theta - A_n|$, and so Lemma 2 (i) and (iii) together yield

$$|B_n| ||B_n\theta|| = \frac{1}{|\theta_{n+1} + B_{n-1}/B_n|} = \frac{1}{|a_{n+1}|}.$$
(3)

The result in the next lemma is known as the best approximation property, cf. Theorem 7.13 in [5] for the real case.

Lemma 3 Let θ be an irrational element in \mathbf{F} and A_n/B_n its n^{th} convergent. If $u, v (\neq 0) \in \mathbb{F}[x]$ satisfy, for some $n \geq 0$,

$$|v\theta - u| < |B_n\theta - A_n|,\tag{4}$$

then $|v| \ge |B_{n+1}|$.

Proof. Suppose that

$$|v| < |B_{n+1}|. (5)$$

Consider the system of linear equations (in y, z)

$$yB_n + zB_{n+1} = v \tag{6}$$

$$yA_n + zA_{n+1} = u. (7)$$

By Lemma 2 (*ii*), det $\begin{pmatrix} B_n & B_{n+1} \\ A_n & A_{n+1} \end{pmatrix} = (-1)^n$, and so

$$\begin{pmatrix} y\\z \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} (-1)^n A_{n+1} & (-1)^{n+1} B_{n+1}\\ (-1)^{n+1} A_n & (-1)^n B_n \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} v\\u \end{pmatrix},$$

implying that y and z are in $\mathbb{F}[x]$.

We claim that neither y nor z is zero. If y = 0, then $0 \neq v = zB_{n+1}$, and so $|v| \geq |B_{n+1}|$, which contradicts (5). Assume then that $y \neq 0$. If z = 0, then $u = yA_n$ and $v = yB_n$. Since $|y| \geq 1$, we have $|v\theta - u| = |y(B_n\theta - A_n)| \geq |B_n\theta - A_n|$, contradicting (4).

Next we show that

$$|y(B_n\theta - A_n)| \neq |z(B_{n+1}\theta - A_{n+1})|.$$
(8)

Suppose $|y(B_n\theta - A_n)| = |z(B_{n+1}\theta - A_{n+1})|$. By Lemma 2 (i) and (iii), we have

$$|B_i\theta - A_i| = \frac{1}{|\theta_{i+1}B_i + B_{i-1}|} = \frac{1}{|B_{i+1}|} \quad (i \ge 0),$$

and so $|yB_{n+2}| = |zB_{n+1}|$. Since $|yB_n| < |yB_{n+2}|$, the ultrametric inequality and (6) yield $|zB_{n+1}| = |v|$ implying that $|B_{n+1}| \le |v|$, contradicting (5). Thus, (8) holds.

Finally, consider $|v\theta - u| = |y(B_n\theta - A_n) + z(B_{n+1}\theta - A_{n+1})|$. Using (8), the ultrametric inequality and $y \in \mathbb{F}[x] \setminus \{0\}$, we have

$$|v\theta-u| = \max\{|y(B_n\theta-A_n)|, |z(B_{n+1}\theta-A_{n+1})|\} \ge |y(B_n\theta-A_n)| \ge |B_n\theta-A_n|,$$

which contradicts (4), and the lemma follows.

For irrational $\theta \in \mathbf{F}$, define its **type** and its **Lagrange constant**, respectively, by

$$L(\theta) = \sup_{|B| \ge 1} (|B| \|B\theta\|)^{-1}, \ L_{\infty}(\theta) = \limsup_{|B| \ge 1} (|B| \|B\theta\|)^{-1}.$$

To determine the type and Lagrange constant, it suffices to use the partial denominators as we show now.

Lemma 4 We have

$$L(\theta) = \sup_{i \ge 0} \left(|B_i| \, \|B_i\theta\| \right)^{-1}, \ L_{\infty}(\theta) = \limsup_{i \ge 0} \left(|B_i| \, \|B_i\theta\| \right)^{-1}.$$
(9)

Proof. Let $B \in \mathbb{F}[x] \setminus \{0\}$. Since the continued fraction of any irrational is infinite, there exists $m \in \mathbb{N}_0 := \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ such that $|B_m| \leq |B| < |B_{m+1}|$. By Lemma 3,

$$\frac{1}{|B| \|B\theta\|} \le \frac{1}{|B| \|B_m\theta\|} \le \frac{1}{|B_m| \|B_m\theta\|},$$

and the result follows.

Corollary 5 A) For irrational $\theta \in \mathbf{F}$, we have

$$K(\theta) = L(\theta), \quad K_{\infty}(\theta) = L_{\infty}(\theta).$$
 (10)

B) Let $\phi = [d_0, d_1, d_2, \ldots]$, $\gamma = [e_0, e_1, e_2, \ldots]$ be two irrational elements in **F**. If there exist $s_1, s_2 \in \mathbb{N}_0$ such that $|d_{s_1+i}| = |e_{s_2+i}|$ $(i \ge 0)$, then

$$K_{\infty}(\phi) = K_{\infty}(\gamma), \quad L_{\infty}(\phi) = L_{\infty}(\gamma).$$

Proof. Part A) follows immediately from the definition of $K(\theta)$, $K_{\infty}(\theta)$, (3) and Lemma 4. Part B) follows from at once the definition of K_{∞} , Lemma 4 and (10).

The next lemma is proved by modifying the proofs of Theorems 172 and 175 of [3] in the real to the formal series case.

Lemma 6 Let $\theta = [a_0, a_1, a_2, \ldots]$ be an irrational element in \mathbf{F} with $|\theta| > 1$, and let $\psi = \frac{a\theta+b}{c\theta+d}$, where $a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{F}[x]$ are such that |ad - bc| = 1.

- If |c| > |d| > 0, then b/d and a/c are two consecutive convergents of the continued fraction of ψ.
- 2) If b/d and a/c are the $(n-1)^{th}$ and n^{th} convergents of the continued fraction of ψ , respectively, then the $(n+1)^{th}$ complete quotient is of the form $\delta\theta$ for some $\delta \in \mathbb{F}^* := \mathbb{F} \setminus \{0\}$.
- 3) If the continued fraction of ψ is $[c_0, c_1, c_2, \ldots]$, then there exist $k, n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ such that

$$|a_{k+i}| = |c_{n+i}| \quad (i \ge 0).$$

Proof. We first prove parts 1) and 2) simultaneously. Denote the finite continued fraction expansion of a/c by $[c_0, c_1, \ldots, c_n]$ and let A_n/B_n be its n^{th} (last) convergent. Since |ad - bc| = 1, we have $gcd(a, c) = 1 = gcd(A_n, B_n)$. Thus,

$$|A_nd - B_nb| = |ad - bc| = 1 = |A_nB_{n-1} - A_{n-1}B_n|,$$

yielding $A_n d - B_n b = \delta' (A_n B_{n-1} - A_{n-1} B_n)$ for some $\delta' \in \mathbb{F}^*$, and so

$$A_n(d - \delta' B_{n-1}) = B_n(b - \delta' A_{n-1}).$$
(11)

Since $gcd(A_n, B_n) = 1$, the relation (11) gives

$$B_n|(d-\delta'B_{n-1}). \tag{12}$$

From $|B_n| = |c| > |d| > 0$, and $|B_n| > |B_{n-1}| \ge 0$, we get $|d - \delta' B_{n-1}| < |B_n|$, which is consistent with (12) only when $d - \delta' B_{n-1} = 0$, i.e., when $d = \delta' B_{n-1}$, $b = \delta' A_{n-1}$. Consequently, $\psi = \frac{A_n \delta \theta + A_{n-1}}{B_n \delta \theta + B_{n-1}}$ for some $\delta \in \mathbb{F}^*$, and so by Lemma 2 (*iv*),

$$\psi = [c_0, c_1, \dots, c_n, \delta\theta].$$

If we develop $\delta\theta$ as a continued fraction, we obtain $\delta\theta = [c_{n+1}, c_{n+2}, \ldots]$, with $|c_{n+1}| > 1$. Hence, $\psi = [c_0, c_1, \ldots, c_n, c_{n+1}, c_{n+2}, \ldots]$.

To prove part 3), from Lemma 2 (iv), we have

$$\theta = [a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{k-1}, \theta_k] = \frac{A_{k-1}\theta_k + A_{k-2}}{B_{k-1}\theta_k + B_{k-2}},$$

which implies

$$\psi = \frac{P\theta_k + R}{Q\theta_k + S},$$

where

$$P = aA_{k-1} + bB_{k-1}, R = aA_{k-2} + bB_{k-2}, Q = cA_{k-1} + dB_{k-1}, S = cA_{k-2} + dB_{k-2}$$

are in $\mathbb{F}[x]$ with $|PS - QR| = |(ad - bc)(A_{k-1}B_{k-2} - A_{k-2}B_{k-1})| = 1$. From Lemma 2 (*iii*), we have $|\theta - \frac{A_i}{B_i}| = \frac{1}{|B_i(\theta_{i+1}B_i + B_{i-1})|} < \frac{1}{|B_i^2|}$ ($i \ge 0$), and so

$$A_{k-1} = \theta B_{k-1} + \frac{\beta_1}{B_{k-1}}, \quad A_{k-2} = \theta B_{k-2} + \frac{\beta_2}{B_{k-2}}$$

where $|\beta_1| < 1$, $|\beta_2| < 1$. Thus,

$$Q = (c\theta + d)B_{k-1} + \frac{c\beta_1}{B_{k-1}}, \quad S = (c\theta + d)B_{k-2} + \frac{c\beta_2}{B_{k-2}}$$

Since $c\theta + d \neq 0$, $|B_{k-1}| > |B_{k-2}| \to \infty$ $(k \to \infty)$, we have |Q| > |S| > 0 for all large k. For such k, part 1) and part 2) ensure that there exists $\delta \in \mathbb{F}^*$ such that $\delta \theta_k = \psi_n$ for some n, i.e., $|a_{k+i}| = |c_{n+i}|$ $(i \ge 0)$.

Lemma 6 and Corollary 5 B) immediately yield:

Lemma 7 Let θ be an irrational element in \mathbf{F} , $M = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in GL_2(\mathbb{F}[x])$, and $M(\theta) := \frac{a\theta + b}{c\theta + d}$. If $|\det M| = 1$, then

$$L_{\infty}(M(\theta)) = L_{\infty}(\theta)$$

190

For transformation with non-unit determinant, we have weaker results.

Lemma 8 Let θ be an irrational in F; $h, d_1, d_3 \in \mathbb{F}[x] \setminus \{0\}$ and $d_2 \in \mathbb{F}[x]$. Then

$$L_{\infty}(h\theta) \le |h| L_{\infty}(\theta) \tag{13}$$

$$L_{\infty}\left(\frac{d_1\theta + d_2}{d_3}\right) \le |d_1d_3| \ L_{\infty}(\theta). \tag{14}$$

Proof. If θ has unbounded partial quotients, i.e., $L_{\infty}(\theta) = \infty$, both inequalities are trivial. Now assume θ has bounded partial quotients. For $h \in \mathbb{F}[x] \setminus \{0\}, k \in$ \mathbb{N}_0 , clearly,

$$\sup_{\deg B \ge k} (|Bh| \, \|Bh\theta\|)^{-1} \le \sup_{\deg B \ge k} (|B| \, \|B\theta\|)^{-1}$$

and

$$\limsup_{|B| \ge 1} (|Bh| \, \|Bh\theta\|)^{-1} \le \limsup_{|B| \ge 1} (|B| \, \|B\theta\|)^{-1}$$

Consequently,

$$L_{\infty}(h\theta) = \limsup_{|B| \ge 1} (|B| \|Bh\theta\|)^{-1} = |h| \limsup_{|B| \ge 1} (|Bh| \|Bh\theta\|)^{-1}$$
$$\leq |h| \limsup_{|B| \ge 1} (|B| \|B\theta\|)^{-1} = |h| L_{\infty}(\theta),$$

which verifies (13).

To verify (14), from Corollary 5 B) and (13), we have

$$\begin{split} L_{\infty}\left(\frac{d_1\theta + d_2}{d_3}\right) &= L_{\infty}\left(\frac{d_3}{d_1\theta + d_2}\right) \le |d_3|L_{\infty}\left(\frac{1}{d_1\theta + d_2}\right) \\ &= |d_3|L_{\infty}(d_1\theta + d_2) \le |d_1||d_3|L_{\infty}(\theta). \end{split}$$

3. Proof of Theorem 1

By Corollary 5, it suffices to prove the two results for L_{∞} , L in place of K_{∞} , K, respectively. Let $\psi := \frac{a\theta+b}{c\theta+d} = M(\theta)$. We start by showing that there exists $M_2 \in GL_2(\mathbb{F}[x])$ such that

$$|\det M_2| = 1, \ M_2 M = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & \beta \\ 0 & \gamma \end{pmatrix} \in GL_2(\mathbb{F}[x]), \ |\alpha\gamma| = |\det M|.$$

Write $M_2 = \begin{pmatrix} E & F \\ G & H \end{pmatrix}$. To fulfil the matrix equality, it is required that Ga + Hc = 0.

If a = 0, then $c \neq 0$ and so we must take H = 0. Now choose $F \in \mathbb{F}^*$, G =1/F and arbitrary $E \in \mathbb{F}[x]$ to fulfil all requirements.

If c = 0, then $a \neq 0$ and we must take G = 0. Now choose $E \in \mathbb{F}^*$, H = 1/E and arbitrary $F \in \mathbb{F}[x]$ to fulfil all requirements.

If both $a \neq 0$ and $c \neq 0$, then take $G = \operatorname{lcm}(a, c)/a$ and $H = -\operatorname{lcm}(a, c)/c$. Since $\operatorname{gcd}(G, H) = 1$, there are $\mu, \nu \in \mathbb{F}[x]$ such that $\mu G + \nu H = 1$. Taking $E = \nu$ and $F = -\mu$, all the requirements are fulfilled.

Having obtained such M_2 , we apply Lemma 7 to get

$$L_{\infty}(\psi) = L_{\infty}(M_2(\psi)) = L_{\infty}(M_2M(\theta)) = L_{\infty}\left(\frac{\alpha\theta + \beta}{\gamma}\right),$$

and the second inequality of (1) now follows from the inequality (14) of Lemma 8.

To prove the first inequality of (1), we consider the adjoint matrix

$$M' := \operatorname{adj} (M) = \begin{pmatrix} d & -b \\ -c & a \end{pmatrix},$$

which has $M'M = (\det M)I_2$, and so

$$M'(\psi) = M'(M(\theta)) = M'M(\theta) = \theta.$$

Applying the second inequality of (1) to ψ , we have

$$L_{\infty}(\theta) = L_{\infty}(M'(\psi)) \le |\det M'|L_{\infty}(\psi) = |\det M|L_{\infty}(\psi),$$

and the result follows.

We turn now to the second assertion of Theorem 1. For each $B \in \mathbb{F}[x] \setminus \{0\}$, let

$$x_B = |B| ||B\psi|| = |B| \left| B\left(\frac{a\theta + b}{c\theta + d}\right) - A \right| \qquad \left(A = \left[B\left(\frac{a\theta + b}{c\theta + d}\right) \right] \right).$$

If c = 0, then $|\det M| = |ad| \neq 0$ and so

$$|ad| x_B = |aB| |aB\theta - (dA - bB)| \ge |aB| ||aB\theta|| \ge 1/L(\theta),$$

yielding

$$L(\psi) = \sup_{|B| \ge 1} (|B| \, ||B\psi||)^{-1} \le |ad|L(\theta),$$

which is the first term in the right hand expression of (2).

If $c \neq 0$, then

$$|c\theta + d| x_B = |B| |(Ba - Ac)\theta - (Ad - Bb)|.$$
(15)

Since θ has bounded partial quotients, both $K(\theta)$ and $K_{\infty}(\theta)$ are finite. The result of the first part shows then that $K_{\infty}(\psi)$ is finite and so is $K(\psi)$. Corollary

5 in turn shows that $L(\psi)$ is finite. Thus, there is an infinite sequence of nonzero approximations

$$x_{B^{(i)}} = |B^{(i)}| \left\| B^{(i)} \psi \right\|$$

such that

$$L(\psi) - \frac{1}{2^i} \le \frac{1}{x_{B^{(i)}}} \le L(\psi) \qquad (i \ge 0).$$
(16)

By taking a suitable subsequence, we may reduce to the case where either all of

the approximations have $B^{(i)}a - A^{(i)}c = 0$ or all of them have $B^{(i)}a - A^{(i)}c \neq 0$. We first treat the subcase $B^{(i)}a - A^{(i)}c = 0$ for all $i \geq 0$. Since $ad - bc = det M \neq 0$, we have $A^{(i)}d - B^{(i)}b \in \mathbb{F}[x] \setminus \{0\}$ and so (15) gives

$$|c\theta + d| x_{B^{(i)}} = |B^{(i)}| |A^{(i)}d - B^{(i)}b| \ge 1.$$

Consequently,

$$L(\psi) - \frac{1}{2^i} \le \frac{1}{x_{B^{(i)}}} \le |c\theta + d| \le |c(c\theta + d)| \quad (i \ge 0).$$

Letting $i \to \infty$, we get the second term in the right hand expression of (2).

Finally, consider the subcase that $B^{(i)}a - A^{(i)}c \neq 0$ for all $i \ge 0$. From (15), we have

$$\begin{aligned} |c\theta+d| \left| \frac{B^{(i)}a-A^{(i)}c}{B^{(i)}} \right| x_{B^{(i)}} &= |B^{(i)}a-A^{(i)}c| |(B^{(i)}a-A^{(i)}c)\theta - (A^{(i)}d-B^{(i)}b)| \\ &\geq |B^{(i)}a-A^{(i)}c| \left\| (B^{(i)}a-A^{(i)}c)\theta \right\| \geq \frac{1}{L(\theta)}. \end{aligned}$$
(17)

Using the first inequality in (16) and the inequality (17), we get

$$L(\psi) - \frac{1}{2^{i}} \le \frac{1}{x_{B^{(i)}}} \le |c\theta + d| \left| \frac{B^{(i)}a - A^{(i)}c}{B^{(i)}} \right| L(\theta)$$
$$= |c\theta + d| \frac{|c|}{|B^{(i)}|} \left| \frac{B^{(i)}a - A^{(i)}c}{c} \right| L(\theta).$$
(18)

Using the strong triangle inequality, we have

$$\left| B^{(i)}\left(\frac{a}{c}\right) - A^{(i)} \right| \le \max\left\{ \left| B^{(i)}\left(\frac{a\theta + b}{c\theta + d}\right) - B^{(i)}\left(\frac{a}{c}\right) \right|, \left| B^{(i)}\left(\frac{a\theta + b}{c\theta + d}\right) - A^{(i)} \right| \right\}$$
$$= \max\left\{ \frac{|B^{(i)}||\det\left(M\right)|}{|c(c\theta + d)|}, \frac{x_{B^{(i)}}}{|B^{(i)}|} \right\}.$$
(19)

Combining (18) and (19) gives

$$L(\psi) - \frac{1}{2^{i}} \le L(\theta) \max\left\{ |\det M|, \ |c(c\theta + d)| \frac{x_{B^{(i)}}}{|(B^{(i)})|^2} \right\}.$$

Using the first inequality in (16), i.e., $x_{B^{(i)}} \leq \frac{1}{L(\psi)-1/2^i}$, we deduce that

$$L(\psi) - \frac{1}{2^i} \le \max\left\{ |\det M| L(\theta), \ \frac{|c(c\theta + d)|}{|(B^{(i)})|^2} \cdot \frac{L(\theta)}{L(\psi) - 1/2^i} \right\}.$$
 (20)

If $L(\theta) \geq L(\psi)$, then the inequality (2) holds trivially, using the first term in the right hand expression. If $L(\theta) < L(\psi)$, then letting $i \to \infty$ in (20), the ratio $\frac{L(\theta)}{L(\psi)-1/2^i}$ becomes ≤ 1 in the limit, and (2) follows.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS. This work was supported by the Commission on Higher Education and the Thailand Research Fund RTA5180005 and by the Centre of Excellence InMathematics, the Commission on Higher Education.

References

- J.W.S. Cassels, "Local Fields", Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1986.
- [2] T. W. Cusick and M. Mendès France, The Lagrange spectrum of a set, Acta Arith., 34 (1979), 287-293.
- [3] G. H. Hardy and E. M. Wright, "An Introduction to the Theory of Numbers", Oxford University Press, London, 1971.
- [4] J. C. Lagarias and J. O. Shallit, Linear fractional transformations of continued fractions with bounded partial quotients, J. Théor. Nombres Bordeaux 9 (1997), 267-279.
- [5] I. Niven, H. S. Zuckerman and H. L. Montgomery, "An Introduction to the Theory of Numbers", John Wiley and Sons, New York, 1991.
- [6] W. M. Schmidt, On continued fractions and Diophantine approximation in power series fields, Acta. Arith., 95 (2000), 139-166.