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Abstract

In this paper, we apply our new results on quasi-variational inequality problems in [5] to generalized quasi-equilibrium problems. Some sufficient conditions on the existence of solutions are shown. In particular, we establish several results on the existence of solutions to fixed points of lower semi-continuous mappings without conditions on the closedness of values. These generalize some well-known fixed point theorems obtained by previous authors as F. E. Browder and Ky Fan, X. Wu, L. J. Lin, and Z. T. Yu etc.

1. Introduction

It is well-known that the theory of fixed points plays an important role in applied mathematics. Many results in this theory become a tool to show the existence of solutions and to construct algorithms for finding solutions of many mathematical problems as optimization, variational, complementarity, equilibrium... problems. We can shortly describe the main development of fixed point results of continuous mappings as follows. In 1912, L. Brouwer used combinatorial method to show that a continuous mapping $f$ from a simplex $K \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ into itself has a fixed point, i.e., there exists a point $\bar{x} \in K$ such that $f(\bar{x}) = \bar{x}$. J. Schauder, 1930, extended this result to the case that $K$ is a nonempty convex compact subset in $\mathbb{R}^n$. In 1941, S. Kakutani generalized to
the case when $f$ is a upper semi-continuous mapping with nonempty convex 
and closed values from $K$ to itself in $R^n$. In 1952, Ky Fan proved a fixed point 
theorem of upper semi-continuous mappings with nonempty convex and closed 
values from a nonempty convex and compact subset $K$ into itself in Hausdorff 
topological locally convex spaces. In 1968 F. E. Browder and Ky Fan obtained 
a fixed point theorem of multivalued mapping which have lower open sections. 
Recently, many authors studied fixed point theorems of lower semi-continuous 
multivalued mappings with nonempty convex closed values, by using a continua-
ous selection theorem, see for example, N. C. Yannelis and N. D. Prabhakar 
[17], Ben-El-Mechaiekh [1], X P. Ding, W. K. Kim and K. K. Tan [4], C. D. 
Horvath [8], X. Wu [16], S. Park [10] and many others. In particular, Wu [16] 
obtained the following result.

**Theorem A** ([16]). Let $X$ be a nonempty subset of Hausdorff locally convex 
topological vector space, let $D$ be a nonempty compact mtrizable subset of $X$ 
and let $T : X \to 2^D$ be a multivalued mapping with the following properties: 
(i) $T(x)$ is a nonempty convex closed set for each $x \in X$; 
(ii) $T$ is lower semi-continuous. 
Then there exists a point $x \in D$ such that $x \in T(x)$.

Tan and Hoa [15] generalized the above theorem by the following result:

**Theorem B** ([15]). Let $D$ be a nonempty convex and compact subset of Haus-
dorff locally convex topological vector space $X$ and let $F : D \to 2^D$ be a lower 
semi-continuous multivalued mapping with nonempty values. Then there exists 
a point $\bar{x} \in D$ such that $\bar{x} \in F(\bar{x})$.

In this paper, we first establish a theorem on the existence of quasi-equilibrium 
points of multivalued mappings defined on subsets of Hausdorff locally convex 
topological vector spaces as follows.

Let $X, Y$ and $Z$ be Hausdorff locally convex topological vector spaces over 
reals, $D \subset X, K \subset Z$ be nonempty subsets. Given multi-valued mappings 
$P : D \times K \to 2^D, Q : D \times K \to 2^Z$ and $F : D \times K \to 2^{X \times Y}$, we are interested 
in the problem, denoted by $(QEP)$, of finding $(\bar{x}, \bar{y}) \in D \times K$ such that 
$$\bar{x} \in P(\bar{x}, \bar{y});$$ 
$$\bar{y} \in Q(\bar{x}, \bar{y});$$ 
$$0 \in F(\bar{x}, \bar{y}).$$

This problem is called a quasi-equilibrium problem in which the multi-val-
ued mappings $P$ and $Q$ are constraint mappings and $F$ is a utility multivalued 
mapping that are often determined by equalities and inequalities, or by inclu-
sions and intersections of other multi-valued mappings, or by general relations
in product spaces. The existence of solutions to this problem is studied in [5,6] for the case the multivalued mapping $P$ is continuous, the multivalued mapping $Q$ is u.s.c and the multivalued mapping $F$ is u.s.c. All these mappings $P, Q$ and $F$ need to have nonempty convex and closed values.

As far as we know equilibrium problems as generalizations of variational inequalities and optimization problems, including also optimization-related problems such as fixed point, complementarity problems, Nash equilibrium, minimax problems, etc. For the last decade there have been a number of generalizations of these problems to different directions such as quasi-equilibrium problems with constraint sets depending on parameters, quasi-variational and quasi-equilibrium inclusion problems with multi-valued data (see, for examples, in [5, 6], [14]). Problem (QEP) described above is quite general. It encompasses a large class of problems of applied mathematics including quasi-optimization problems, quasi-variational inclusion, quasi-equilibrium problems, quasi-variational relation problems etc. Typical instances of (QEP) are shown in [5, 6] and [14]... involving upper semi-continuous utility multivalued mappings with nonempty convex closed values.

Theorem 3.1 below shows sufficient conditions for the existence of solutions to this problem of separately lower and upper semi-continuous utility multivalued mappings. Corollary 3.3 unites Ky Fan and Browder Ky Fan Theorems together. In particular, we obtain the following theorems (Corollaries 3.5 and 3.10 below): Let $D$ and $K$ be nonempty convex and compact subsets of Hausdorff locally convex topological vector spaces $X, Z$, respectively, and $F : D \times K \to 2^{D \times K}$ be a separately lower and upper semi-continuous multivalued mapping with nonempty convex closed values (a separately lower semi-continuous mapping with nonempty convex values). Then $F$ has a fixed point in $D \times K$.

2. Preliminaries and Definitions

Throughout this paper, as mentioned in the introduction, $X, Y$ and $Z$ are real Hausdorff topological vector spaces. Given a subset $D \subset X$, we consider a multivalued mapping $F : D \to 2^Y$. Let $F^{-1} : Y \to 2^X$ be defined by the condition that $x \in F^{-1}(y)$ if and only if $y \in F(x)$. We recall that

(a) The domain and the graph of $F$ are denoted by
\[ \text{dom} F = \{ x \in D | F(x) \neq \emptyset \}, \]
\[ \text{Gr}(F) = \{ (x, y) \in D \times Y | y \in F(x) \}, \]
respectively;
(b) $F$ is said to be a closed mapping if the graph $\text{Gr}(F)$ of $F$ is a closed subset
in the product space $X \times Y$;

(c) $F$ is said to be a compact mapping if the closure $\overline{F(D)}$ of its range $F(D)$ is a compact set in $Y$;

(d) $F : D \rightarrow 2^Y$ is said to be upper semi-continuous (in short, u.s.c) at $\bar{x} \in D$ if for each open set $V$ containing $F(\bar{x})$, there exists an open set $U$ of $\bar{x}$ such that for each $x \in U$, $F(x) \subset V$. $F$ is said to be u.s.c on $D$ if it is u.s.c at all $x \in D$;

(e) $F$ is said to be lower semi-continuous (in short, l.s.c) at $\bar{x} \in D$ if for any open set $V$ with $F(\bar{x}) \cap V \neq \emptyset$, there exists an open set $U$ containing $\bar{x}$ such that for each $x \in U$, $F(x) \cap V \neq \emptyset$. $F$ is said to be l.s.c on $D$ if it is l.s.c at all $x \in D$;

(f) $F$ is said to be continuous on $D$ if it is at the same time u.s.c and l.s.c on $D$;

(g) $F$ is said to have open lower sections if the inverse mapping $F^{-1}$ is open valued, i.e., for all $y \in Y$, $F^{-1}(y)$ is open in $X$.

(h) Let $F : K \times K \times D \times D \rightarrow 2^Y$, $N : K \times K \times D \times D \rightarrow 2^K$ be multivalued mappings. We say that $F$ is a KKM mapping, if for any finite set $\{x_1, ..., x_k\} \subset D$ such that for any $x \in \text{co}\{x_1, ..., x_k\}$, there is an index $j \in \{1, ..., k\}$ such that $0 \in F(y, v, x, x_j)$ for all $y, v \in K, v \in N(y, v, x, x_j)$. Here, $\text{co}(A)$ denotes the convex hull of the set $A$.

(i) $F$ is diagonally upper $(T, C)$-quasiconvex in the third variable on $D$ if for any finite $x_i \in D, t_i \in [0, 1], i = 1, ..., n, \sum_{i=1}^n t_i = 1, x_t = \sum_{i=1}^n t_i x_i$, there exists $j=1,2,\ldots,n$ such that

$$F(y, v, x_t, x_j) \subset F(y, v, x_t, x_t) + C,$$

for all $y \in T(x_t, x_j)$.

(j) $F$ is diagonally lower $(T, C)$-quasiconvex in the third variable on $D$ if for any finite $x_i \in D, t_i \in [0, 1], i = 1, ..., n, \sum_{i=1}^n t_i = 1, x_t = \sum_{i=1}^n t_i x_i$, there exists $j=1,2,\ldots,n$ such that

$$F(y, v, x_t, x_j) \subset F(y, v, x_t, x_j) - C,$$

for all $y \in T(x_t, x_j)$.

(k) $F : D \times K \rightarrow 2^Y$ is said to be separately l.s.c and u.s.c at $(x, y) \in D \times K$ if for any fixed $y \in K(x \in D)$ the multivalued mapping $F(., y) : D \rightarrow 2^Y (F(x, .) : K \rightarrow 2^Y)$ is l.s.c at $x$ (u.s.c at $y$).

(l) $F$ is said to be separately l.s.c at $(x, y) \in D \times K$ if for any fixed $y \in K(x \in D)$ the multivalued mapping $F(., y) : D \rightarrow 2^Y (F(x, .) : K \rightarrow 2^Y)$ is l.s.c at $(x, y)$.

(m) $F$ is said to be separately u.s.c at $(x, y) \in D \times K$ if for any fixed $y \in K(x \in D)$ the multivalued mapping $F(., y) : D \rightarrow 2^Y (F(x, .) : K \rightarrow 2^Y)$ is u.s.c at $(x, y)$.

(n) If $F$ is separately l.s.c and u.s.c at $(x, y) \in D \times K$ if for any fixed $y \in K(x \in D)$ the multivalued mapping $F(., y) : D \rightarrow 2^Y (F(x, .) : K \rightarrow 2^Y)$ is l.s.c (u.s.c) at $(x, y)$. If $F$ is l.s.c (u.s.c, l.s.c and u.s.c) at any point of $D \times K$, we say
that it is separately l.s.c (u.s.c, l.s.c and u.s.c ) on $D \times K$.

\((a)\) $F : D \times K \rightarrow 2^Y$ is said to have separately open lower sections if for any fixed $y \in K(x \in D)$ the multivalued mapping $F(\cdot, y) : D \rightarrow 2^Y (F(\cdot, \cdot) : K \rightarrow 2^Y)$ has open lower sections.

The following propositions and theorems are need in this paper. The proofs of these can be found in the literatures. But, for the sake of conveniences to readers, we give some proofs of them.

**Proposition 2.1.** $F : D \rightarrow 2^Y$ is l.s.c at $x \in D, F(x) \neq \emptyset$, if and only if for any net $\{x_\alpha\}$ in $D, x_\alpha \rightarrow x, y \in F(x)$, there is a net $\{y_\alpha\}$ with $y_\alpha \in F(x_\alpha), y_\alpha \rightarrow y$.

**Proof.** Let $F$ is l.s.c at $x$ and $x_\alpha \rightarrow x$ and $y \in F(x)$. For arbitrary neighborhood $V$ of the origin in $Y$, there exists $\alpha_0$ such that $F(x_\alpha) \cap (y + V) \neq \emptyset$, for all $\alpha \geq \alpha_0$. Therefore, we can choose $y_\alpha \in F(x_\alpha) \cap (y + V)$. Thus, we have $y_\alpha - y \in V$, for all $\alpha \geq \alpha_0$. This shows $y_\alpha \rightarrow y$. Conversely, let $x \in D$ and $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}$ be an open subset such that $F(x) \cap N \neq \emptyset$. We assume that $F$ is not l.s.c at $x$. Then, there is an open subset $N$ in $Y$ with $F(x) \cap N \neq \emptyset$ such that for any neighborhood $U_\alpha$ of $x$ there exists $x_\alpha \in U_\alpha$ such that $F(x_\alpha) \cap N = \emptyset$. This follows $F(x_\alpha) \subseteq Y \setminus N$, a closed set. Without loss of generality, we may maxpose that $x_\alpha \rightarrow x$. If $y_\alpha \in F(x_\alpha), y_\alpha \rightarrow y$, we deduce $y \in Y \setminus N$ and so $y \notin N$. Thus, $x_\alpha \rightarrow x$ and for any $y \in F(x)$, we can not find any $y_\alpha \in F(x_\alpha)$ with $y_\alpha \rightarrow y$. And, we have the proof of the converse part. \(\square\)

By $X^*$ we denote the dual space of $X$ i.e.,

$$X^* = \{ f : X \rightarrow R | f \text{ is a linear and continuous function} \}.$$ 

The pairing $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ between elements of $p \in X^*$ and $x \in X$ is defined by $\langle p, x \rangle = p(x)$. We have

**Proposition 2.2.** If $F : D \rightarrow 2^Y$ is a l.s.c (u.s.c) multivalued mapping with nonempty values on $D$ and $p \in X^*$, then the function $c_p : D \rightarrow R$, defined by $c_p(x) = \inf_{v \in F(x)} \langle p, v \rangle$ ($c_p(x) = \sup_{v \in F(x)} \langle p, v \rangle$) is upper semi-continuous on $D$.

**Proof.** Let $x \in D, \{ x_\alpha \}$ be a net in $D$ and $x_\alpha \rightarrow x$. Given $\epsilon > 0$, we take a neighborhood $V$ of the origin in $X$ such that $| \langle p, v \rangle | < \epsilon$, for all $v \in V$. For $y \in F(x)$, we have $F(x) \cap (y + V) \neq \emptyset$. The lower semi-continuity of $F$ implies that there exists $\alpha_0$ such that $F(x_\alpha) \cap (y + V) \neq \emptyset$ with $\alpha > \alpha_0$. Therefore, we can take $y_\alpha \in F(x_\alpha) \cap (y + V)$, $y_\alpha = y + v$, with $v \in V$, or $y = y_\alpha - v \in F(x_\alpha) + V$. This follows

$$\langle p, y \rangle = \langle p, y_\alpha - v \rangle \geq \inf_{w \in F(x_\alpha) + V} \langle p, w \rangle \geq$$
Proof.
Let \( U \) neighborhood \( x \), is l.s.c on \( D \)

Thus, the function \( c_p(x) \) is upper semi-continuous and the proof for the rest assertion is analogous.

\[ \inf_{w \in F(x, \alpha)} < p, w > + \inf_{w \in V} < p, w > \geq \inf_{w \in F(x, \alpha)} < p, w > - \epsilon = c_p(x, \alpha) - \epsilon. \]

Taking \( \lim \alpha \) both the sides, we conclude

\[ < p, y > \geq \lim_{\alpha} c_p(x, \alpha) - \epsilon. \]

This gives

\[ c_p(x) \geq \lim_{\alpha} c_p(x, \alpha). \]

It is easy to give examples proving that a l.s.c continuous mapping may not have open lower sections.

\[ \inf_{w \in F(x, \alpha)} < p, w > + \inf_{w \in V} < p, w > \geq \inf_{w \in F(x, \alpha)} < p, w > - \epsilon = c_p(x, \alpha) - \epsilon. \]

Thus, the function \( c_p(x) \) is upper semi-continuous and the proof for the rest assertion is analogous.

\[ \inf_{w \in F(x, \alpha)} < p, w > + \inf_{w \in V} < p, w > \geq \inf_{w \in F(x, \alpha)} < p, w > - \epsilon = c_p(x, \alpha) - \epsilon. \]

Taking \( \lim \alpha \) both the sides, we conclude

\[ < p, y > \geq \lim_{\alpha} c_p(x, \alpha) - \epsilon. \]

This gives

\[ c_p(x) \geq \lim_{\alpha} c_p(x, \alpha). \]

Proposition 2.3. Let \( F : D \to 2^Y \) be a multivalued mapping with nonempty values on \( D \). Then, if \( F \) has open lower sections, then \( F \) is l.s.c on \( D \).

\textbf{Proof.} Let \( x \in D \) and \( N \) be an open subset in \( Y \) with \( F(x) \cap N \neq \emptyset \). We take \( y \in F(x) \cap N \). Then, \( x \in F^{-1}(y) \). Since this set is open, then there exists a neighborhood \( U \) of \( x \) such that \( x \in U \subseteq F^{-1}(y) \). This follows \( x' \in F^{-1}(y) \) for all \( x' \in U \), and hence \( y \in F(x') \cap N \). Therefore, \( F(x') \cap N \neq \emptyset \), for all \( x' \in U \). Thus, \( F \) is l.s.c. on \( D \). The proof of the proposition is completed.

Proposition 2.4. Let \( F_i : D \to 2^Y, i = 1, 2, \) be a l.s.c multivalued mapping with nonempty values on \( D \). Then, the multivalued mapping \( F : D \to 2^Y \) defined by

\[ F(x) = (F_1 + F_2)(x) = F_1(x) + F_2(x), x \in D, \]

is also l.s.c on \( D \).

\textbf{Proof.} The proof is trivial by using Proposition 2.2.

Proposition 2.5. Let \( F_i : D \to 2^Y, i = 1, 2, \) be multivalued mappings with nonempty values on \( D \). Assume that \( F_1 \) is l.s.c and \( F_2 \) has open lower sections. Then, the multivalued mapping \( F : D \to 2^Y \) defined by

\[ F(x) = F_1(x) \cap F_2(x), x \in D, \]

is l.s.c on \( D \).

\textbf{Proof.} Let \( x \in D \) and \( N \) be an open subset in \( Y \) such that \( F_1(x) \cap F_2(x) \cap N \neq \emptyset \). We take \( y \) in this set. Since \( N \) is open, we can choose an open neighborhood \( V \) of the origin in \( Y \) such that \( y + V \subseteq N \). For \( y \in F_2(x) \) and \( F_2 \) has open lower sections, one can find a neighborhood \( U_1 \) of \( x \) such that \( x \in U \subseteq F_2^{-1}(y) \). Hence, \( y \in F_2(x') \), for any \( x' \in U_1 \). Further, since \( y \in F_1(x) \cap (y + V) \) and \( F_1 \) is l.s.c at \( x \), then there is a neighborhood \( U_2 \) such that \( F_1(x') \cap (y + V) \neq \emptyset \),
Proof. Let $A, h = \text{hull of } A$ in this paper. Theorem 2.8. ([11]).

Let $y \in F_1(x') \cap N$, for all $x' \in U_2$. Setting $U = U_1 \cap U_2$, we conclude that $y \in F_1(x') \cap F_2(x') \cap N \neq \emptyset$, for all $x' \in U$. This shows that $F = F_1 \cap F_2$ is l.s.c at $x$. Thus, the proof of the proposition is completed.

Proposition 2.6. Let $F : D \to 2^Y$ be a multivalued mapping with nonempty values on $D$. If $F$ has open lower sections, then the multivalued mapping $\text{co}F : D \to 2^Y$, defined by $(\text{co}F)(x) = \text{co}F(x)$, with $\text{co}(A)$ denoting the convex hull of $A$, also has open lower sections.

Proof. Let $y \in Y$ and $x \in D$ with $y \in (\text{co}F)(x)$. We can write $y = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_i y_i$ with $\alpha_i \geq 0, \sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_i = 1, y_i \in F(x)$. This follows $x \in F^{-1}(y_i)$. Since $F$ has open lower sections, there exists $U_i$ such that $x \in U_i \subseteq F(y_i)$, for $i = 1, ..., n$

Taking $U = \bigcap_{i=1}^{n} U_i$, we can see $y_i \in F(x')$ for all $x' \in U$ and $i = 1, ..., n$. Therefore, $y = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_i y_i \in \text{co}F(x')$ for all $x' \in U$ and so $x \in U \subseteq (\text{co}F)^{-1}(y)$.

This shows that $\text{co}F$ has open lower sections. The proof of the proposition is completed.

Proposition 2.7. Let $F : D \to 2^Y$ be a l.s.c multivalued mapping with nonempty values on $D$. Then so is the multivalued mapping $\text{co}F : D \to 2^Y$, defined by $(\text{co}F)(x) = \text{co}F(x)$.

Proof. Indeed, let $x, x_\alpha \in D, x_\alpha \to x$ and $y \in (\text{co}F)(x), y = \sum_{i=1}^{m} \alpha_i y_i$ with $\alpha_i \geq 0, \sum_{i=1}^{m} \alpha_i = 1$ and $y_i \in F(x)$. Since $F$ is l.s.c, there exist $y_i' \in F(x_\alpha), y_i' \to y_i$. Taking $y_\alpha = \sum_{i=1}^{m} \alpha_i y_i'$, we can see $y_\alpha \in (\text{co}F)(x_\alpha)$ and $y_\alpha \to y$.

The proof of the proposition is completed.

The following theorem is very important in the proof of the main result in this paper. Theorem 2.8. ([11]). Let $\{V_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \Lambda}$ be a an open cover of locally compact Hausdorff space $X$, $D \subset X$ be a compact set. Then, there exist continuous functions $\psi_i : D \to R, (i = 0, 1, ..., s)$ such that

(i) $0 \leq \psi_i(x) \leq 1$;

(ii) $\sum_{i=1}^{s} \psi_i(x) = 1$, for all $x \in D$;

(iii) For any $i \in \{0, 1, ..., s\}$, there exists $\alpha \in \Lambda$ such that $\text{supp}\psi_i \subset V_\alpha$, where $\text{supp}\psi = \{x \in D | \psi(x) \neq 0\}$.

The system of functions $\{\psi_i\}, i = 0, 1, ..., s$, is said to be a partition of unity corresponding to the open cover $\{V_\alpha\}$.

3. Main results

In this section we shall apply Theorem 2.8 above on partition of unity and our result in [5] to obtain sufficient conditions for solutions of (QEP). Before
proving the main results in this section, we recall the following notions. Let \( D \) be a subset in \( X \) and \( x \in D \). The set
\[
T_D(x) = \{ \alpha(y - x), y \in D, \alpha \geq 0 \} = \{ \text{cone}(D - x) \},
\]
is called the tangent cone to the set \( D \) at \( x \), where \( \text{cone}M = \{ \alpha z, z \in M, \alpha \geq 0 \} \).

We now prove the following theorem on the existence for solutions of the above quasi-equilibrium problems concerning separately l.s.c. and u.s.c multi-valued mappings.

**Theorem 3.1.** We assume that the following conditions hold:

(i) \( D, K \) are nonempty convex compact sets;

(ii) \( P : D \times K \to 2^D \) is a continuous multivalued mapping with nonempty closed convex values;

(iii) \( Q : D \times K \to 2^K \) is a u.s.c multivalued mapping with nonempty closed convex values;

(iv) \( F : D \times K \to 2^{X \times Z} \) is a separately l.s.c and u.s.c multivalued mapping;

(v) For any \((x, y) \in P(x, y) \times Q(x, y), F(x, y) \) is nonempty convex closed and \( F(x, y) \subset T_{P(x, y) \times Q(x, y)}(x, y) \).

Then there exists \((\bar{x}, \bar{y}) \in D \times K\) such that
1) \( \bar{x} \in P(\bar{x}, \bar{y}) \);
2) \( \bar{y} \in Q(\bar{x}, \bar{y}) \);
3) \( 0 \in F(\bar{x}, \bar{y}) \).

**Proof.** We set
\[
B = \{ (x, y) \in D \times K | x \in P(x, y), y \in Q(x, y) \}.
\]

Since the multivalued mapping \( H : D \times K \to 2^{D \times K} \), defined by
\[
H(x, y) = P(x, y) \times Q(x, y), (x, y) \in D \times K,
\]
is upper semi-continuous with nonempty convex and compact values, by using Ky Fan fixed point Theorem, we conclude that \( H \) has a fixed point in \( D \times K \). Therefore, \( B \) is a nonempty set. The upper semi-continuity and the closedness of values of \( H \) imply that \( B \) is a closed and then compact set.

Assume that for any \((x, y) \in B, 0 \notin F(x, y)\). Since \( F(x, y) \) is a nonempty closed convex, by Hahn-Banach Theorem, there exists \( p \in (X \times Z)^* \) such that
\[
\sup_{u \in F(x, y)} p(u) < 0.
\]
Further, for any fixed \( y \in K, x \in D \), we define functions \( c_p^1(\cdot, y) : D \to R, c_p^2(x, \cdot) : K \to R \) by

\[
c_p^1(x', y) = \inf_{u \in F(x', y)} p(u);
\]

\[
c_p^2(x, y') = \sup_{u \in F(x, y')} p(u).
\]

We have

\[
e_p^1(x, y) = \inf_{u \in F(x, y)} p(u) \leq c_p^2(x, y) = \sup_{u \in F(x, y)} p(u) < 0.
\]

By Proposition 2.2, the functions \( c_p^1(\cdot, y) \) and \( c_p^2(x, \cdot) \) are u.s.c on \( D \times K \), therefore, the sets

\[
U_p(x) = \{x' \in D | c_p^1(x', y) < 0\},
\]

\[
U_p(y) = \{y' \in K | c_p^2(x, y') < 0\}
\]

are open and \((x, y) \in U_p = U_p(x) \times U_p(y), \) and so \( U_p \) is a nonempty open neighborhood of \((x, y)\).

Thus, for any \((x, y) \in B\) there is \( p \in (X \times Z)^* \) such that

\[
U_p(x, y) = \{(x', y') \in D \times K | c_p^1(x', y) < 0, c_p^2(x, y') < 0\}
\]

is nonempty and open and hence \( \{U_p\}_{p \in (X \times Z)^*} \) is an open cover of \( B \). Since \( B \) is compact, there exist finite \( p_1, \ldots, p_s \in X^* \) such that \( B \subseteq \bigcup_{j=1}^{s} U_{p_j} \). Further, since \( B \) is closed in \( D \times K, U_{p_0} = D \times K \setminus B \) is open in \( D \times K \) and hence \( \{U_{p_0}, U_{p_1}, \ldots, U_{p_s}\} \) is an open finite cover of the compact set \( D \times K \). By Theorem 2.7, there exist continuous functions \( \psi_i : D \times K \to R, (i = 0, 1, \ldots, s) \) such that

(i) \( 0 \leq \psi_i(x, y) \leq 1; \)

(ii) \( \sum_{i=1}^{s} \psi_i(x, y) = 1, \) for all \( (x, y) \in D \times K; \)

(iii) For any \( i \in \{0, 1, \ldots, s\}, \) there exists \( j(i) \in \{0, \ldots, s\} \) such that \( \text{supp}\psi_i \subseteq U_{p_{j(i)}} \). It is clear that \( \text{supp}\psi_0 \subseteq U_{p_0} \subseteq D \times K \setminus B. \)

Further, we define the function \( \phi : (D \times K) \times (D \times K) \to R \) by

\[
\phi((x, y), (t, z)) = \sum_{i=0}^{s} \psi_i(x, y), p_{j(i)}(t - x, z - y), (x, y), (t, z) \in D \times K.
\]

Then, \( \phi \) is a continuous function on \((D \times K) \times (D \times K)\). Moreover, for any fixed \((x, y) \in D \times K, \phi((x, y), \cdot) : D \times K \to R \) is a linear function and \( \phi((x, y), (x, y)) = 0 \) for all \((x, y) \in D \times K\). Therefore, \( D, K, P, Q \) and \( \phi \) satisfy all conditions of Corollary 3.4 in [5]. It implies that there is \((\bar{x}, \bar{y}) \in D \times K\).
such that \((\bar{x}, \bar{y}) \in P(\bar{x}, \bar{y}) \times Q(\bar{x}, \bar{y})\) and \(\phi((\bar{x}, \bar{y}), (t, z)) \geq 0\), for all \((t, z) \in P(\bar{x}, \bar{y}) \times Q(\bar{x}, \bar{y})\). This gives

\[
\sum_{i=0}^{s} \psi_i(\bar{x}, \bar{y}).p_{j(i)}(t - \bar{x}, z - \bar{y}) \geq 0 \text{ for all } (t, z) \in P(\bar{x}, \bar{y}) \times Q(\bar{x}, \bar{y}).
\]  

(3.1)

Setting \(p^* = \sum_{i=0}^{s} \psi_i(\bar{x}, \bar{y}).p_{j(i)}\), we get from (3.1) \(p^*(t - \bar{x}, z - \bar{y}) \geq 0\), for all \((t, z) \in P(\bar{x}, \bar{y}) \times Q(\bar{x}, \bar{y})\), and hence \(p^*(u) \geq 0\), for all \(u \in T_{P(\bar{x}, \bar{y}) \times Q(\bar{x}, \bar{y})}(\bar{x}, \bar{y})\). By Assumption \((v)\), \(F(\bar{x}, \bar{y}) \subseteq T_{P(\bar{x}, \bar{y}) \times Q(\bar{x}, \bar{y})}(\bar{x}, \bar{y})\), we conclude that

\[
\inf_{u \in F(\bar{x}, \bar{y})} p^*(u) \geq 0.
\]  

(3.2)

Further, put \(I(\bar{x}, \bar{y}) = \{i \in \{0, 1, \ldots, s\} | \psi_i(\bar{x}, \bar{y}) > 0\}\). Since \(\psi_i(\bar{x}, \bar{y}) \geq 0\) and \(\sum_{i=1}^{s} \psi_i(x, y) = 1\), we deduce \(I(\bar{x}, \bar{y}) \neq \emptyset\). So, for any \(i \in I(\bar{x}, \bar{y})\), \((\bar{x}, \bar{y}) \in \text{supp}\psi_i \subset U_{P_{j(i)}}\) and \((\bar{x}, \bar{y}) \in B\), we get

\[
c^1_{P_{j(i)}}(\bar{x}, \bar{y}) = \inf_{u \in F(\bar{x}, \bar{y})} p_{j(i)}(u) < 0;
\]

\[
c^2_{P_{j(i)}}(\bar{x}, \bar{y}) = \sup_{u \in F(\bar{x}, \bar{y})} p_{j(i)}(u) < 0.
\]  

(3.3)

For any \(u \in F(\bar{x}, \bar{y})\), we have

\[
p^*(u) = \sum_{i=0}^{s} \psi_i(\bar{x}, \bar{y}).p_{j(i)}(u)
\]

\[
\leq \sum_{i=0}^{s} \psi_i(\bar{x}, \bar{y}) \max_{i=1, \ldots, s} p_{j(i)}(u) \leq \max_{i=1, \ldots, s} p_{j(i)}(u).
\]

Hence,

\[
\inf_{u \in F(\bar{x}, \bar{y})} p^*(u) \leq \inf_{u \in F(\bar{x}, \bar{y})} \max_{i=1, \ldots, s} p_{j(i)}(u).
\]  

(3.4)

Setting \(C = c\{p_{j(1)}, \ldots, p_{j(s)}\}\), \(E = F(\bar{x}, \bar{y})\), \(f(p, u) = p(u)\), and using the \(\text{weak}^*\) topology on \((X \times Z)^*\), we can easily verify that all conditions of Sion’s minimax Theorem in [13] are satisfied. Therefore, we obtain

\[
\inf_{u \in F(\bar{x}, \bar{y})} \max_{i=1, \ldots, s} p_{j(i)}(u) = \max_{i=1, \ldots, s} \inf_{u \in F(\bar{x}, \bar{y})} p_{j(i)}(u)
\]

\[
\leq \max_{i=1, \ldots, s} \left\{ \sup_{u \in F(\bar{x}, \bar{y})} p_{j(i)}(u) \right\} < 0.
\]  

(3.5)

A combination of (3.4) and (3.5) implies

\[
\inf_{u \in F(\bar{x}, \bar{y})} p^*(u) < 0.
\]
Thus, we have a contradiction to (3.2). This completes our proof of the theorem. □

In Particular, we obtain the fixed point result.

**Corollary 3.2.** We assume that the following conditions hold:

(i) $D, K$ are nonempty convex compact sets;

(ii) $P : D \times K \to 2^D$ is a continuous multivalued mapping with nonempty closed convex values;

(iii) $Q : D \times K \to 2^K$ is a u.s.c multivalued mapping with nonempty closed convex values;

(iv) $G : D \times K \to 2^{X \times Z}$ is a separately l.s.c and u.s.c multivalued mapping;

(v) For any $(x, y) \in P(x, y) \times Q(x, y), G(x, y)$ is nonempty convex closed and $G(x, y) - (x, y) \subset T_{P(x,y)}(x,y)$.

Then there exists $(\bar{x}, \bar{y}) \in D \times K$ such that
1) $\bar{x} \in P(\bar{x}, \bar{y})$;
2) $\bar{y} \in Q(\bar{x}, \bar{y})$;
3) $(\bar{x}, \bar{y}) \in G(\bar{x}, \bar{y})$.

**Proof.** We define the multivalued mapping $F : D \times K \to 2^{X \times Z}$ by

$$F(x, y) = G(x, y) - (x, y)(x, y) \in D \times K.$$  

Remarking that by Proposition 2.4 $F$ is a l.s.c. multivalued mapping with $F(x, y) \neq \emptyset$ for any $(x, y) \in P(x, y) \times Q(x, y)$. Further, the proof of this corollary follows immediately from Theorem 3.1. □

**Corollary 3.3.** We assume that the following conditions hold:

(i) $D, K$ are nonempty convex compact sets;

(ii) $P : D \times K \to 2^D$ is a continuous multivalued mapping with nonempty closed convex values;

(iii) $Q : D \times K \to 2^K$ is a u.s.c multivalued mapping with nonempty closed convex values;

(iv) $G : D \times K \to 2^{X \times Z}$ is a separately l.s.c and u.s.c multivalued mapping;

(v) For any $(x, y) \in P(x, y) \times Q(x, y), (x, y) \notin G(x, y)$, and $G(x, y) - (x, y) \subset T_{P(x,y)}(x,y)(x,y)$. 


Then there exists \((\bar{x}, \bar{y}) \in D \times K\) such that
1) \(\bar{x} \in P(\bar{x}, \bar{y})\);
2) \(\bar{y} \in Q(\bar{x}, \bar{y})\);
3) \(G(\bar{x}, \bar{y}) = \emptyset\).

Proof. We assume that \(G(x, y) \neq \emptyset\), for all \((x, y) \in P(x, y) \times Q(x, y)\). We define the multivalued mapping \(F : D \times K \to 2^{X \times Z}\) by

\[ F(x, y) = G(x, y) - (x, y), \quad (x, y) \in D \times K. \]

Then \(F(x, y) \neq \emptyset\) for all \((x, y) \in P(x, y) \times Q(x, y)\) and \(F(x, y) \subseteq T_{D \times Q(x, y)}(x, y)\). Using Proposition 2.4, we conclude that \(F\) is a separately l.s.c and u.s.c multivalued mapping and \(F(x, y) \neq \emptyset\) for all \((x, y) \in P(x, y) \times Q(x, y)\). Further, the proof of this corollary follows immediately from Corollary 3.2 to obtain \((\bar{x}, \bar{y}) \in D \times K\) such that
1) \(\bar{x} \in P(\bar{x}, \bar{y})\);
2) \(\bar{y} \in Q(\bar{x}, \bar{y})\);
3) \((\bar{x}, \bar{y}) \in G(\bar{x}, \bar{y})\).

Thus, we have a contradiction and the proof of the corollary is complete.\(\square\)

Corollary 3.4. We assume that the following conditions hold:

(i) \(D, K\) are nonempty convex compact sets;
(ii) \(Q : D \times K \to 2^K\) is a u.s.c multivalued mapping with nonempty closed convex values;
(iii) \(F : D \times K \to 2^{X \times Z}\) is a separately l.s.c and u.s.c multivalued mapping with \(F(x, y) \neq \emptyset\) convex values and \(F(x, y) - (x, y) \subseteq T_{D \times Q(x, y)}(x, y)\), for any \((x, y) \in D \times K, y \in Q(x, y)\).

Then there exists \((\bar{x}, \bar{y}) \in D \times K\) such that
1) \(\bar{y} \in Q(\bar{x}, \bar{y})\);
2) \((\bar{x}, \bar{y}) \in F(\bar{x}, \bar{y})\).

Proof. Observing that \(F(x, y) - (x, y) \subseteq D \times Q(x, y) - (x, y) \subseteq T_{D \times Q(x, y)}(x, y)\), then the proof of this corollary follows immediately from Theorem 3.1 with taking \(P(x, y) = D\), for all \((x, y) \in D \times K\).\(\square\)

We have a fixed point result of separately l.s.c and u.s.c multivalued mappings with nonempty convex closed values. This is a generalization of Ky Fan’s Theorem.

Corollary 3.5. We assume that the following conditions hold:
(i) \(D, K\) are nonempty convex compact subsets of \(X\) and \(Z\), respectively;
(ii) \(F : D \times K \to 2^{D \times K}\) is a separately l.s.c and u.s.c multivalued mapping with
nonempty convex closed values.

Then there exists \((\bar{x}, \bar{y})\) \(\in D \times K\) such that \((\bar{x}, \bar{y}) \in F(\bar{x}, \bar{y})\).

**Proof.** Observing that \(F(x, y) - (x, y) \subset D \times K - (x, y) \subset T_{D \times K}(x, y)\), then the proof of this corollary follows immediately from Theorem 3.1 with taking \(P(x, y) = D, Q(x, y) = K\), for all \((x, y) \in D \times K\).

By the same arguments as in the proof of Theorem 3.1, we prove the existence for solutions of quasi-equilibrium problems concerning separately l.s.c. multivalued mappings. The assumption that the multivalued mapping \(F\) has closed values on the set \(B\) can be dropped.

**Theorem 3.6.** We assume that the following conditions hold:

(i) \(D, K\) are nonempty convex compact sets;

(ii) \(P : D \times K \rightarrow 2^D\) is a continuous multivalued mapping with nonempty closed convex values;

(iii) \(Q : D \times K \rightarrow 2^K\) is a u.s.c multivalued mapping with nonempty closed convex values;

(iv) \(F : D \times K \rightarrow 2^{X \times Z}\) is a separately l.s.c multivalued mapping;

(v) For any \((x, y) \in P(x, y) \times Q(x, y)\), \(F(x, y)\) is nonempty convex and \(F(x, y) \subset T_{P(x, y) \times Q(x, y)}(x, y)\).

Then there exists \((\bar{x}, \bar{y})\) \(\in D \times K\) such that

1) \(\bar{x} \in P(\bar{x}, \bar{y})\);
2) \(\bar{y} \in Q(\bar{x}, \bar{y})\);
3) \(0 \in F(\bar{x}, \bar{y})\).

**Proof.** Let

\[ B = \{(x, y) \in D \times K | x \in P(x, y), y \in Q(x, y)\} \]

be as in the proof of Theorem 3.1. Assume that for any \((x, y) \in B\), \(0 \notin F(x, y)\). Since \(F(x, y)\) is a nonempty, we can take \(u = (v, w) \in F(x, y), u \neq 0\). By Hahn-Banach Theorem, there exists \(p \in (X \times Z)^*\) such that \(p(u) < 0\). This follows

\[ \inf_{u \in F(x, y)} p(u) < 0. \]

For any fixed \(y \in K, x \in D\), we define functions \(c_p^1(., y) : D \rightarrow R, c_p^2(x, .) : K \rightarrow R\) by

\[ c_p^1(x', y) = \inf_{u \in F(x', y)} p(u); \]

\[ c_p^2(x, y') = \inf_{u \in F(x, y')} p(u). \]
By Proposition 2.2, the functions $c^1_p(x, y)$ and $c^2_p(x, y)$ are u.s.c on $D$ and on $K$, respectively. Therefore, the sets

$$U_p(x) = \{ x' \in D | c^1_p(x', y) < 0 \},$$

$$U_p(y) = \{ y' \in K | c^2_p(x, y') < 0 \}$$

are open and $(x, y) \in U_p = U_p(x) \times U_p(y)$, and so $U_p$ is a nonempty open neighborhood of $(x, y)$ in $D \times K$. Further, the proof proceeds exactly as the one of Theorem 3.1.

The proofs of the following corollaries are realized by the same arguments of Corollaries 3.2-3.5.

**Corollary 3.7.** We assume that the following conditions hold:

(i) $D, K$ are nonempty convex compact sets;

(ii) $P : D \times K \to 2^D$ is a continuous multivalued mapping with nonempty closed convex values;

(iii) $Q : D \times K \to 2^K$ is a u.s.c multivalued mapping with nonempty closed convex values;

(iv) $G : D \times K \to 2^{X \times Z}$ is a separately l.s.c multivalued mapping with convex values;

(v) For any $(x, y) \in P(x, y) \times Q(x, y), G(x, y)$ is nonempty convex and $G(x, y) - (x, y) \subset T_{P(x, y) \times Q(x, y)}(x, y)$.

Then there exists $(\bar{x}, \bar{y}) \in D \times K$ such that

1) $\bar{x} \in P(\bar{x}, \bar{y})$;

2) $\bar{y} \in Q(\bar{x}, \bar{y})$;

3) $(\bar{x}, \bar{y}) \in G(\bar{x}, \bar{y})$.

**Corollary 3.8.** We assume that the following conditions hold:

(i) $D, K$ are nonempty convex compact sets;

(ii) $P : D \times K \to 2^D$ is a continuous multivalued mapping with nonempty closed convex values;

(iii) $Q : D \times K \to 2^K$ is a u.s.c multivalued mapping with nonempty closed convex values;

(iv) $G : D \times K \to 2^{X \times Z}$ is a separately l.s.c multivalued mapping with convex values;

(v) For any $(x, y) \in P(x, y) \times Q(x, y), (x, y) \notin G(x, y)$, and $G(x, y) - (x, y) \subset T_{P(x, y) \times Q(x, y)}(x, y)$.
Then there exists \((\bar{x}, \bar{y}) \in D \times K\) such that
1) \(\bar{x} \in P(\bar{x}, \bar{y});\)
2) \(\bar{y} \in Q(\bar{x}, \bar{y});\)
3) \(G(\bar{x}, \bar{y}) = \emptyset.\)

**Corollary 3.9.** We assume that the following conditions hold:

(i) \(D, K\) are nonempty convex compact sets;
(ii) \(Q : D \times K \to 2^K\) is a u.s.c multivalued mapping with nonempty closed convex values;
(iii) \(F : D \times K \to 2^{X \times Z}\) is a separately l.s.c multivalued mapping with nonempty convex values and \(F(x, y) = (x, y) \subseteq T_{D \times Q(x,y)}(x, y),\) for any \((x, y) \in D \times K, y \in Q(x, y).\)

Then there exists \((\bar{x}, \bar{y}) \in D \times K\) such that
1) \(\bar{y} \in Q(\bar{x}, \bar{y});\)
2) \((\bar{x}, \bar{y}) \in F(\bar{x}, \bar{y}).\)

In particular, we have a fixed point result of separately lower semi-continuous multivalued mappings with nonempty values. This is a generalization of Browder - Ky Fan’s Theorems.

**Corollary 3.10.** We assume that the following conditions hold:

(i) \(D, K\) are nonempty convex compact subsets of \(X \times Z;\)
(ii) \(F : D \times K \to 2^{D \times K}\) is a separately l.s.c multivalued mapping with nonempty convex values.

Then there exists \((\bar{x}, \bar{y}) \in D \times K\) such that \((\bar{x}, \bar{y}) \in F(\bar{x}, \bar{y}).\)

4. Some applications

In this section we introduce some applications of the above results to consider the existence of solutions to mixed generalized quasi-equilibrium problems concerning l.s.c and u.s.c continuous multivalued mappings. We assume that \(X, Z, Y, Y_i, i = 1, 2,\) are real Hausdorff topological vector spaces, \(D \subset X, K \subset Z\) are nonempty subsets. Given multivalued mappings \(S : D \times K \to 2^D, T : D \times K \to 2^K\) and \(F : K \times K \times K \times D \to 2^Y,\) we are interested in the problem of finding \((\bar{x}, \bar{y}) \in D \times K\) such that
i) \(\bar{x} \in S(\bar{x}, \bar{y});\)
ii) \(\bar{y} \in T(\bar{x}, \bar{y});\)
iii) \(0 \in F(\bar{y}, \bar{y}, v, \bar{x}),\) for all \(v \in T(\bar{x}, \bar{y}).\)
This problem is called a generalized quasi-equilibrium problem of type I, denoted by \((GEP)_I.\)
Given multivalued mappings $P, P_0 : D \times K \to 2^D, Q : D \times K \to 2^K, Q_0 : K \times D \times D \to 2^K$, and $F : K \times K \times D \times D \to 2^Y$, we are interested in the problem of finding $(\bar{x}, \bar{y}) \in D \times K$ such that

$$\bar{x} \in P(\bar{x}, \bar{y});$$

$$\bar{y} \in Q(\bar{x}, \bar{y});$$

and

$$0 \in F(\bar{y}, v, \bar{x}, t), \text{ for all } t \in P_0(\bar{x}, \bar{y}) \text{ and } v \in Q_0(\bar{y}, \bar{x}, t).$$

This problem is called a generalized quasi-equilibrium problem of type II, denoted by $(GEP)_{III}$.

Further, given multivalued mappings $S : D \times K \to 2^D, T : D \times K \to 2^K, P_0 : D \times D \times D \to 2^Y, Q_0 : K \times D \times D \to 2^K$ and $F_1 : K \times K \times K \times D \to 2^Y, F_2 : K \times K \times D \times D \to 2^Y$, we are interested in the problem of finding $(\bar{x}, \bar{y}) \in D \times K$ such that

i) $\bar{x} \in S(\bar{x}, \bar{y});$

ii) $\bar{y} \in T(\bar{x}, \bar{y});$

iii) $0 \in F_1(\bar{y}, v, \bar{y}, \bar{x}), \text{ for all } v \in T(\bar{x}, \bar{y});$

iv) $0 \in F_2(\bar{y}, v, \bar{x}, t), \text{ for all } t \in P_0(\bar{x}, \bar{y}), v \in Q_0(\bar{y}, \bar{x}, t).$

This problem is called a mixed generalized quasi-equilibrium problem, denoted by $(MGQEP)$, in which the multivalued mappings $S, T, P_0, Q_0$ are called constraint mappings and $F_1, F_2$ are called utility multivalued mappings.

We apply the obtained results in Section 3 to get the existence to solutions for $(MGQEP)$ as follows.

**Theorem 4.1.** The following conditions are sufficient for $(MGQEP)$ to have a solution:

i) $D$ and $K$ are nonempty convex compact subsets;

ii) $S : D \times K \to 2^D$ is separately l.s.c multivalued mapping with nonempty convex values;

iii) $T : D \times K \to 2^K$ is continuous multivalued mapping with nonempty closed values;

iv) $P_0 : D \times K \to 2^D$ is a separately multivalued mapping with nonempty values and $\text{co}P_0(x, y) \subseteq S(x, y)$ for any $(x, y) \in D \times K$;

v) The set $A = \{(y, w, v, x) \in K \times K \times K \times D|0 \in F_1(y, w, v, x)\}$ is closed;

vi) For any fixed $(y, x) \in K \times D$, the set $B = \{w \in T(x, y)|0 \in F_1(y, w, v, x) \text{ for all } v \in T(x, y)\}$ is nonempty convex.
vii) For any fixed \((t, y)\) \(\in D \times K\), the set
\[
A_1 = \{x \in D| 0 \notin F_2(y, v, x, t), \text{ for some } v \in Q_0(y, x, t)\},
\]
is open in \(D\), and for any fixed \((t, x) \in D \times D\), the set
\[
A_2 = \{y \in K| 0 \notin F_2(y, v, x, t), \text{ for some } v \in Q_0(y, x, t)\},
\]
is open in \(K\);

viii) For any fixed \(y, v \in K\), the multivalued mapping \(F_2(y, v, \ldots) : D \times D \to 2^Y\)
is a \(Q_0 - KKM\) mapping.

**Proof.** We define the multivalued mapping \(Q : D \times K \to 2^K\) by
\[
Q(x, y) = \{w \in T(x, y)| 0 \in F_1(y, v, w, x), \text{ for all } v \in T(x, y)\}.
\]
Conditions v) implies that \(Q(x, y) \neq \emptyset\) and closed for any \((x, y) \in D \times K\). Now, let \((x_\alpha, y_\alpha)\) be a net converging to \((x, y)\) and \(w_\alpha\) be a net with \(w_\alpha \in Q(x_\alpha, y_\alpha)\), \(w_\alpha \to w\). We have to show \(w \in Q(x, y)\). Indeed, we can see \(0 \in F_1(y_\alpha, w_\alpha, v_\alpha, x_\alpha)\), for all \(v_\alpha \in T(x_\alpha, y_\alpha)\). Let \(v \in T(x, y)\) be arbitrary. Since \(T\) is I.s.c, there is \(v_\alpha \in T(x_\alpha, y_\alpha)\), \(v_\alpha \to v\). Therefore, we get \(0 \in F_1(y_\alpha, w_\alpha, v_\alpha, x_\alpha)\). For \((y_\alpha, w_\alpha, v_\alpha, x_\alpha) \to (y, w, v, x)\) and the set \(A\) is closed, we deduce \((y, v, w, x) \in A\). Hence, \(0 \in F_1(y, w, v, x)\), for all \(v \in T(x, y)\).

This shows that the multivalued mapping \(Q\) is closed, and then \(Q\) is u.s.c on \(D \times K\). Set \(C = \{(x, y) \in D \times K| x \in S(x, y), y \in Q(x, y)\}\), Corollary 3.10 follows that \(C \neq \emptyset\). We define the multivalued mapping \(M : D \times K \to 2^K\) by
\[
M(x, y) = \{t \in D| 0 \notin F_2(y, v, x, t)\text{for all } v \in Q_0(y, x, t)\}, (x, y) \in D \times K.
\]
If \((\bar{x}, \bar{y}) \in C, M(\bar{x}, \bar{y}) \cap P_0(\bar{x}, \bar{y}) = \emptyset\), we have the proof of the theorem.

We assume that for any \((x, y) \in C, M(x, y) \cap P_0(x, y) \neq \emptyset\). For any fixed \(y \in K\), the set
\[
M(\ldots)^{-1}(t) = A_1 = \{x \in D| 0 \notin F_2(y, v, x, t), \text{ for some } v \in Q_0(y, x, t)\},
\]
is open in \(D\) and for any fixed \(x \in D\), the set
\[
M(\ldots)^{-1}(t) = A_2 = \{y \in K| 0 \notin F_2(y, v, x, t), \text{ for some } v \in Q_0(y, x, t)\},
\]
is open in \(K\).

So, the multivalued mapping \(M\) has separately lower open sections and then it is separately I.s.c on \(D \times K\).

For \(P_0\) has open lower sections, \(M\) is separately I.s.c, we apply Propositions 2.5 and 2.7 to conclude that \(co(M \cap P_0) : D \times K \to 2^D\) defined by
\[
co(M \cap P_0)(x, y) = co(M(x, y) \cap P_0(x, y))
\]
and \( F \) is a separately l.s.c multivalued mapping with nonempty convex values.

Further, we defined the multivalued mapping \( H : D \times K \to 2^{D \times K} \) by

\[
H(x, y) = \begin{cases} 
\text{co}(M(x, y) \cap P_0(x, y)) \times \{y\}, & \text{if } x \in C, \\
\text{co}P_0(x, y) \times \{y\}, & \text{else .}
\end{cases}
\]

If is clear that \( H \) is also separately l.s.c on \( D \times K \). We apply Corollary 3.10 with \( F = H \) to conclude that there exists \((\bar{x}, \bar{y}) \in D \times K\) such that \((\bar{x}, \bar{y}) \in H(\bar{x}, \bar{y})\). If \( \bar{x} \in C \), we have \( \bar{x} \in \text{co}(M(\bar{x}, \bar{y}) \cap P_0(\bar{x}, \bar{y})) \). In particular, \( \bar{x} \in \text{co}M(\bar{x}, \bar{y}) \), we then deduce that there exists \( x_1, \ldots, x_n \in M(\bar{x}, \bar{y}) \) such that

\[
x = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_i x_i, \sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_i = 1.
\]

By the definition of \( M \), we can see

\[
0 \notin F_2(\bar{y}, v, \bar{x}, x_i) \text{ for some } v \in Q_0(\bar{y}, \bar{x}, x_i) \text{ and for all } i = 1, \ldots, n. \tag{4.1}
\]

In the second hand, since the multivalued mapping \( F_2(\bar{y}, v, \cdot, \cdot) \) is \( Q_0 - KKM \), there exists \( j \in \{1, \ldots, n\} \) such that

\[
0 \in F_2(\bar{y}, v, \bar{x}, x_j) \text{ for all } v \in Q_0(\bar{y}, \bar{x}, x_j). \tag{4.2}
\]

A combination of (4.1) and (4.2) gives a contradiction and then we have the proof of the theorem is complete. \( \square \)

**Corollary 4.2.** We assume that the following conditions hold:

i) \( D \) and \( K \) are nonempty convex compact subsets;

ii) \( S : D \times K \to 2^D, T : D \times K \to 2^K \) are separately l.s.c multivalued mappings with nonempty convex values;

(iii) \( \phi : K \times K \times D \times D \to R \) is a real function such that:

a) For any fixed \( t, x \in D, y \in K \), the function \( \phi(y, \cdot, \cdot, t) : K \times K \to R, \phi(x, \cdot, t) : K \times K \to R \) are upper semi-continuous separately;

b) For any finite set \( \{x_1, \ldots, x_k\} \subset D \) such that for any \( x \in \text{co}\{x_1, \ldots, x_k\} \), there is an index \( j \in \{1, \ldots, k\} \) such that \( \phi(y, v, x, x_j) \geq 0 \), for all \( y, v \in K \).

Then there exists \( (\bar{x}, \bar{y}) \in D \times K \) such that \( (\bar{x}, \bar{y}) \in S(\bar{x}, \bar{y}) \times T(\bar{x}, \bar{y}) \) and

\[
\phi(\bar{y}, v, \bar{x}, t) \geq 0, \text{ for all } (t, v) \in S(\bar{x}, \bar{y}) \times T(\bar{x}, \bar{y}).
\]

**Proof.** We take \( P_0 = S, Q_0 : K \times D \times D \to 2^K \) defined by \( Q_0(y, x, t) = T(x, y) \) and \( F : K \times K \times D \times D \to 2^R \) defined by \( F(y, v, x, t) = \phi(y, v, x, t) - R_+ \).

We verify that for any fixed \( (t, y) \in D \times K \), the set

\[
A_1 = \{ x \in D \mid 0 \notin F(y, v, x, t), \text{ for some } v \in Q_0(y, x, t) \}
\]
Then there exists 

\[
\{ x \in D \mid \phi(y, v, x, t) < 0, \text{ for some } v \in T(x, y) \}
\]

is open in \( D \). Indeed,

\[
D \setminus A_1 = \{ x \in D \mid \phi(y, v, x, t) \geq 0, \text{ for all } v \in T(x, y) \}.
\]

If \( \{ x_\alpha \} \) is a net in \( D \setminus A_1, x_\alpha \to x \) we have to show \( x \in D \setminus A_1 \). Take arbitrary \( v \in T(x, y) \). Since \( T(. , y) : D \to 2^K \) is l.s.c., there is \( v_\alpha \in T(x_\alpha, y), v_\alpha \to v \). For \( x_\alpha \in D \setminus A_1 \), it follows \( \phi(y, v_\alpha, x_\alpha, t) \geq 0 \). The upper semicontinuity of \( \phi(y, ., t) \) implies that \( \phi(y, v, x, t) \geq 0 \). Thus, this shows that \( x \in D \setminus A_1 \) and so, \( D \setminus A_1 \) is closed and then \( A_1 \) is open. Analogously, for any fixed \( (t, x) \in D \times D \), the set

\[
A_2 = \{ y \in K \mid 0 \notin F(y, v, x, t), \text{ for some } v \in Q_0(y, x, t) \}
\]

\[
= \{ y \in K \mid \phi(y, v, x, t) < 0, \text{ for some } v \in T(x, y) \}
\]

is open in \( K \). To complete the proof of the corollary, it remains to apply Theorem 4.1 with \( P_0 = S, Q_0 : K \times D \times D \to 2^K \) defined by \( Q_0(y, x, t) = T(x, y) \) and \( F \).

**Corollary 4.3.** We assume that the following conditions hold:

i) \( D \) and \( K \) are nonempty convex compact subsets;

ii) \( S : D \times K \to 2^D, T : D \times K \to 2^K \) are separately l.s.c multivalued mappings with nonempty convex values;

(iii) \( \phi : K \times K \times D \times D \to R \) is a real function such that:

a) For any fixed \( t, x \in D, y \in K \), the function \( \phi(y, ., x, t) : K \times D \to R, \phi(, ., x, t) : K \times K \to R \) are upper semi-continuous;

b) For any finite \( y, v \in K, \phi(y, v, x, x) = 0 \), for all \( x \in D \) and \( \phi(y, v, x, t) : D \to R \) is a quasiconvex function.

Then there exists \( (\bar{x}, \bar{y}) \in D \times K \) such that \( (\bar{x}, \bar{y}) \in S(\bar{x}, \bar{y}) \times T(\bar{x}, \bar{y}) \) and

\[
\phi(\bar{y}, v, \bar{x}, t) \geq 0, \text{ for all } (t, v) \in S(\bar{x}, \bar{y}) \times T(\bar{x}, \bar{y}).
\]

**Proof.** We take \( P_0 = S, Q_0 : K \times D \times D \to 2^K \) defined by \( Q_0(y, x, t) = T(x, y) \) and \( F : K \times K \times D \times D \to 2^R \) defined by \( F(y, v, x, t) = \phi(y, v, x, t) - R_+ \). Assumption b) in (iii) implies that for any finite set \( \{ x_1, ..., x_k \} \subset D \) such that for any \( x \in \text{co}\{ x_1, ..., x_k \} \), there is an index \( j \in \{ 1, ..., k \} \) such that \( \phi(y, v, x, x_j) \geq 0 \), for all \( y, v \in K \). To complete the proof of the corollary, it remains to apply Corollary 4.2. \( \square \)
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