

ON 2-PRIMAL SKEW POLYNOMIAL RINGS

V. K. Bhat

*School of Mathematics, SMVD University, P/o SMVD University,
Katra, J and K, India- 182320
e-mail: vijaykumarbhat2000@yahoo.com*

Abstract

In this article, we discuss minimal prime ideals of a Noetherian ring R . We recall $\sigma(*)$ property on a ring R , where σ is an automorphism of R (i.e. $a\sigma(a) \in P(R)$ implies $a \in P(R)$ for $a \in R$, where $P(R)$ is the prime radical of R). We ultimately show that if R is a Noetherian ring satisfying this property, then $R[x; \sigma]$ is a 2-primal ring.

Introduction

A ring R always means an associative ring with identity. The field of rational numbers and the set of natural numbers are denoted by \mathbb{Q} and \mathbb{N} respectively. The set of prime ideals of R is denoted by $\text{Spec}(R)$. The sets of minimal prime ideals of R is denoted by $\text{Min.Spec}(R)$. Prime radical and the set of nilpotent elements of R are denoted by $P(R)$ and $N(R)$ respectively. Let R be a ring and σ be an automorphism of R . Let I be an ideal of R such that $\sigma^m(I) = I$ for some $m \in \mathbb{N}$. We denote $\bigcap_{i=1}^m \sigma^i(I)$ by I^0 . Let I and J be any two ideals of a ring R . Then $I \subset J$ means that I is strictly contained in J .

This article concerns the study of skew polynomial rings in terms of 2-primal rings. 2-primal rings have been studied in recent years and are being treated by authors for different structures. In [14], Greg Marks discusses the 2-primal property of $R[x; \sigma; \delta]$, where R is a local ring, σ is an automorphism of R and δ is a σ -derivation of R . Minimal prime ideals of 2-primal rings have been discussed by Kim and Kwak in [10]. 2-primal near rings have been discussed by Argac and Groenewald in [2]. Recall that a ring R is 2-primal if and only if $N(R) = P(R)$ if and only if the prime radical is a completely semiprime ideal.

Key words: Minimal prime, 2-primal, prime radical, nil radical, automorphism.
2000 AMS Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary 16-XX.; Secondary 16N40, 16P40, 16S32.

An ideal I of a ring R is called completely semiprime if $a^2 \in I$ implies $a \in I$ for $a \in R$. We also note that a reduced is 2-primal and a commutative ring is also 2-primal. For further details on 2-primal rings, we refer the reader to [7, 9, 11, 16].

Before proving the main result, we find a relation between the minimal prime ideals of R and those of the skew polynomial ring $R[x; \sigma]$, where R is a Noetherian ring and σ is an automorphism of R . This is proved in Theorem 2. Recall that $R[x; \sigma]$ is the usual polynomial ring with coefficients in R , in which multiplication is subject to the relation $ax = x\sigma(a)$ for all $a \in R$. We take any $f(x) \in R[x; \sigma]$ to be of the form $f(x) = \sum_{i=0}^n x^i a_i$. We denote $R[x; \sigma]$ by S . Ore-extensions including skew-polynomial rings and differential operator rings have been of interest to many authors. For example [1, 3, 4, 5, 8, 10, 12, 13]. Recall that in [12], a ring R is called σ -rigid if there exists an endomorphism of R with the property that $a\sigma(a) = 0$ implies $a = 0$ for $a \in R$. In [13], Kwak defines a $\sigma(*)$ -ring R to be a ring in which $a\sigma(a) \in P(R)$ implies $a \in P(R)$ for $a \in R$ and establishes a relation between a 2-primal ring and a $\sigma(*)$ -ring. The property is also extended to the skew-polynomial ring $R[x; \sigma]$.

We consider the above property when σ is an automorphism of R and ultimately investigate the 2-primal property of $R[x; \sigma]$ when R is a Noetherian ring and prove the following:

- (1) Let R be a Noetherian ring. Then R is a $\sigma(*)$ -ring if and only if for each minimal prime U of R , $\sigma(U) = U$ and U is completely prime ideal of R .
- (2) Let R be a Noetherian $\sigma(*)$ -ring. Then $R[x; \sigma]$ is 2-primal.

These results are proved in Theorems 5 and 7, respectively.

Skew polynomial rings

We begin with the following definition:

Definition 1 Let R be a ring, σ an automorphism of R . Then R is said to be a $\sigma(*)$ -ring if $a\sigma(a) \in P(R)$ implies $a \in P(R)$.

Recall that an ideal I of a ring R is called σ -invariant if $\sigma(I) = I$. Also I is called completely prime if $ab \in I$ implies $a \in I$ or $b \in I$ for $a, b \in R$.

We also note that if R is a Noetherian ring, then $\text{Min.Spec}(R)$ is finite (Theorem (2.4) of [6]) and for any automorphism σ of R and for any $U \in \text{Min.Spec}(R)$, we have $\sigma^i(U) \in \text{Min.Spec}(R)$ for all $i \in \mathbb{N}$, therefore, it follows that there exists some $m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\sigma^m(U) = U$ for all $U \in \text{Min.Spec}(R)$. As mentioned earlier we denote $\cap_{i=0}^m \sigma^i(U)$ by U^0 .

We recall that an ideal J of a ring is called a σ -prime ideal of R if J is σ -invariant and for any σ -invariant ideals K and L with $KL \subseteq J$, we have $K \subseteq J$ or $L \subseteq J$. With this we have the following:

Theorem 2 *Let R be a Noetherian ring and σ an automorphism of R . Then:*

- (1) *If $P \in \text{Min.Spec}(S)$, then $P = (P \cap R)S$ and there exists $U \in \text{Min.Spec}(R)$ such that $P \cap R = U^0$.*
- (2) *If $U \in \text{Min.Spec}(R)$, then $U^0S \in \text{Min.Spec}(S)$.*

Proof. (1) Let $P \in \text{Min.Spec}(S)$. Then $x \notin P$, as it is not a zero-divisor, therefore $P \cap R$ is a σ -prime ideal of R and $(P \cap R)S$ is a prime ideal of S by Lemma (10.6.4)(ii, iii) and Proposition (10.6.12) of [15]. Hence $P = (P \cap R)S$. Now $(P \cap R)S$ is prime, so it is the intersection $\bigcap_{i=1}^n U_i$ of the primes that are minimal over it and these form a single orbit under σ . Therefore $P \cap R = U_i^0$ for each i . Let B be a minimal prime ideal of R with $B \subseteq U_i$. Then B^0 is σ -prime and $B^0 \subseteq U_i^0 = P \cap R$. Therefore B^0S is a prime ideal contained in $P = (P \cap R)S$. So $B^0S = (P \cap R)S$ and, hence $B^0 = P \cap R$.

(2) Let $U \in \text{Min.Spec}(R)$. Then U^0 is σ -prime and U^0S is a prime ideal of S by Proposition (10.6.12) of [15]. Now it must contain a minimal prime ideal P of S (Proposition (2.3) of [6]). Now by paragraph (1) above $P = (P \cap R)S$ and $P \cap R = B^0$ for some $B \in \text{Min.Spec}(R)$. Therefore $B^0S \subseteq U^0S$ and $B^0 \subseteq U^0$. So $\sigma^i(B) \subseteq U$ for some i and therefore $\sigma^i(B) = U$ by the minimality of U . Hence $B^0 = U^0$ and $U^0S = P$ is minimal. \square

Proposition 3 *Let R be a ring and σ an automorphism of R . Then R is a $\sigma(*)$ -ring implies R is 2-primal.*

Proof. Let $a \in R$ be such that $a^2 \in P(R)$. Then

$$a\sigma(a)\sigma(a\sigma(a)) = a\sigma(a)\sigma(a)\sigma^2(a) \in \sigma(P(R)) = P(R).$$

Therefore $a\sigma(a) \in P(R)$ and hence $a \in P(R)$. \square

Proposition 4 *Let R be a $\sigma(*)$ -ring and $U \in \text{Min.Spec}(R)$ be such that $\sigma(U) = U$. Then $US = U[x; \sigma]$ is a completely prime ideal of $S = R[x; \sigma]$.*

Proof. R is 2-primal by Proposition 3 and further more U is completely prime by Proposition (1.11) of Shin [16]. Now we note that σ can be extended to an automorphism $\bar{\sigma}$ of R/U . Now it is well known that $S/US \simeq (R/U)[x; \bar{\sigma}]$ and hence US is a completely prime ideal of S . \square

We now give a necessary and sufficient condition for a Noetherian ring to be a $\sigma(*)$ -ring in the following Theorem:

Theorem 5 *Let R be a Noetherian ring. Then R is a $\sigma(*)$ -ring if and only if for each minimal prime U of R , $\sigma(U) = U$ and U is completely prime ideal of R .*

Proof. Let R be a Noetherian ring such that for each minimal prime U of R , $\sigma(U) = U$ and U is completely prime ideal of R . Let $a \in R$ be such that $a\sigma(a) \in P(R) = \bigcap_{i=1}^n U_i$, where U_i are the minimal primes of R . Now for each i , $a \in U_i$ or $\sigma(a) \in U_i$ and U_i is completely prime. Now $\sigma(a) \in U_i = \sigma(U_i)$ implies that $a \in U_i$. Therefore $a \in P(R)$. Hence R is a $\sigma(*)$ -ring.

Conversely, suppose that R is a $\sigma(*)$ -ring and let $U = U_1$ be a minimal prime ideal of R . Now by Proposition 3, $P(R)$ is completely semiprime. Let U_2, U_3, \dots, U_n be the other minimal primes of R . Suppose that $\sigma(U) \neq U$. Then $\sigma(U)$ is also a minimal prime ideal of R . Renumber so that $\sigma(U) = U_n$. Let $a \in \bigcap_{i=1}^{n-1} U_i$. Then $\sigma(a) \in U_n$, and so $a\sigma(a) \in \bigcap_{i=1}^n U_i = P(R)$. Therefore $a \in P(R)$, and thus $\bigcap_{i=1}^{n-1} U_i \subseteq U_n$, which implies that $U_i \subseteq U_n$ for some $i \neq n$, which is impossible. Hence $\sigma(U) = U$.

Now suppose that $U = U_1$ is not completely prime. Then there exist $a, b \in R \setminus U$ with $ab \in U$. Let c be any element of $b(U_2 \cap U_3 \cap \dots \cap U_n)a$. Then $c^2 \in \bigcap_{i=1}^n U_i = P(R)$. So $c \in P(R)$ and, thus $b(U_2 \cap U_3 \cap \dots \cap U_n)a \subseteq U$. Therefore $bR(U_2 \cap U_3 \cap \dots \cap U_n)Ra \subseteq U$ and, as U is prime, $a \in U$, $U_i \subseteq U$ for some $i \neq 1$ or $b \in U$. None of these can occur, so U is completely prime. \square

We now prove the following Theorem, which is crucial in proving Theorem (??).

Theorem 6 *Let R be a Noetherian $\sigma(*)$ -ring, σ an automorphism of R . Then $R[x; \sigma]$ is 2-primal if and only if $P(R)[x; \sigma] = P(R[x; \sigma])$.*

Proof. Let $R[x; \sigma]$ be 2-primal. Now by Proposition 4 $P(R[x; \sigma]) \subseteq P(R)[x; \sigma]$. Let $f(x) = \sum_{j=0}^n x^j a_j \in P(R)[x; \sigma]$. Now R is a 2-primal subring of $R[x; \sigma]$ by Proposition 3, which implies that a_j is nilpotent and thus $a_j \in N(R[x; \sigma]) = P(R[x; \sigma])$, and so we have $x^j a_j \in P(R[x; \sigma])$ for each j , $0 \leq j \leq n$, which implies that $f(x) \in P(R[x; \sigma])$. Hence $P(R)[x; \sigma] = P(R[x; \sigma])$.

Conversely suppose $P(R)[x; \sigma] = P(R[x; \sigma])$. We will show that $R[x; \sigma]$ is 2-primal. Let $g(x) = \sum_{i=0}^n x^i b_i \in R[x; \sigma]$, $b_n \neq 0$, be such that $(g(x))^2 \in P(R[x; \sigma]) = P(R)[x; \sigma]$. We will show that $g(x) \in P(R[x; \sigma])$. Now leading coefficient $\sigma^{2n-1}(b_n)b_n \in P(R) \subseteq P$, for all $P \in \text{Min.Spec}(R)$. Now $\sigma(P) = P$ and P is completely prime by Proposition (1.11) of [16]. Therefore we have $b_n \in P$, for all $P \in \text{Min.Spec}(R)$; i.e. $b_n \in P(R)$. Now since $\sigma(P) = P$ for all $P \in \text{Min.Spec}(R)$, we get $(\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} x^i b_i)^2 \in P(R[x; \sigma]) = P(R)[x; \sigma]$ and as above we get $b_{n-1} \in P(R)$. With the same process in a finite number of steps we get $b_i \in P(R)$ for all i , $0 \leq i \leq n$. Thus we have $(g(x)) \in P(R)[x; \sigma]$; i.e. $(g(x)) \in P(R[x; \sigma])$. Therefore $P(R[x; \sigma])$ is completely semiprime. Hence $R[x; \sigma]$ is 2-primal. \square

Theorem 7 *Let R be a Noetherian $\sigma(*)$ -ring. Then $R[x; \sigma]$ is 2-primal.*

Proof. We use Theorem 2 to get that $P(R)[x; \sigma] = P(R[x; \sigma])$, and now the result is obvious by using Theorem 6. \square

The following example shows that if R is a Noetherian ring, then $R[x; \sigma]$ need not be 2-primal.

Example 8 Let $R = \mathbb{Q} \oplus \mathbb{Q}$ with $\sigma(a, b) = (b, a)$. Then the only σ -invariant ideals of R are 0 and R and, so R is σ -prime, $R[x; \sigma]$ is prime and $P(R[x; \sigma]) = 0$. But $(x(1, 0))^2 = 0$. Therefore $R[x; \sigma]$ is not 2-primal.

The following example shows that if R is a Noetherian ring, then $R[x]$ need not be 2-primal.

Example 9 Let $R = M_2(\mathbb{Q})$, the set of 2×2 matrices over \mathbb{Q} . Then $R[x]$ is a prime ring with non-zero nilpotent elements and, so can not be 2-primal.

From these examples we conclude that if R is a Noetherian ring (even commutative and even a \mathbb{Q} -algebra), then $R[x; \sigma; \delta]$ need not be two primal, where σ is an automorphism of R and δ is a σ -derivation of R .

The above discussion leads to the further investigation:

Question If R is a 2-primal ring, is $R[x; \sigma; \delta]$ 2-primal (even if R is commutative, σ is the identity map or δ is the zero map, or the special case when R is Noetherian)?

References

- [1] S. Annin, *Associated primes over skew polynomial rings*, Comm. Algebra, **30** (2002), 2511-2528.
- [2] N. Argac and N. J. Groenewald, *A generalization of 2-primal near rings*, Questiones Mathematicae, **27**(4) (2004), 397-413.
- [3] V. K. Bhat, *A note on Krull dimension of skew polynomial rings*, Lobachevskii J. Math., Vol. 22 (2006), 3-6.
- [4] V. K. Bhat, *Polynomial Rings over Pseudovaluation Rings*, **2007** (2007), Article ID 20138, 6 pages
- [5] W. D. Blair and L. W. Small, *Embedding differential and skew-polynomial rings into artinian rings*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **109**(4) (1990), 881-886.
- [6] K. R. Goodearl and R. B. Warfield Jr, "An introduction to non-commutative Noetherian rings", Cambridge Uni. Press, 1989.
- [7] C. Y. Hong and T. K. Kwak, *On minimal strongly prime ideals*, Comm. Algebra, Vol. 28(10) (2000), 4868-4878.
- [8] C. Y. Hong, N. K. Kim and T. K. Kwak, *Ore-extensions of baer and p.p.-rings*, J. Pure and Applied Algebra, **151**(3) (2000), 215-226.
- [9] C. Y. Hong, N. K. Kim, T. K. Kwak and Y. Lee, *On weak -regularity of rings whose prime ideals are maximal*, J. Pure and Applied Algebra, **146** (2000), 35-44.
- [10] A. V. Jategaonkar, *Skew-Polynomial rings over order in artinian rings*, J. Algebra, **21** (1972), 51-59.

- [11] N. K. Kim and T. K. Kwak, *Minimal prime ideals in 2-primal rings*, Math. Japonica, **50**(3) (1999), 415-420.
- [12] J. Krempa, *Some examples of reduced rings*, Algebra Colloq., **3**(4) (1996), 289-300.
- [13] T. K. Kwak, *Prime radicals of skew-polynomial rings*, Int. J. of Mathematical Sciences, **2**(2) (2003), 219-227.
- [14] G. Marks, *On 2-primal Ore extensions*, Comm. Algebra, **29** (5) (2001), 2113-2123.
- [15] J. C. McConnell and J. C. Robson, "Noncommutative Noetherian Rings", Wiley 1987; revised edition: American Math. Society 2001.
- [16] G. Y. Shin, *Prime ideals and sheaf representations of a pseudo symmetric ring*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., **184** (1973), 43-60.