

A NOTE ON COVER-AVOIDING PROPERTIES OF FINITE GROUPS

Jianjun Liu and Xiuyun Guo*

*Department of Mathematics, Shanghai University,
Shanghai, 200444, P.R. China
e-mail: xyguo@shu.edu.cn*

Abstract

A subgroup H of a group G is said to be a CAP^* -subgroup of a group G if, for any non-Frattini chief factor K/L of G , we have $HK = HL$ or $H \cap K = H \cap L$. In this paper, some new characterizations for finite groups are obtained based on the assumption that some subgroups are CAP^* -subgroups of G .

1. Introduction

All groups considered in this paper are finite. A subgroup H of a group G is said to have the cover-avoiding property in G if H covers or avoids every chief factor of G , in short, H is a CAP -subgroup of G . There has been much interest in the past in investigating the structure of finite groups when some subgroups have the cover-avoiding property, and many interesting results have been made, for example [1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 7, 8, 9, 10, 13, 15, 16, 17].

In [14], Li and Liu introduced the CAP^* -subgroup.

Definition 1.1 *A subgroup H of a group G is said to be a CAP^* -subgroup of G if, for any non-Frattini chief factor K/L of G , we have $HK = HL$ or*

The research of the work was partially supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China(10771132), the SRFDP of China (Grant No. 200802800011), the Research Grant of Shanghai University and Shanghai Leading Academic Discipline Project(J50101).

*Corresponding author.

Key words: Frattini chief factors, cover-avoiding property, Fitting subgroups, saturated formation.

2000 AMS Mathematics Subject Classification: 20D10, 20D20

$$H \cap K = H \cap L.$$

The authors had set up some meaningful results under the assumption of some subgroups are CAP^* -subgroup. In this paper, some new characterizations are obtained based on the assumption that some subgroups are CAP^* -subgroups of G .

Recall that a class of groups \mathcal{F} is a formation if \mathcal{F} contains all homomorphic images of group in \mathcal{F} , and if G/M and G/N are in \mathcal{F} , then $G/(M \cap N)$ is in \mathcal{F} for normal subgroups M, N of G . A formation \mathcal{F} is said to be saturated if $G/\Phi(G) \in \mathcal{F}$ implies that $G \in \mathcal{F}$ [11, VI, Satz 7.1 and 7.2].

2. Basic definitions and preliminary results

Let K and L be normal subgroups of a group G with $K \leq L$. Then K/L is called a normal factor of G . A subgroup H of G is said to cover K/L if $HK = HL$. On the other hand, if $H \cap K = H \cap L$, then H is said to avoid K/L . If K/L is a chief factor of G and $K/L \leq \Phi(G/L)$ (respectively $K/L \not\leq \Phi(G/L)$), then K/L is said to be a Frattini (respectively non-Frattini) chief factor of G .

Lemma 2.1 [14, Lemma 2.1] *Let N be a normal subgroup of a group G . If H is a CAP^* -subgroup of G , then:*

- (1) HN/N is a CAP^* -subgroup of G/N .
- (2) $H \cap N$ is a CAP^* -subgroup of G .
- (3) If $N \leq \Phi(G)$ or $\gcd(|H|, |N|) = 1$, then HN is a CAP^* -subgroup of G , where $\gcd(-, -)$ denotes the greatest common divisor.

The generalized Fitting subgroup $F^*(G)$ of G is the unique maximal normal quasinilpotent subgroup of G .

Lemma 2.2 *Let G be a group and let M be a subgroup of G .*

- (1) *If M is normal in G , then $F^*(M) \leq F^*(G)$.*
- (2) *$F^*(G) \neq 1$ if $G \neq 1$, in fact, $F^*(G)/F(G) = \text{Soc}(F(G)C_G(F(G)))/F(G)$.*
- (3) *$F^*(F^*(G)) = F^*(G) \geq F(G)$; If $F^*(G)$ is solvable, then $F^*(G) = F(G)$.*
- (4) *$C_G(F^*(G)) \leq F(G)$.*
- (5) *Let $N = Z(E(G))\Phi(F(G))$. Then $F^*(G/N) = F^*(G)/N$, where $E(G)$ is the layer of G .*
- (6) *$E(G)/Z(E(G))$ is the direct product of non-abelian simple groups.*

Proof. By [12, X.13], (1)-(4) and (6) follow. By [6, Proposition 4.10], (5) is obtained. \square

Lemma 2.3 [18, Chapter1, Theorem 7.15] *Let H be a normal subgroup of G . If every chief factor of G contained in H is cyclic, then $G/C_G(H)$ is supersolvable.*

Lemma 2.4 [8, Lemma 3.12] *Let p be the smallest prime dividing the order of a group G and let P be a Sylow p -subgroup of G . If $|P| \leq p^2$ and G is A_4 -free, then G is p -nilpotent.*

Lemma 2.5 [8, Lemma 3.16] *Let \mathcal{F} be the class of groups with Sylow tower of supersolvable type. Also let H a normal subgroup of a group G such that $G/H \in \mathcal{F}$. If G is A_4 -free and all 2-maximal subgroups of every Sylow subgroup of H are CAP-subgroups of G , then G is in \mathcal{F} .*

3. Results

Theorem 3.1 *Let H be a normal subgroup of a group G such that G/H is supersolvable. Suppose that every maximal subgroup of any Sylow subgroups of $F^*(H)$ is a CAP*-subgroup of G , then G is supersolvable.*

Proof. Suppose that the theorem is false and let G be a counterexample with smallest order. Then:

(1) $Z(E(H)) = 1$, in particular, $E(H)$ is the direct product of non-abelian simple groups.

Otherwise, $Z(E(H)) \neq 1$. Let $N = \Phi(F(H))Z(E(H))$. It is clear that $G/N/H/N \cong G/H$ is supersolvable. Let M/N be a maximal subgroup of a Sylow subgroup PN/N of $F^*(H)/N$, where P is a Sylow subgroup of $F^*(H)$. We can see that $M \cap P$ is a maximal subgroup of P . By hypothesis, $M \cap P$ is a CAP*-subgroup of G . Applying Lemma 2.1, M/N is a CAP*-subgroup of $F^*(H)/N$. Furthermore, $F^*(H)/N = F^*(H/N)$ by Lemma 2.2. It follows that G/N satisfies the hypothesis of our theorem for normal subgroup H/N . Thus, by the minimality of G , G/N is supersolvable and therefore G is solvable. This implies that $F^*(H) = F(H)$. We can finish the argument by following:

(a) All minimal normal subgroups of G contained in $F^*(H)$ are cyclic of prime order and non-Frattini.

Let N be a minimal normal subgroup of G contained in $F(H)$. Then N is a p -group for some prime p . If $N \leq \Phi(G)$, then $F(H/N) = F(H)/N$ by [Huppert, III, satz 4.2]. We can see that G/N satisfies the hypothesis of our theorem. By the minimal choice of G , G/N is supersolvable and therefore G is supersolvable, a contradiction. Hence we may assume that $N/1$ is a non-Frattini chief factor of G . There exists a maximal subgroup P_1 of a Sylow p -subgroup P of $F(H)$ such that $P_1 \cap N = 1$, this implies that $|N| = p$, as desired.

(b) A contradiction.

Let P be a Sylow p -subgroup of $F(H)$ and let K/L be a chief factor of G contained in P . We can choose a maximal subgroup P_1 of P such that $L \leq P_1$ and $K \not\leq P_1$. If P_1 covers K/L , then $P_1K = P_1$ and so $K \leq P_1$, a contradiction. It follows from P_1 avoids K/L that $P_1 \cap K = L$. By comparing the order, we can see that $|K/L| = p$. Hence every chief factor of G under $F(H)$ is cyclic of prime order. On the one hand, by Lemma 2.3, $G/C_G(F(H))$ is supersolvable and therefore $G/H \cap C_G(F(H)) = G/C_H(F(H))$ is supersolvable. On the other hand, $C_H(F(H)) \leq F(H)$, it is clear that $G/F(H)$ is supersolvable. Therefore G is supersolvable, another contradiction. Hence $E(H) = 1$ and $E(H)$ is the direct product of non-abelian simple groups by Lemma 2.2.

(2) $F^*(H) = F(H)$.

Suppose that $E(H) \neq 1$. Let N be a minimal normal subgroup of G contained in $E(H)$, then N is a product of some non-abelian simple groups. It is clear that $N \not\leq \Phi(G)$. If every maximal subgroup of Sylow subgroup P of $F^*(H)$ covers $N/1$, then $N \leq \Phi(P)$ and so $N \leq \Phi(G)$, a contradiction. Thus, there exists a maximal subgroup P_1 of P such that $P_1 \cap N = 1$ for every Sylow subgroup P of $F^*(H)$. This implies that N is the subgroup with square-free order and therefore N is solvable, a contradiction.

By (1) and (2), we can finish our proof. \square

Corollary 3.2 *Let H be a solvable normal subgroup of a group G such that G/H is supersolvable. Suppose that every maximal subgroup of any Sylow subgroups of $F^*(H)$ is a CAP*-subgroup of G , then G is supersolvable.*

Remark 3.3 The condition " H is solvable " in Corollary 3.2 can not be removed. For example, let $G = H = GL(2, 4)$. Then $F(H) \cong Z_3$, where Z_3 is a cyclic group of order 3. It is clear that G satisfies the hypothesis of the Corollary 3.2 for normal subgroup H , but G is not supersolvable.

If M is a maximal subgroup of G and H is a maximal subgroup of M , then we call H a 2-maximal subgroup of G . We say the group G is A_4 -free if there is no subgroup in G for which A is an isomorphic image. We prove the following results.

Theorem 3.4 *Let H be a normal subgroup of a group G and let p be the smallest prime dividing the order of H . If every 2-maximal subgroup of every Sylow p -subgroup of H is a CAP*-subgroup of G and G is A_4 -free, then H is p -nilpotent.*

Proof. Suppose that the theorem is false and let G be a counterexample with smallest order. Then:

(1) $O_{p'}(H) = 1$.

Otherwise, $O_{p'}(H) \neq 1$. We can see that $G/O_{p'}(H)$ satisfies the theorem

for normal subgroup $H/O_{p'}(H)$. By the choice of G , $H/O_{p'}(H)$ is p -nilpotent and therefore H is p -nilpotent, as desired.

(2) Let N be a minimal normal subgroup of G , then $N \not\leq \Phi(G)$.

It is clear that G/N satisfies the hypothesis of the theorem for normal subgroup HN/N . By the minimality of G , HN/N is p -nilpotent. If $N \not\leq H$, then $H \cap N = 1$ and so $H \cong HN/N$ is p -nilpotent, as desired. Hence we can see that $N \leq H$ and so H/N is p -nilpotent. Since the p -nilpotent group classes is saturate, $N \not\leq \Phi(G)$. By (1), $N/1$ is a p -chief factor.

(3) Final contradiction.

Let $S \in \text{Syl}_p(N)$. If $|S| \leq p^2$, then N is p -nilpotent by Lemma 2.4, in contradiction to the fact that N is a minimal normal subgroup of G . Hence we may assume that $|S| \geq p^3$. If all 2-maximal subgroups cover $N/1$, then $N \leq \Phi(M)$ and so $N \leq \Phi(G)$, where M is a maximal subgroup of P , it is impossible. Thus, there exists a 2-maximal subgroup P_1 such that $P_1 \cap N = 1$. This implies that $|P_1N|_p = |P_1||S| > |P|$, a final contradiction. \square

Remark 3.5 In Theorem 3.4, we can not remove the assumption that G is A_4 -free in general. For example, $G = A_4$. It is clear that every 2-maximal subgroup of the Sylow 2-subgroup of A_4 is a CAP^* -subgroup of G . But G is not 2-nilpotent.

Corollary 3.6 *Let p be the smallest prime dividing the order of a group G . If G is A_4 -free and every 2-maximal subgroup of every Sylow p -subgroup of G is a CAP^* -subgroup of G , then G is p -nilpotent.*

Corollary 3.7 *Let H be a normal subgroup of a group G . If G is A_4 -free and every 2-maximal subgroup of every Sylow subgroup of H is a CAP^* -subgroup of G , then H is a Sylow tower group of supersolvable type.*

Proof. We use induction on $|H|$. Let p be the smallest prime dividing the order of H . By Theorem 3.4, H is p -nilpotent and so H possesses a normal Hall p' -subgroup K . It is clear that G satisfies the hypothesis of the corollary for the normal subgroup K , by induction, K has the Sylow tower property. Consequently, H has the Sylow tower property. \square

Theorem 3.8 *Let \mathcal{F} be the class of groups with Sylow tower of supersolvable type and let H be a normal subgroup of a group G such that $G/H \in \mathcal{F}$. If G is A_4 -free and every 2-maximal subgroup of every Sylow subgroup of H is a CAP^* -subgroup of G , then $G \in \mathcal{F}$.*

Proof. Suppose that the theorem is not true and let G be a minimal counterexample. Then by corollary 3.7, we can see that H has a Sylow tower of supersolvable type. Let p be the largest prime in $\pi(H)$ and $P \in \text{Syl}_p(H)$. Then P is a normal subgroup of G and every 2-maximal subgroup of P is a CAP^* -subgroup of G . It is easy to see that all 2-maximal subgroups of every Sylow

subgroup of H/P are CAP^* -subgroups of G/P and G/P is A_4 -free. Thus, by the minimality of G , we have $G/P \in \mathcal{F}$.

Let N be a minimal normal subgroup of G contained in P , it is clear that G/N satisfies the hypothesis for normal subgroup H/N and $G/N \in \mathcal{F}$. If $N \leq \Phi(G)$, then $G \in \mathcal{F}$, a contradiction. It follows that $N \not\leq \Phi(G)$. If every 2-maximal subgroup of P cover $N/1$, then $N \leq \Phi(G)$, a contradiction. Then there exists a 2-maximal subgroup P_1 such that $P_1 \cap N = 1$, this implies that $|N| \leq p^2$. By Lemma 2.5, $G \in \mathcal{F}$, a final contradiction. \square

Remark 3.9 In Theorem 3.8, the group G is not necessary supersolvable. For example, let H be a direct product of two copies of a cyclic group of order 3. There exist elements a, b in H such that $a^3 = b^3 = [a, b] = 1$ and let $H = \langle a, b \rangle$. The group H has an automorphism of order 4 such that $a^c = b^{-1}$ and $b^c = a$. If we write $K = \langle c \rangle$, let G be the semidirect product $G = H \rtimes K$. Clearly, G satisfies the hypothesis of the Theorem 3.8 for normal subgroup H , but G is not supersolvable.

Acknowledgements The second author would like to thank Professor Nguyen Van Sanh for his support when he attend the conference in Phuket Thaksin University.

References

- [1] A. Ballester-Bolinches, L.M. Ezquerro, A.N. Skiba, Local embedding of some families of subgroups of finite groups. *Acta Math. Sinica, English Series* **25(6)**(2009) 869-882.
- [2] A. Ballester-Bolinches, L.M. Ezquerro, A.N. Skiba, Subgroups of finite groups with a strong cover-avoidance property. *Bull. Aust. Math. Soc.* **79**(2009) 499-506.
- [3] L.M. Ezquerro, A contribution to the theory of finite supersolvable groups. *Rend. Sen. Mat. Univ. Padova* **89**(1993) 161-170.
- [4] Y. Fan, X.Y. Guo, K.P. Shum, Remarks on two generalizations of normality of subgroups. *Chinese Ann. Math* **27A(2)**(2006) 169-176.
- [5] J.D. Gillam, Cover-avoid subgroups in finite solvable groups. *J. Algebra* **29**(1974) 324-329.
- [6] D. Gorenstein, R. Lyons, R. Solomon, The classification of the simple finite groups. Providence Rhode Island: Amer. Math. Soc. 1994.
- [7] X.Y. Guo, P.F. Guo, K.P. Shum, On semi cover-avoiding subgroups of finite groups. *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* **209**(2007) 151-158.
- [8] X.Y. Guo, K.P. Shum, Cover-avoidance properties and the structure of finite groups. *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* **181**(2003) 297-308.

- [9] X.Y. Guo, J.X. Wang, K.P. Shum, On semi-cover-avoiding maximal subgroups and solvability of finite groups. *Comm. Algebra* **34**(2006) 3235-3244.
- [10] X.Y. Guo, L.L. Wang, On finite groups with some semi cover-avoiding subgroups. *Acta Mathematica Sinica, English Series* **23(9)**(2007) 1689-1696.
- [11] B. Huppert, Endliche Gruppen. Harper and Row Publishers, New York, Evanston, London. 1968.
- [12] B. Huppert, N. Blackburn, Finite groups III. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, New York. 1982.
- [13] Y.M. Li, L. Miao, Y.M. Wang, On semi cover-avoiding maximal subgroups of sylow subgroups of finite groups. *Comm. Algebra* **37**(2009) 1160-1169.
- [14] S.R. Li, J.J. Liu, A generalization of cover-avoiding properties in finite groups. accepted.
- [15] X.L. Liu, N.Q. Ding, On chief factors of finite groups. *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* **210(3)**(2007) 789-796.
- [16] J. Petrillo, CAP-subgroups in a direct product of finite groups. *J. Algebra* **306**(2006) 432-438.
- [17] M.J. Tomkinson, Cover-avoidance properties in finite soluble groups. *Can. Math. Bull.* **19(2)**(1976) 213-216.
- [18] M. Weinstein(ed), Between nilpotent and solvable, Polygonal Publishing House, Passaic, New York Jersey, 1982.